

number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to M.J. Wetterhahn, Esquire, Winston and Strawn, 1400 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-3502 attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 28, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Pennsville Public Library, 190 S. Broadway, Pennsville, New Jersey 08070.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of January 1996.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
David H. Jaffe,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-144 Filed 1-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-155]

Consumers Power Company Big Rock Point Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.1.(a), Type A Tests, to the Consumers Power Company (CPCo or the licensee), for operation of the Big Rock Point Plant (BRP), located in Charlevoix County, Michigan.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow an exemption from the requirement of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, [Option A], Paragraph III.D.1.(a), for a one-time schedular extension for the Type A test

(containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT)) of approximately 12 months, from the 1996 refueling outage to the 1997 refueling outage.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated November 8, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The current ILRT requirements for Big Rock Point as set forth in Appendix J, are that a set of three Type A tests must be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year period service period. Also, the third test of each set shall be conducted when the plant is shut down for the 10-year plant inservice inspections (ISI). The previous Type A test was performed in February 1992. The first of the fourth 10-year period Type A tests is currently scheduled to be performed in January 1996.

The licensee has requested a schedular exemption from the requirement in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, [Option A], Section III.D.1.(a) to perform certain Type A tests at "approximately equal time intervals." Specifically, the proposed exemption would allow CPCo to delay the Type A test until the January 1997 refueling outage. The interval between the Type A tests would increase from 47 months to 59 months.

Environment Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed one-time exemption would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed one-time exemption would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee has analyzed the results of previous Type A tests performed at the Big Rock Point Plant to show adequate containment performance. The licensee will continue to be required to conduct Type B and Type C local leak rate tests which historically have been shown to be the principal means of detecting containment leakage paths with the Type A tests confirming the Type B and C tests results. It is also noted that the licensee would perform a general inspection of accessible interior or exterior surfaces of the containment structures and components although it is only required by Appendix J to be conducted in conjunction with Type A tests. The NRC staff considers that these inspections, though limited in scope, provide an important added level of confidence in the continued integrity of the containment boundary.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of Big Rock Point Plant.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 20, 1995, the staff consulted with the Michigan State official, Mr. Dennis Hahn of the Nuclear Facilities and Environmental Monitoring Section, Office of the Department of Public Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 8, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, and at the local public document room located at the North Central Michigan College, 1515 Howard Street, Petoskey, MI 49770.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of December 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Linh N. Tran,

*Project Manager, Project Directorate III-I,
Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 96-145 Filed 1-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Notice of Intention to Request Reclearance of Information Collection, SF 3102

AGENCY: Office of Personnel
Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reclearance of an information collection. SF 3102, Designation of Beneficiary Federal Employees Retirement System, is used by employees and annuitants covered under the Federal Employees Retirement System to designate a beneficiary to receive any lump sum due in the event of his/her death.

Approximately 1,136 SF 3102 forms are completed annually. Each form takes approximately 15 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 284 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Jim Farron on (202) 418-3208, or E-mail to jmfarron@mail.opm.gov.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Daniel A. Green, Chief, Retirement and Insurance Service, FERS Division, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 4429, Washington, DC 20415.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING

ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Management Services Division, (202) 606-0623.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Lorraine A. Green,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. 96-117 Filed 1-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

Summary: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

Summary of Proposal(s):

(1) *Collection title:* Statement Regarding Contributions and Support.

(2) *Form(s) submitted:* G-134.

(3) *OMB Number:* 3220-0099.

(4) *Expiration date of current OMB clearance:* November 30, 1995.

(5) *Type of request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(6) *Respondents:* Individuals or households.

(7) *Estimated annual number of respondents:* 300.

(8) *Total annual responses:* 300.

(9) *Total annual reporting hours:* 92.

(10) *Collection description:* Dependency on the employee for one-half support at the time of the employee's death can be a condition affecting eligibility for a survivor annuity provided for under Section 2 of the Railroad Retirement Act. One-half support is also a condition which may negate the public service pension offset in Tier I for a spouse or widow(er).

Additional Information or Comments: Copies of the form and supporting documents can be obtained for Chuck Mierzwa, the agency clearance officer (312-751-3363). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 and the OMB reviewer, Laura Oliven (202-395-7316), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10230, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Ron Hodapp,

Acting Clearance Officer.

FR Doc. 96-141 Filed 1-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35-26446]

Filings Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as Amended ("Act")

December 29, 1995.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission pursuant to provisions of the Act and rules promulgated thereunder. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed transaction(s) summarized below. The application(s) and/or declaration(s) and any amendments thereto is/are available for public inspection through the Commission's Office of Public Reference.

Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing on the application(s) and/or declaration(s) should submit their views in writing by January 22, 1996, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, and serve a copy on the relevant applicant(s) and/or declarant(s) at the address(es) specified below. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for hearing shall identify specifically the issues of fact or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in the matter. After said date, the application(s) and/or declaration(s), as filed or as amended, may be granted and/or permitted to become effective.

Arkansas Power & Light Company (70-7571)

Arkansas Power & Light Company ("AP&L"), 425 West Capitol Avenue, 40th Floor, P.O. Box 551, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201, a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation, a registered holding company, has filed a post-effective amendment to its application under sections 9(a) and 10 of the Act and rule 54 thereunder.

By prior Commission orders, dated December 20, 1988 and July 7, 1989 (HCAR Nos. 24787 and 24917, respectively) ("Orders"), AP&L was authorized to enter into a fuel lease, dated as of December 22, 1988 ("Lease"), with River Fuel Trust #1 ("Trust"), under which AP&L leases nuclear fuel required for use at its Arkansas Nuclear One Generating Station ("ANO"). Under the terms of the Lease, the Trust makes payments to