

compress gathered gas to allow it to enter the plant). Amoco contends that these facilities are not transportation facilities, but rather an integral part of ANR's Mooreland Area gathering system.

Amoco alleges that ANR's improper classification of these pipeline facilities as transportation facilities has, in effect, allowed ANR to rebundle transportation and gathering rates for behind-the-plant services and improperly charge the Mooreland Plant owners transportation rates for the PTR make-up volumes sourced upstream of the Mooreland Plant. Amoco further alleges that ANR does not provide a transportation service to the Mooreland Plant owners, but requires the plant owners to pay transportation charges for "fictional" transportation to the tailgate of the Mooreland Plant, a practice that (according to Amoco) permits ANR to double or triple charge the plant owners for transportation of the same gas volumes.

Amoco asserts that, to make shippers receiving make-up gas at the plant tailgate or Southwest Area pool responsible for the transportation charges on ANR's transmission system downstream of the Mooreland Plant, to ensure that gathering and transportation rates are not rebundled and charged to the Mooreland Plant owners, and to prevent ANR from overcharging the Mooreland Plant owners for the delivery of the same gas volumes through some other interpretation of its tariff, the Mooreland Plant owners should be permitted to physically deliver PTR make-up volumes (in-kind) at the tailgate of the plant, or through ANR's Southwest Area pool.

Amoco requests the Commission to find that ANR does not perform a transportation service upstream of the Mooreland Plant, and to find that ANR's facilities upstream of the inlet to the plant that are used to bring gas to the inlet of the plant for processing only perform a gathering function and, as such, should be classified as gathering facilities. Amoco also requests the Commission to find that ANR has already charged producers or downstream shippers to transport PTR make-up volumes, to find that ANR cannot also charge the Mooreland Plant owners again for the same service provided to others, and to require ANR to cease charging the Mooreland Plant owners transportation rates on gas volumes that only move on ANR's behind-the-plant gathering system. Amoco also requests the Commission to find that the Mooreland Plant owners should be permitted to physically deliver PTR make-up volumes (in-kind)

at the tailgate of the plant, or through ANR's Southwest area pool.

Amoco further requests the Commission to direct ANR to refund (with interest), to the Mooreland Plant owners, all transportation charges assessed on PTR make-up volumes since the effective date of ANR's unbundled gathering and transportation rates in Docket No. RP94-43, and to grant such other relief as the Commission may find appropriate.

Any person desiring to be heard, or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before February 20, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application, if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, or if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Amoco and ANR to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-1121 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-35-002]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

January 19, 1996.

Take notice that on January 2, 1996, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee) in accordance with the Commission's "Order Accepting and Suspending Tariff Sheets Subject to Conditions and Granting Waiver" issued in the above-referenced docket on December 1, 1995 ("December 1 Order"), submitted for filing Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 52, Substitute Original Sheet No. 52 A, and First Revised Sheet No. 61. East Tennessee proposes that the filed tariff sheets become effective as of December 2, 1995.

East Tennessee states that on November 2, 1995, East Tennessee failed to change its tariff to impose a limit on the quantity of gas a customer can take without scheduling it and to include language in its tariff allowing it to waive its Daily Variance charge on a nondiscriminatory basis. In its December 1 Order, the Commission accepted and suspended the proposed tariff sheets to be effective on December 2, 1995, subject to East Tennessee refiling certain sheets to reflect minor changes. The instant filing reflects the changes required by the December 1 Order.

Any person desiring to protest with reference to said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make Protestants parties to this proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file and available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-1119 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-138-000]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

January 19, 1996.

Take notice that on January 16, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978,

filed in Docket No. CP96-138-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point to permit the firm transportation and delivery of natural gas to PNM Gas Services, a division of Public Service Company of New Mexico, under El Paso's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso proposes to construct and operate a delivery point in San Juan County, New Mexico on its existing 20-inch Blanco-Fruitland First Loop Line and 16-inch Blanco-Fruitland Second Loop Line. El Paso states that PNM Gas Services would use the gas delivered from the proposed delivery point, referred to as the 30th Street Meter Station, to serve the residential, commercial and industrial requirements of its new and existing customers in the Farmington, New Mexico area. The proposed quantity of natural gas to be transported on a firm basis to the 30th Street Meter Station is up to 10,000 Mcf per day and 1,800,000 Mcf annually. El Paso states that the estimated cost of the proposed delivery point is \$81,100 and that PNM Gas Services would reimburse El Paso for the cost.

El Paso states that the volumes proposed to be delivered at the 30th Street Meter Station are within PNM Gas Services' certificated entitlements and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries of the proposed gas volumes without detriment or disadvantage to El Paso's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-1114 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER96-747-000]

Minnesota Power & Light Company; Notice of Filing

January 19, 1996.

Take notice that on December 18, 1995 Minnesota Power & Light Company tendered for filing a signed Service Agreement with LG&E Power Marketing Inc., under its Wholesale Coordination Sales Tariff to satisfy its filing requirements under this tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before January 26, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not service to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-1117 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96-133-000]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

January 19, 1996.

Take notice that on January 11, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed a prior notice request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-133-

000 pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to upgrade an existing delivery point in Renville County, Minnesota, under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is open to the public for inspection.

Northern proposes to upgrade the existing Buffalo Lake town border station in Renville County to accommodate increased interruptible natural gas deliveries under Northern's currently effective throughput service agreements to Sheehan's Gas Company (Sheehan) for use at their ethanol plant. Northern would replace a 3-inch meter with a 4-inch meter. Northern would increase its natural gas deliveries to Sheehan from the present peak day quantity of 805 MMBtu to 1,805 MMBtu and from the present annual quantity of 225,568 MMBtu to 545,568 MMBtu. Northern states that it would pay the estimated \$31,000 for the proposed upgrade of facilities at the Buffalo Lake town border station.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the Commission has issued this notice, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-1111 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER96-8-000]

PacifiCorp; Notice of Filing

January 19, 1996.

Take notice that on December 18, 1995, PacifiCorp tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214