

wide Federal civilian records of current and retired Federal employees.

DOD will match the SSN on the DOJ magnetic tape by computer against the DMDC database. Matching records, hits based on SSN, will produce data elements of the individual's name, SSN, service or agency, and current work or home address.

F. Inclusive dates of the matching program: This computer matching program is subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget and Congress. If no objections are raised by either, and the mandatory 30 day public notice period for comment has expired for this Federal Register notice with no significant adverse public comments in receipt resulting in a contrary determination, then this computer matching program becomes effective and the respective agencies may begin the exchange of data 30 days after the date of this published notice at a mutually agreeable time and will be repeated on a six month basis. Under no circumstances shall the matching program be implemented before the 30 day public notice period for comment has elapsed as this time period cannot be waived. By agreement between DOJ and DMDC, the matching program will be in effect and continue for 18 months with an option to renew for 12 additional months unless one of the parties to the agreement advises the other by written request to terminate or modify the agreement.

G. Address for receipt of public comments or inquiries: Director, Defense Privacy Office, Crystal Mall 4, Room 920, 1941 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-4502. Telephone (703) 607-2943.

[FR Doc. 95-28376 Filed 11-16-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000-04-F

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Resources Group, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs,

Attention: Wendy Taylor, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503. Requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708-8196.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director of the Information Resources Group publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

Dated: November 9, 1995.
Gloria Parker,
Director, Information Resources Group.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: Regular.
Title: Guaranty Agency Quarterly/Annual Report.

Frequency: Guaranty Agency Quarterly/Annual Report.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; state, local or tribal government.

Reporting Burden:
Responses: 270.

Burden Hours: 4,293.
Recordkeeping Burden:
Recordkeepers: 0.
Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: The Guaranty Agency Quarterly/Annual Report is submitted by 55 agencies operating a student loan Insurance program under agreement with the Department of Education. These reports are used to evaluate agency operations, make payments to agency as authorized by law, and to make reports to Congress.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Addendum to Federal Direct PLUS Loan Promissory Note Endorser.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 34,000.

Burden Hours: 17,000.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: Applications for Federal Direct PLUS Loans who have adverse credit may obtain endorsers. The information collected on this form is used to check credit of endorsers. The respondents are endorsers.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Federal Direct PLUS Loan Application and Promissory Note.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 135,000.

Burden Hours: 67,500.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: This information is used to determine applicant eligibility for Federal Direct PLUS Loans. The respondent are parents applying for benefits.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Federal Direct Stafford/Form Loan and Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford/Ford Loan Promissory Note and Disclosure.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 2,757,000

Burden Hours: 459,316

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: This information is used to determine eligibility for Federal Direct Stafford/Ford Loans and/or Federal Direct Unsubsidized Stafford/Ford Loans. The respondents are students applying for benefits.

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Supported Employment Augmentation to VR Longitudinal Study.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; not-for-profit institutions; state, local or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 1.

Burden Hours: 260.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: This augmentation to the VR Longitudinal Study will evaluate the effects of supported employment (SE) services on the economic and noneconomic outcomes of SE consumers, through interviews with a sample of SE consumers and extended services providers.

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Performance Report—Training Personnel for the Education of Individuals with Disabilities.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; state, local or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 869.

Burden Hours: 1,159.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: These Performance Reports collect information required of grantees receiving Federal funds under Part D of IDEA, requested by Pub. L. 101-476 and 102-119. Training data will be summarized in OSERS' Annual Report to Congress, including data on special education and related services personnel, as well as parents trained.

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Part B Complaint Procedures.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: State, local or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 1,079.

Burden Hours: 14,027.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: States are required to implement complaint procedures to process any complaints regarding a State (grantee) or a subgrantee that is participating in the program funded under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: LEA Application Under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: State, local or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 15,376.

Burden Hours: 445,904.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: States must require local educational agencies to submit an approvable LEA application for a subgrant in order to distribute funds under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Migrant Education Program State Performance Report.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 51.

Burden Hours: 4,080.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: Information will be developed estimates for funding purposes of the number of migratory children resident in each State, and to assess and report on the effectiveness of the Migrant Education Program on an ongoing basis.

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Statewide Family Literacy Program.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 50.

