conditions and prescriptions concerning the application be filed with the Commission within 60 days from the issuance date of this notice (December 26, 1995 for Project No. 2569–004). All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of this notice (February 6, 1996 for Project No. 2569–004).

Anyone may obtain an extension of time for these deadlines from the Commission only upon a showing of good cause or extraordinary circumstances in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2008.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "REPLY COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or

"PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person submitting the filing; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. Each filing must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed on the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b), and 385.2010.

Dated: November 6, 1995, Washington, DC. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27893 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket Nos. RM95-3-000 and RM95-4-000]

Filing and Reporting Requirements for Interstate Natural Gas Companies Rate Schedules and Tariffs and Revisions to Uniform System of Accounts Forms, Statements, and Reporting Requirements for Natural Gas Companies; Notice of Informal Technical Conference

November 6, 1995.

Take notice that on Thursday, November 30, 1995, an informal technical conference will be convened pursuant to the orders issued September 29, 1995, in Docket Nos. RM95–3–000 and RM95–4–000.¹ The Commission directed staff to convene an industrywide conference to resolve any remaining electronic filing issues.

The goals of the conference are to:

- Establish working groups to resolve all remaining issues regarding the Form No. 11, Form No. 2, and rate case filings; and, determine the first date these groups will convene.
- Resolve issues remaining with respect to the use of Rich Text Format (RTF) for text.
- Resolve all remaining electronic filing issues regarding the Index of Customers and the discount rate reports.

Rich Text Format

In the orders, the Commission specifically stated that the following issues should be addressed by conference participants:

- Alternatives to RTF.
- Whether text prepared in the RTF format would be error free when translated.
- Whether translation into and from RTF is available in the most popular word processing programs.
 Whether RTF is compatible with
- Whether RTF is compatible with use in databases.
- Where RTF should be used in the Commission's filings and reports.

Index of Customers and Discount Rate Reports

Due to the simplicity of the Index of Customers and the discount rate reports, staff expects to resolve all remaining electronic filing issues associated with these two filings at this conference. To expeditiously resolve all remaining issues, interested conference participants should prepare proposed solutions to the following issues:

- Whether the header record identifying the filing pipeline and the period for which data is being reported should include other information and, if so, what information.
 - How files should be named.
- What date format should be adopted to avoid any difficulties in the year 2000.
- How footnotes should be dealt with in the file.

Issues specific to the Index of Customers, include:

- How to treat seasonal contract quantities.
- How to report the expiration date of contracts operating on a month to month, year to year, or similar basis.
- How units of measurement should be included in the report.

In order for the conference to be as productive as possible, staff invites written comments on the above listed issues and topics of discussion. Such comments should be addressed to Richard A. White, Office of General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Comments should be received by November 21, 1995. As part of staff's experimentation with RTF, we encourage commenters to submit their comments on a 3½" diskette in RTF. Comments in ASCII format are also welcome.

Any parties wishing to make a presentation at the conference should contact Richard White, (202) 208–0491. Presentations should be limited to 10 minutes duration.

The conference will begin at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, November 30, 1995, in a Hearing Room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. All interested persons are invited to attend. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27891 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. GT96-25-000]

CNG Transportation Corporation; Notice of Refund Report

November 6, 1995.

Take notice that on October 27, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) tendered for filing a report of the disposition of refunds received from the Gas Research Institute (GRI) for overcollections of the GRI surcharge during 1994. CNG states that the report is being made pursuant to the Commission's February 22, 1995, Order Approving Refund Methodology for 1994 Overcollections in GRI's Docket No. RP95–124–000.

¹ Filing and Reporting Requirements for Interstate Natural Gas Companies Rate Schedules and Tariffs, Order No. 582, 60 FR 52960 (October 11, 1995), 72 FERC ¶ 61,300 (1995); and, Revisions to Uniform System of Accounts Forms, Statements, and Reporting Requirements for Natural Gas Companies, Order No. 581, 60 FR 53019 (October 11, 1995), 72 FERC ¶ 61,301 (1995).

CNG states that the report shows that \$412,967.00 refunded by GRI has been refunded pro rata to CNG's eligible firm customers on October 16, 1995.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 14, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27903 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96-35-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

November 6, 1995.

Take notice that on November 2, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), tendered for filing the following amendments to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1:

First Revised Sheet No. 52 Original Sheet No. 52A First Revised Sheet No. 103 First Revised Sheet No. 104 First Revised Sheet No. 105

East Tennessee is proposing to lower its Unauthorized Overrun charge to \$15 per dth, to delete the current Unauthorized Overrun charge language in Section 5.1 of its LMS-MA rate schedule, to be replaced with a "Maximum Allowed Deliveries" (MAD) provision, and to add a provision allowing East Tennessee to waive Daily Variance Charges on a nondiscriminatory basis. East Tennessee states that the primary function of the proposed MAD language is to eliminate East Tennessee's need to use Operational Flow Orders to prevent shippers from exceeding their firm entitlements. East Tennessee is lowering its Unauthorized Overrun Charge in response to customer requests and adding the waiver provision to enable it to respond to extenuating circumstances.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214. All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 14, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file and available for public inspection. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27897 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ES96-4-000]

El Paso Electric Company; Supplemental Notice of Application

November 6, 1995.

This notice supplements the notice issued the Commission on October 19, 1995, in this Docket.

Take notice that on October 11, 1995, El Paso Electric Company (El Paso) filed application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue securities and assume obligations and liabilities under a primary plan and an alternative plan associated with El Paso's reorganization and emergence from bankruptcy. Under the primary plan El Paso would issue several series of first mortgage bonds, preferred stock and common stock. Under the alternate plan, El Paso would issue first mortgage bonds, second mortgage bonds, subordinated debentures, preferred stock, and common stock.

Under either plan, El Paso would also enter into other financing arrangements including (1) a Nuclear fuel Trust arrangement for the nuclear fuel at the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, (2) a revolving credit facility in the amount of \$100 million, and (3) modifications to the letters of credit underlying the pollution control bonds issued by the Maricopa County Arizona Pollution Control Corporation and by the City of Farmington, New Mexico.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice

and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 15, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

FR Doc. 95–27904 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP93-187-013]

Equitrans, Inc.; Notice of Tariff Filing

November 6, 1995.

Take notice that on November 1, 1995, Equitrans, Inc. (Equitrans) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with an effective date of November 1, 1995:

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 5 Third Revised Sheet No. 7

Equitrans states that it filed a Stipulation and Agreement in the captioned proceedings on July 31, 1995. Equitrans states that the Stipulation and Agreement resolves the remaining reserved issue in Equitrans' general Section 4(e) rate cases, namely, the treatment of stranded production well plugging and abandonment costs and the future treatment of Equitrans' production function. By Order issued on September 28, 1995, the Commission approved the uncontested Stipulation and Agreement filed by Equitrans. Equitrans states that its filing is intended to implement a demand surcharge of \$0.0923 per Dth applicable to all firm Part 284 open-access rate schedules for the stranded cost recovery of no more than \$2.6 million for abandoned production plant and well plugging costs over a four year period in accordance with the provisions of the Stipulation and Agreement and the Commission's Order.

Any person desiring to protest this application should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before November 14, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding.