ADJUSTED UNREALIZED GAIN BEFORE ESTIMATED TAX EFFECTS—Continued

Class 1 Appreciation \leq Net Appreciation

Class 1 Appreciation ≤ Net Appreciation

Class 1 Appreciation > Net Appreciation

Class 1 Appreciation + Class 2 Appreciation ≤ Net Appreciation.

Class 1 Appreciation + Class 2 Appreciation > Net Appreciation.

(80% x Class 1 Appreciation) + (50% x Class 2 Appreciation).

(80% x Class 1 Appreciation) + [(50% x Net Appreciation - Class 1 Appreciation)]. 80% x Net Appreciation.

- (5) Reduce the gain computed in paragraph (d)(4) of this section by your estimate of related future income tax expense. Subject to any adjustment required by paragraph (d)(6) of this section, the result is your Adjusted Unrealized Gain for use in paragraph (c)(2) of this section.
- (6) If any securities that are the source of either Class 1 or Class 2 Appreciation are pledged or encumbered in any way, you must reduce the Adjusted Unrealized Gain computed in paragraph (d)(5) of this section by the amount of the related borrowing or other obligation.

§ 107.1850 Exceptions to Capital Impairment provisions for Licensees with outstanding Participating Securities.

The provisions in this § 107.1850 apply only if at least two-thirds of your outstanding Leverage consists of Participating Securities, and at least two-thirds of your Loans and Investments (at cost) consist of Equity Capital Investments.

- (a) Forbearance period for Participating Securities issuers. During the first forty-eight (48) months following your first issuance of Participating Securities, you will not have a condition of Capital Impairment if your Capital Impairment Percentage is below 85 percent.
- (b) Extended forbearance period for early stage investors. If at least two-thirds of your Loans and Investments (at cost) are in Start-Up Financings, the forbearance period in paragraph (a) of this section is extended to 60 months.
- (c) Forbearance based on actions by Licensee. The provisions of this paragraph (c) apply only during the fifth and sixth years following your first issuance of Participating Securities. If your Capital Impairment Percentage, as determined either by you or by SBA, exceeds the maximum permitted under § 107.1830(c) but is below 85 percent, you will not have a condition of Capital Impairment if you do either of the following within thirty (30) days of such determination:
- (1) Increase your Regulatory Capital by a cash contribution placed in an escrow account or other account satisfactory to SBA, for its benefit. The contribution must equal, during the fifth

year, 15 percent of your outstanding Leverage or, during the sixth year, 30 percent.

(2) Provide a guarantee, satisfactory to SBA and for its benefit, for the amount of the cash contribution required in paragraph (c)(1) of this section. SBA will credit any escrowed funds or guarantee received in the fifth year toward the requirements for the sixth year.

(d) Conditions for forbearance under paragraph (c) of this section. (1) You cannot count any funds placed in an escrow or other account under paragraph (c) of this section as Leverageable Capital.

(2) Any fee and/or any claim to repayment by the party making the capital contribution or by the guarantor must be deferred and subordinate to all outstanding Leverage plus any unpaid Earned Prioritized Payments and earned Adjustments.

(3) If there is an acceleration or mandatory redemption under § 107.1810 or § 107.1820, any funds in the escrow account and/or any guarantee received under paragraph (c) of this section will be applied toward repaying any amounts due SBA.

(4) If you reduce your Capital Impairment Percentage to zero, SBA will release and return any escrowed funds and/or any guarantee received under paragraph (c) of this section.

Subpart K—Ending Operations as a Licensee

§ 107.1900 Surrender of license.

You may not surrender your license without SBA's prior written approval. Your request for approval must be accompanied by an offer of immediate repayment of all of your outstanding Leverage (including any prepayment penalties thereon), or by a plan satisfactory to SBA for the orderly liquidation of the Licensee.

Subpart L-Miscellaneous

§107.1910 Non-waiver of SBA's rights or terms of Leverage security.

SBA's failure to exercise or delay in exercising any right or remedy under the Act or the regulations in this part does not constitute a waiver of such right or remedy. SBA's failure to require

you to perform any term or provision of your Leverage does not affect SBA's right to enforce such term or provision. Similarly, SBA's waiver of, or failure to enforce, any term or provision of your Leverage or of any event or condition set forth in §§ 107.1810 or 107.1820 does not constitute a waiver of any succeeding breach of such term or provision or condition.

§ 107.1920 Licensee's application for exemption from a regulation in Part 107.

You may file an application in writing with SBA to have a proposed action exempted from any procedural or substantive requirement, restriction, or prohibition to which it is subject under this part, unless the provision is mandated by the Act. SBA may grant an exemption for such applicant, conditionally or unconditionally, provided the exemption would not be contrary to the purposes of the Act. Your application must be accompanied by supporting evidence which demonstrates to SBA's satisfaction that:

- (a) The proposed action is fair and equitable; and
- (b) The exemption requested is reasonably calculated to advance the best interests of the SBIC program in a manner consonant with the policy objectives of the Act and regulations.

§ 107.1930 Effect of changes in Part 107 on transactions previously consummated.

The legality of a transaction covered by these regulations is governed by the regulations in effect at the time the transaction was consummated, regardless of later changes. Nothing in this part bars SBA enforcement action with respect to any transaction consummated in violation of provisions applicable at the time, but no longer in effect.

