

Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1910.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate SBIR Phase II Proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: November 22, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-28953 Filed 11-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Physics; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Physics (1208).

Date and Time: Wednesday, December 13 thru Saturday, December 16, 1995.

Place: Fermilab High Rise, Batavia, IL 60510.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Marvin Goldberg, Program Director for Elementary Particle Physics, Division of Physics, Rm 1015, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1894.

Purpose of meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate Elementary Particle Physics Career proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: November 22, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-28954 Filed 11-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Point Beach Nuclear Plant Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain technical requirements of Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 for operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin (Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-24 and DPR-27, respectively, issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, the licensee).

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2., for annually exercising the onsite Emergency Plan at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant in the year 1995.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated October 6, 1995, as supplemented November 3, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to allow the licensee to begin loading dry cask storage containers in December 1995 in order to minimize the time that Point Beach will not have the capacity for a full-core offload. The licensee has stated that emergency response personnel who would be involved in the emergency exercise will be involved in oversight of the process for loading the dry cask storage containers. Therefore, the licensee anticipates the 1995 emergency exercise to have a negative impact on the oversight of the storage of spent fuel in the independent spent fuel storage installation at the Point Beach plant.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the one-time action is acceptable because the licensee has demonstrated excellent performance in the emergency preparedness area during the last two Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) periods and has performed an emergency drill in August 1995 involving major elements of the Point Beach Emergency Plan with no significant deficiencies.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Point Beach.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 3, 1995, the staff consulted with the Wisconsin State official, Ms. Sarah Jenkins, of the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 6, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated November 3, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the