

Dated: November 6, 1995.

Stephen L. Johnson,
Director, Registration Division, Office of
Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-30112 Filed 12-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[FRL-5343-9]

Proposed Administrative Cost Recovery Agreement Under Section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, Regarding the Hooker Chemical/Rucco Polymer Site, Hicksville, NY

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed administrative agreement and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region II announces a proposed administrative settlement pursuant to Section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1), relating to the Hooker Chemical/Ruco Polymer Site (the "Site"), Hicksville, Nassau County, New York. This Site is on the National Priorities List established pursuant to Section 105(a) of CERCLA. This notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed settlement and of the opportunity to comment.

The settlement, memorialized in an Administrative Cost Recovery Agreement ("Agreement"), is being entered into by EPA and Occidental Chemical Corporation and Ruco Polymer Corporation (the "Respondents"). Under the Agreement, the Respondents shall pay EPA the sum of \$124,665.00 in further reimbursement of EPA's response costs incurred and paid with respect to the Site on or prior to August 16, 1994. In response to EPA's cost recovery demands, Occidental Chemical Corp. had previously reimbursed EPA for \$883,813.00 of the Agency's response costs at the Site.

DATES: EPA will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement on or before January 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES AND FURTHER INFORMATION: Comments should reference the Hooker Chemical/Ruco Polymer Site and EPA Index No. II-CERCLA-95-0216. Comments and any requests for further

information, including requests for a copy of the Agreement, should be sent to: Marla E. Wieder, Assistant Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, New York, 10007-1866, Telephone: (212) 637-3185.

Dated: November 14, 1995.

Jeanne M. Fox,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-30104 Filed 12-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5344-1]

Proposed Administrative Settlement Under Section 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, Regarding the Hudson Coal Tar Site, Hudson, NY

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed administrative settlement and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §9622(i), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region II announces a proposed administrative *de minimis* settlement pursuant to Section 122(g)(4) of CERCLA, relating to the Hudson Coal Tar Site ("Site") in Hudson, New York. This Site is not on the National Priorities List established pursuant to Section 105(a) of CERCLA. This notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed settlement and of the opportunity to comment. The settlement, memorialized in an Administrative Order on Consent (the "Order"), is being entered into by EPA and Lockwood Properties, Inc. ("Lockwood"). EPA has determined that Lockwood, the owner of a portion of the Site, is eligible for a *de minimis* settlement pursuant to Section 122(g)(1)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(B).

Under the Order, Lockwood will provide EPA and Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., a potentially responsible party currently undertaking a removal action at the Site, with access to its property in order to permit the performance of response actions there. Lockwood has also agreed, among other things, to cooperate with EPA and Niagara Mohawk in their implementation of response actions at

the Site; exercise due care with respect to hazardous substances at Lockwood's property; and provide perimeter fencing to secure the portion of the Site owned by Lockwood. Under the Order, EPA, in turn, covenants not to sue Lockwood for any civil liability for injunctive relief or reimbursement of response costs with regard to the Site, pursuant to Sections 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9606, 9607(a), subject to certain reservations of rights.

DATES: EPA will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement on or before January 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES AND FURTHER INFORMATION: Comments should reference the Hudson Coal Tar Site and EPA Index No. II-CERCLA-95-0212. Comments and requests for further information, including requests for a copy of the Order, should be sent to: Brian E. Carr, Assistant Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866, telephone: (212) 637-3170.

Dated November 14, 1995.

Jeanne M. Fox,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-30103 Filed 12-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5343-8]

De Minimis Settlements Under Section 122(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as Amended, 42 U.S.C. §9622(g), Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, City of St. Louis, St. Louis County, Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of the *de minimis* settlements under Section 122(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, City of St. Louis, St. Louis County, Missouri.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has entered into four separate *de minimis* administrative settlements to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g). These settlements are intended to resolve the liability of Canam Steel Company, St. Louis Steel

Products, Henkel Corporation, and Peerless-Premier Appliance Company for the response costs incurred and to be incurred at the Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, City of St. Louis, St. Louis County, Missouri.