Dated: November 11, 1995.

Philip Lader,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95–28757 Filed 11–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-SW-23-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Robinson Helicopter Company Model R22 Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Robinson Helicopter Company (Robinson) Model R22 helicopters. This proposal would require replacement of the upper V-belt sheave (sheave). This proposal is prompted by three reports of cracks in the flange of the sheave. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent failure of the sheave, which could result in damage to other drive system components, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Comments must be received by January 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–SW–23–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Robinson Helicopter Company, 2901 Airport Drive, Torrance, California 90505. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Elizabeth Bumann, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (310) 627–5265, FAX (310) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All

communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to docket No. 95–SW–23–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–SW–23–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137.

Discussion

This document proposes the adoption of a new AD that is applicable to Robinson Model R22 helicopters. In 1990, Robinson changed the sheave, part number (P/N) A170-1I or J, and P/N A170–2J, from a casting to a machined part. This change included some dimensional modifications, one of which was a reduction in the thickness of the sheave flange. Two reported cases of cracks in the thinner flange prompted Robinson to issue Robinson Helicopter Company R22 Service Bulletin SB-71, dated June 18, 1992, describing preflight owner/operator checks of the sheave for cracks until replacement with a modified sheave, P/N A170-1K or P/N A170-2K, is accomplished. This service bulletin was not reviewed or approved by the FAA prior to its issuance by Robinson. Another report of cracks in the thinner-flanged sheave prompted Robinson to issue Robinson Helicopter Company R22 Service Bulletin SB-77, dated April 25, 1995, describing procedures for removal and replacement of the thinner-flanged sheave. Any crack in the sheave creates an unsafe condition. That condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the sheave, which could result in

damage to other drive system components, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

The FAA has reviewed and approved the design engineering aspects of Robinson Helicopter R22 Service Bulletin SB-77, dated April 25, 1995, which describes procedures for removal and replacement of sheave, P/N A170–1I or J, or P/N A170–2J.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other Robinson Model R22 helicopters of the same type design, the proposed AD would require replacement of the affected sheave with a sheave having a thicker flange within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) or 60 calendar days, whichever occurs first. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

The FAA estimates that 650 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 4 work hours per helicopter to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$1,216 per helicopter for the sheave, P/N A170-1, and \$2,298 per helicopter for the sheave, P/N A170-2. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,298,050, assuming replacement of the sheave in all 650 helicopters, and assuming that one-half of the helicopters have the sheave, P/N A170-1, installed, and onehalf of the helicopters have the sheave, P/N A170-2, installed.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by

contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40101, 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

Robinson Helicopter Company: Docket No. 95–SW–23–AD.

Applicability: Model R22 helicopters with upper V-belt sheave (sheave), part number (P/N) A170–1I or J, or P/N A170–2J, installed, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

Note 2: Determination of whether the affected sheave has been installed can be accomplished by measuring the depth from the edge of the forward retainer plate to the flange of the sheave in an area located between the webs as shown in Figure 2 of Robinson Helicopter Company R22 Service Bulletin SB–77, dated April 25, 1995. If the depth is greater than 0.30 inch, then either sheave, P/N A170–1I or J, or sheave, P/N A170–2J, is installed.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the sheave, which could result in damage to other drive system components, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 100 hours time-inservice (TIS) or 60 calendar days, whichever occurs first after the effective date of this AD, replace the sheave, P/N A170–1I or J, or P/N A170–2J, with an airworthy sheave, P/N A170–1, or P/N A170–2, having a dimension equal to or less than 0.30 inch measured from the edge of the forward retainer plate to the flange of the sheave in an area located between the webs, in accordance with paragraphs 2 through 15 of the Compliance Procedures of Robinson Helicopter Company R22 Service Bulletin SB–77, dated April 25, 1995.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used when approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 26, 1995.

Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95–28396 Filed 11–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-ANE-44]

Airworthiness Directives; Textron Lycoming 235 Series, 290 Series, and Certain 320 and 360 Series Reciprocating Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Textron Lycoming 235 Series and 290 Series, and certain 320 and 360 series reciprocating engines. This proposal would require initial and repetitive inspections of the crankshaft inner diameter (ID) for corrosion and cracks, and replacement of cracked crankshafts with a serviceable part. This proposal permits operation of engines with crankshafts that are found to have corrosion pits but are free of cracks provided repetitive inspections are performed until the next engine overhaul or 5 years after the initial

inspection, whichever occurs first, at which time crankshafts with corrosion pits but no cracks must be replaced with serviceable crankshafts. This proposal is prompted by reports of crankshaft breakage originating from corrosion pits on the inside wall. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent crankshaft failure, which can result in engine failure, propeller separation, forced landing, and possible damage to the aircraft.

DATES: Comments must be received by January 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94–ANE–44, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Textron Lycoming, 652 Oliver St., Williamsport, PA 17701; telephone (717) 327–7080, fax (717) 327–7100. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Raymond Reinhardt, Aerospace Engineer, New York Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 10 Fifth St., Valley Stream, NY 11581–1200; telephone (516) 256–7532, fax (516) 568–2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by