

*Abstract:* This study consists of a literature review and a survey of a sample of 100 Title VII grantees having 10 or more LEP secondary school students in grades 9–12. The survey will consist of a mail survey and a follow up telephone interview to verify, correct or add information available in the grantee applications monitoring reports and evaluation reports. This effort will help in future policy developments and demographic knowledge.

[FR Doc. 95–28277 Filed 11–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 5276–029 New York]

#### Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and Northern Electric Power Company, LP; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

November 13, 1995.

An environmental assessment (EA) is available for public review. The EA is for an application for a temporary suspension of the minimum flow requirement for a period of 5 to 10 years at the Hudson Falls Project. The EA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Hudson Falls project is located on the Hudson River, in Washington County, New York.

The EA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the EA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

For further information, please contact the project manager, Mr. Sean Murphy, at (202) 219–2964.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,  
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–28420 Filed 11–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96–10–000]

#### Transwestern Pipeline Company; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed San Juan Expansion Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

November 13, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or

Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the San Juan Expansion Project.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

#### Summary of the Proposed Project

Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern) proposes to:

- construct a 10,000-horsepower (hp) electric driven Bisti Compressor Station (C.S.) in San Juan County, New Mexico;
- add a 7,000-hp electric driven compressor to the existing Bloomfield C.S. in San Juan County, New Mexico;
- operate an existing 4,132-hp gas compressor at the Bloomfield C.S. originally certificated as a back-up compressor;
- adjust its capacity on its mainline and San Juan Lateral facilities on a flexible basis in response to market demands for San Juan gas. This would require changing the pressure in its mainline facilities from the current level of 950 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) to as low as 800 psig, to the extent required to meet reduced market demand for firm transportation capacity to California, but high demand for San Juan gas in Arizona, California and Transwestern's eastern markets; and
- purchase from Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) a 77.7 percent ownership interest in Northwest's south end mainline extension facilities extending from the Ignacio C.S. near Ignacio, Colorado to the Blanco Hub near Bloomfield, New Mexico.

The location of the facilities is shown in appendix 1.<sup>2</sup>

Transwestern would bring electrical power to the compressor stations to operate the electrical driven compressors.

#### Land Requirements for Construction

Construction of the new Bisti C.S. would require about 3.5 acres of land. Transwestern would fence about 2.1 acres for the compressor site facilities. No additional land would be required for the proposed facilities at the existing Bloomfield C.S.

<sup>1</sup> Transwestern Pipeline Company's application was filed with the Commission under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

<sup>2</sup> The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the Federal Register. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street NW., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208–1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

#### The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- land use
- water resources, fisheries, and wetlands<sup>3</sup>
- cultural resources
- public safety
- air quality and noise
- endangered and threatened species

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

#### Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified one issue that we think deserves attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Transwestern:

<sup>3</sup> According to the applicant, the project will not affect any waters of the United States. We will report any potential impacts, or their absence, under this heading.

The proposed compressor station and additional compression proposed at the existing compressor stations may increase ambient noise levels.

Keep in mind that this is a preliminary issue. Issues may be added, subtracted, or changed based on your comments and our analysis.

#### Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative locations), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426;
- Reference Docket No. CP96-10-000;
- Send a copy of your letter to: Mr. Herman K. Der, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., 7th Floor (PR11.1), Washington, DC 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before December 20, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Mr. Herman K. Der at the above address.

#### Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2).

The date for filing of timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Mr.

Herman K. Der, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-0896.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28426 Filed 11-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### [Docket No. ER96-167-000, et al.]

#### Cinergy Services, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

November 8, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-167-000]

Take notice that on October 27, 1995, Cinergy Services, Inc. (CINERGY), tendered for filing on behalf of its operating companies, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) and PSI Energy, Inc. (PSI), an Electric Sales Agreement, dated September 1, 1995, between CINERGY, CG&E, PSI and PECO Energy Company (PECO).

The Electric Sales Agreement provides for the following service between CINERGY and PECO.

1. Service Schedule A—Emergency Service
2. Service Schedule B—System Energy
3. Service Schedule C—Negotiated Capacity and Energy

CINERGY and PECO have requested an effective date of November 1, 1995.

Copies of the filing were served on PECO Energy Company, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

*Comment date:* November 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 2. National Gas & Electric L.P., Chicago Energy Exchange of Chicago, Inc., Louis Dreyfus Electric Power, Eastern Power Distribution, Inc., NorAm Energy Services, Inc., J.L. Walker & Associates, CMEX Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER90-168-023, Docket No. ER90-225-022, Docket No. ER92-850-013, Docket No. ER94-964-007, Docket No. ER94-1247-006, Docket No. ER95-1261-001, Docket No. ER94-1328-005 (not consolidated)]

Take notice that the following informational filings have been made with the Commission and are on file and available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room:

On September 27, 1995, National Gas & Electric L.P. filed certain information

as required by the Commission's March 20, 1990, order in Docket No. ER90-168-000.

On October 16, 1995, Chicago Energy Exchange of Chicago, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's April 19, 1990, order in Docket No. ER90-225-000.

On October 27, 1995, Louis Dreyfus Electric Power filed certain information as required by the Commission's December 2, 1992, order in Docket No. ER92-850-000.

On October 27, 1995, Eastern Power Distribution, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's April 5, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-964-000.

On October 20, 1995, NorAm Energy Services, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's July 25, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1247-000.

On October 20, 1995, J.L. Walker & Associates filed certain information as required by the Commission's August 7, 1995, order in Docket No. ER95-1261-000.

On October 17, 1995, CMEX Energy, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's June 4, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1328-000.

##### 3. AES Power, Inc., Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc., Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc., Aquila Power Corporation, Williams Power Trading Company, Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C., CL Power Sales 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER94-890-007, Docket No. ER94-1384-007, Docket No. ER94-1690-006, Docket No. ER95-216-005, Docket No. ER95-305-003, Docket No. ER95-393-006, Docket No. ER95-892-002 (not consolidated)]

Take notice that the following informational filings have been made with the Commission and are on file and available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room:

On October 25, 1995, AES Power, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's April 8, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-890-000.

On October 25, 1995, Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's November 8, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1384-000.

On October 31, 1995, Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's December 29, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1690-000.

On October 26, 1995, Aquila Power Corporation filed certain information as required by the Commission's January 13, 1995, order in Docket No. ER95-216-005.