Burden Hours: 400.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: State and local government to plan and implement statewide family literacy initiatives to coordinate and integrate existing Federal, State, and local resources.

Office of Vocational and Adult Education

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Financial Status Report for State-Administered Vocational Education Programs.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Federal Government; state, local or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 53.

Burden Hours: 4,729.5.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: This State Financial Status Report is needed to assist in determining each State's compliance with the enabling statute, to close out each year's grant and to provide information for the Secretaries Report to Congress on the status of vocational education. The respondents are the State Educational agencies.

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: "Final Performance Report for LSCA Title VI".

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: State, local or tribal government.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 233.

Burden Hours: 1,165.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: This report form is needed to obtain information on expenditures of grant funds and to evaluate project performance of grantees under the Library Literacy Program (Title VI of the Library Services and Construction act).

Type of Review: Regular.

Title: Assessment of the role of school and public libraries in support of the National Education Goals.

Frequency: Pretest.

Affected Public: Not for profit institutions; state, local or tribal government.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 400.

Burden Hours: 279.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: The library and education communities need to know more about the role of libraries in supporting education in order to plan for and direct resources. This data collection effort is the field test of the survey instruments. The respondents are librarians in public libraries and public and private schools.

Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Languages and Affairs

Type of Review: New.

Title: A Descriptive Study of ESEA Title VIII Educational Services for Secondary School Limited English Proficiency Students (LEP).

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: State, local or tribal governments.

Reporting Burden:

Responses: 100.

Burden Hours: 65.

Recordkeeping Burden:

Recordkeepers: 0.

Burden Hours: 0.

Abstract: This study consists of a literature review and a survey of a sample of 100 Title VII grantees having 10 or more LEP secondary school students in grades 9–12. The survey will consist of a mail survey and a follow up telephone interview to verify, correct or add information available in the grantee applications monitoring reports and evaluation reports. This effort will help in future policy developments and demographic knowledge.

[FR Doc. 95–28277 Filed 11–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 5276–029 New York]

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and Northern Electric Power Company, LP; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

November 13, 1995.

An environmental assessment (EA) is available for public review. The EA is for an application for a temporary suspension of the minimum flow requirement for a period of 5 to 10 years at the Hudson Falls Project. The EA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Hudson Falls project is located on the Hudson River, in Washington County, New York.

The EA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the EA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

For further information, please contact the project manager, Mr. Sean Murphy, at (202) 219–2964.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–28420 Filed 11–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96–10–000]

Transwestern Pipeline Company; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed San Juan Expansion Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

November 13, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or

Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the San Juan Expansion Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern) proposes to:

- construct a 10,000-horsepower (hp) electric driven Bisti Compressor Station (C.S.) in San Juan County, New Mexico;
- add a 7,000-hp electric driven compressor to the existing Bloomfield C.S. in San Juan County, New Mexico;
- operate an existing 4,132-hp gas compressor at the Bloomfield C.S. originally certificated as a back-up compressor;
- adjust its capacity on its mainline and San Juan Lateral facilities on a flexible basis in response to market demands for San Juan gas. This would require changing the pressure in its mainline facilities from the current level of 950 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) to as low as 800 psig, to the extent required to meet reduced market demand for firm transportation capacity to California, but high demand for San Juan gas in Arizona, California and Transwestern's eastern markets; and
- purchase from Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) a 77.7 percent ownership interest in Northwest's south end mainline extension facilities extending from the Ignacio C.S. near Ignacio, Colorado to the Blanco Hub near Bloomfield, New Mexico.

The location of the facilities is shown in appendix 1.²

Transwestern would bring electrical power to the compressor stations to operate the electrical driven compressors.

Land Requirements for Construction

Construction of the new Bisti C.S. would require about 3.5 acres of land. Transwestern would fence about 2.1 acres for the compressor site facilities. No additional land would be required for the proposed facilities at the existing Bloomfield C.S.

¹ Transwestern Pipeline Company's application was filed with the Commission under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

² The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the Federal Register. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208–1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- land use
- water resources, fisheries, and wetlands³
- cultural resources
- public safety
- air quality and noise
- endangered and threatened species

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified one issue that we think deserves attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Transwestern:

³ According to the applicant, the project will not affect any waters of the United States. We will report any potential impacts, or their absence, under this heading.