DATES: Written comments must be provided on or before January 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the Regional Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and should refer to: In the Matter of the Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Superfund Site, City of St. Louis, St. Louis County, Missouri, EPA Docket Nos. VII-94-F-0022, VII-94-F-0021, VII-94-F-0027, and VII-94-F-0023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Denise L. Roberts, Assistant Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551-7559.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The settling parties are Canam Steel Company, Henkel Corporation, Peerless-Premier Appliance Company, and St. Louis Steel Products. They are *de minimis* generators of hazardous substances found at the Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, which is the subject Superfund Site. In July and August 1995, Region VII entered into four separate *de minimis* administrative settlements to resolve claims under Section 122(g) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g).

The Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site (the Site) is located in St. Louis at 1265 Lewis Street, St. Louis, Missouri, approximately ¼ mile north of downtown St. Louis in an industrial section of the city. The *de minimis* parties were corporations that manufactured paints. The *de minimis* parties sold paint sludges, paint solids, and paint liquids or semi-liquids to Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings ("Peerless"), a St. Louis corporation, at very low prices. The *de minimis* parties either admitted that they were disposing of hazardous substances through this arrangement, admitted that there was no other customer besides Peerless for such materials, and/or that the sales price was lower than the costs of disposal for hazardous wastes at an authorized permitted facility. Peerless was a manufacturer of paints and magazine coatings that purchased large quantities of paint materials at low prices and accumulated more materials on-site than could be used. In June 1993, the EPA began a removal action at the site. Approximately 3500 drums of

hazardous substances that demonstrated the characteristics of ignitability were removed from the facility at the cost of \$1,089,062.71.

The settlements have been approved by the U.S. Department of Justice because the response costs in this matter exceed \$500,000.00. The EPA estimates the total past and future costs will be approximately \$1,206,089.71. Pursuant to the Administrative Orders on Consent, the *de minimis* parties are responsible for the following costs: Peerless-Premier Appliance Company has an attributable share of 1.20% and is responsible for \$13,236.45 in past costs and \$1,193.24 in future costs; Canam Steel Corporation has an attributable share of 1.29% and is responsible for \$14,238.45 in past costs and \$1,283.55 in future costs; St. Louis Steel Products has an attributable share of 1.665% and is responsible for \$18,412.20 in past costs and \$1,659.80 in future costs; and Henkel Corporation has an attributable share of .30% and is responsible for \$3,453.48 in past costs and \$311.32 in future costs. The EPA determined these amounts to be the *de minimis* parties; fair shares of liability based on the amount of hazardous substances found at the Site and contributed by each of the settling parties. These settlements include contribution protection from lawsuits by other potentially responsible parties as provided for under Section 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(5).

The *de minimis* settlements provide that the EPA covenants not to sue the *de minimis* parties for response costs at the Site or for injunctive relief pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1980, as amended (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6973. The settlements contain a reopener clause which nullifies the covenant not to sue if any information becomes known to the EPA that indicates that the parties no longer meet the criteria for a *de minimis* settlement set forth in Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(A). The covenant not to sue does not apply to the following matters:

- (a) Claims based on a failure to exercise due care with respect to hazardous substances at the Site;
- (b) Claims based on a failure to make the payments required by Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Consent Order;
- (c) Claims based on the exacerbation by Respondent of the release or threat of release of hazardous substances from the Site;
- (d) Claims based on the introduction of any hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant by any person at the Site

after the effective date of this Consent Order;

- (e) Criminal liability; or
- (f) Liability for damages or injury to, destruction of, or loss of the natural resources.

The *de minimis* settlements will become effective upon the date which the EPA issues a written notice to the parties that the statutory public comment period has closed and that comments received, if any, do not require modification of or EPA withdrawal from the settlements.

Dennis Grams,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-30102 Filed 12-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Community Bankshares, Inc., et al.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received not later than January 8, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston (Robert M. Brady, Vice President) 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02106:

1. *Community Bankshares, Inc.*, Concord, New Hampshire to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Centerpoint Bank, Bedford, New Hampshire.