

nominations, scheduling, and curtailment, (3) the uniform definition of "Gas Day", (4) operational flow orders ("OFSs"), (5) imbalance resolution and operational balancing agreements ("OBAs"), and (6) invoicing and payment.

While the PEC Pipeline Group believes further standardization is possible, the instant effort reflects those provisions that can be standardized in the near future without significant ramifications on the nature and type of services currently being rendered to the customers of the interstate pipeline members of the PEC Pipeline Group.

The PEC Pipeline Group also intends to participate actively in the industry standardization efforts outlined to the Commission by the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America ("INGAA") in their letter of October 18, 1995 and in the ongoing efforts sponsored by the Gas Industry Standards Board ("GISB").

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 18 CFR 385.211 if the Commission's Rules and Regulations, on or before December 6, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29203 Filed 11-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. SA96-1-000]

Montana Power Company; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

November 24, 1995.

Take notice that on October 31, 1995, Montana Power Company (MPC) filed a petition for adjustment pursuant to section 284.123(b)(1)(ii) of the Commission's regulations. MPC seeks to: (1) eliminate the rate petition filing requirement contained in Ordering Paragraph (d) of the Commission's August 3, 1995, order in Docket No. PR93-3-000, 72 FERC ¶ 61,164, and (2) waive the city-gate requirement contained in Section 284.123 (b)(1)(ii) of the Commission's regulations. Ordering Paragraph (d) requires MPC to file a rate

petition under section 284.123(b)(2) on or before November 1, 1995. MPC requests that its transportation rates be subject to regulation by the Montana Public Service Commission (Montana Commission) which MPC alleges has been approved by the FERC as an agency that regulates retail rates on a cost basis consistent with the comparable service requirement of section 284.123(b)(1).

MPC states that it has a general revenue requirement increase request pending before the Montana Commission filed on September 21, 1995. MPC's filing requests a Gas Utility overall revenue requirement increase of \$12 million and an interim revenue requirement increase of \$4.4 million. MPC provided a table comparing its existing interstate rates with the proposed state-approved rates. MPC indicates that the proposed interim increase would be effective January 1, 1996, and would result in a 4.9% increase to its existing interstate transportation rate.

MPC listed several reasons why it should be permitted to charge rates approved by the Montana Commission for all of its interstate services. MPC asserts that having its rates regulated solely by the Montana Commission would avoid costly and lengthy duplicative proceedings under section 284.123(b)(2) of the Commission's regulations. MPC contends that it would be able to address rate design and other changes uniformly for all shippers, without the duplication and/or potential disparate treatment that would exist if MPC continues to operate under both state and FERC cost of service procedures. MPC also alleges that section 284.123(b) of the Commission's regulations leaves to the pipeline the choice of whether to make an election under section 284.123(b)(2) or to seek a FERC-approved rate under section 284.123(b)(1) of the Commission's regulations. MPC asserts that the streamlining of the regulatory filing process and avoidance of duplicative rate review is expected to reduce MPC's costs and allow it to become more competitive in the marketplace.

The regulations applicable to this proceeding are found in Subpart K of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Any person desiring to participate in this rate proceeding must file a motion to intervene in accordance with sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All Motions must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission within 15 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The petition for adjustment is on file with

the Commission and is available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29201 Filed 11-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-47-000]

Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Changes

November 24, 1995.

Take notice that on November 16, 1995, Northwest Alaskan Pipeline Company ("Northwest Alaskan") tendered for filing Thirty-Seventh Revised Sheet No. 5 to its FERC Gas Tariff Original Volume No. 2.

Northwest Alaskan states that this filing reflects a decrease in total demand charges for Canadian gas purchased by Northwest Alaskan from Pan-Alberta Gas Ltd. ("Pan-Alberta") and resold to Northwest Alaskan's two U.S. purchasers, Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.), Inc. ("PAG-US") under Rate Schedules X-1, X-2 and X-3, and Pacific Interstate Transmission Company ("PIT") under Rate Schedule X-4.

Northwest Alaskan requests that Thirty-Seventh Revised Sheet No. 5 become effective January 1, 1996.

Northwest Alaskan states that a copy of this filing has been served on Northwest Alaskan's customers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. Under Section 154.209, all such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 28, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29202 Filed 11-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-449-000]**Trunkline Gas Company; Notice of Technical Conference**

November 24, 1995.

In the Commission's order issued on October 25, 1995 in the above-captioned proceeding, the Commission ordered that a technical conference be convened to resolve issues raised by the filing. The conference to address the issues has been scheduled for December 11, 1995, at 2:00 p.m. in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29204 Filed 11-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Wicor's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is December 11, 1995.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29205 Filed 11-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-0-M

listing are significantly lower than previously estimated. As a result, the Agency believes that no source in the category emits asbestos in quantities that pose an individual risk greater than one in one million and that the previous determination that asbestos emissions from these plants pose a threat of adverse health effects is no longer supportable. The asbestos processing source category should therefore be removed from the source category list.

Docket. Docket No. A-94-69, containing supporting information used in developing this notice, is available for public inspection and copying between 8:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the Agency's Air Docket, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information concerning specific aspects of this notice, contact Susan Fairchild-Zapata, Minerals and Inorganic Chemicals Group, Emission Standards Division (MD-13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone number (919) 541-5167.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

The Clean Air Act requires under section 112 that the Agency list and promulgate regulations requiring control of emissions of HAPs from categories of major and area sources. Section 112(c)(1) requires the Administrator to publish, and from time to time revise, if appropriate, in response to comments or new information, a list of all categories and subcategories of major and area sources of HAPs. Section 112(c)(3) requires that the Administrator list any area source category (one which emits less than 10 tons per year of any one HAP and less than 25 tons per year of all HAPs) that the Administrator finds poses a threat of adverse effects to human health or the environment. Pursuant to the specific listing requirements in section 112(c), the Agency published on July 16, 1992 (57FR31590) a finding of adverse effects (specifically carcinogenic effects from exposure to asbestos) for the source category of asbestos processing. The asbestos processing source category was then listed as a source category that would be subject to emission standards. Following this listing, pursuant to requirements in section 112(e), the Agency on December 3, 1993 (58FR63941) published a schedule for the promulgation of emission standards for each of the 174 listed source categories. The reader is directed to

[Docket No. ER96-34-000]**Wicor Energy Services, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Order**

November 24, 1995.

On October 5, 1995, Wicor Energy Services, Inc., (Wicor) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which Wicor will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. Wicor also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, Wicor requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Wicor.

On November 9, 1995, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Wicor should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NW., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Wicor is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**[FRL-5335-8]****Delisting of Source Category and Revision of Initial List of Categories of Sources and Schedule for Standards Under Section 112(c) of the Clean Air Act**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Removal of the asbestos processing area source category from the initial list of categories of sources and schedule for standards for major and area sources of hazardous air pollutants.

SUMMARY: This notice removes the asbestos processing source category from the initial list of categories of sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP), published on July 16, 1992 (57FR31576), and the schedule for promulgation of emission standards, published on December 3, 1993 (58FR63941).

This action finalizes the notice published in the Federal Register (*FR*) on January 24, 1995 by removing an area source category (asbestos processing) that was listed on July 16, 1992 (57FR31576). As presented in 60FR4624, this decision is based on data obtained during the initial stage of standards development for this source category. Under this listing, asbestos emissions from asbestos processing sources were studied to determine whether they could be further reduced beyond the levels achieved under the existing National Emission Standards for HAP (NESHAP) that apply to these sources. These data conclusively show that asbestos emissions from specific plants that were the basis for the initial