

This LPDR is no longer needed and will close effective March 16, 1996.

The Shoreham Public Library has been the LPDR for the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station since January 1979. Since that time the LPDR has continued to maintain documents on the construction through decommissioning stages of the facility. On April 11, 1995, NRC issued an order terminating License Number NPF-82, releasing the facility and site for unrestricted use. Therefore, effective March 16, 1996, the LPDR will be closed.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
Carlton Kammerer,  
*Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-1680 Filed 1-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 72-14, 50-346, 72-1004  
(License No. NPF-3)]

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station;  
Toledo Edison Company; Receipt of  
Petition for Director's Decision Under  
10 C.F.R. § 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by a Petition dated December 5, 1995, filed on behalf of the Toledo Coalition for Safe Energy, Alice Hirt, Charlene Johnston, Dini Schut, and William Hoops (Petitioners), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission was requested to immediately issue orders to prevent the loading of spent nuclear fuel into the VECTRA Technologies Inc., NUHOMS-24P dry shielded canisters (DSCs) at the Davis-Besse nuclear power station until a NRC rulemaking and/or license modification hearing is conducted on all safety-related changes which have been made to the canisters, as described in the Safety Analysis Report. Also, the NRC was requested not to authorize any loading of the canisters until a written procedure for unloading in both urgent and nonurgent circumstances is written, approved, and field-tested.

Petitioners contend that the safety of the canisters has been compromised because of reduction in the thickness of the canister welds. In addition, they claim that the NRC administrative process by which permission was granted for VECTRA to deliver the canisters to the Davis-Besse station and for the canisters to be used on site are legally suspect, expressing the belief that agency rulemaking or some other public proceeding is necessary for

permission for such a transfer and use to be granted.

The Petition is being treated pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. The Petition has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. As provided by Section 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this Petition within a reasonable time. By letter dated December 18, 1995, the Director denied the Petitioners' request for immediate action on the Petition.

A copy of the Petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of January 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
Carl J. Paperiello,  
*Director Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 96-1681 Filed 1-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-p

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Request For Public Comment

Upon Written Request. Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Form 40-F, SEC File No. 270-335, OMB Control No. 3235-0381.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is publishing the following summary of collection for public comment.

Form 40-F is used by certain Canadian issuers to register securities pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") or as an annual report pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. An estimated 320 submissions are made pursuant to Form 40-F, resulting in an estimated annual total burden of 640 hours.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d)

ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20549.

Dated January 23, 1996.

Margaret H. McFarland,

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-1671 Filed 1-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-36763; File No. SR-  
Philadep-95-11]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Philadelphia Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Restate, and Amend Schedule of Fees and Charges

January 24, 1996.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> notice is hereby given that on December 26, 1995, the Philadelphia Depository Trust Company ("Philadep") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by Philadep. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change will restate Philadep's schedule of fees and charges with certain amendments.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, Philadep included statements concerning the purpose of and statutory basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. Philadep has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B),

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1) (1988)