within 60 days from the date of this notice.

ADDRESSES: A free single copy of the DEIS (NUREG–1531) and DTER (NUREG–1532) may be requested by those considering public comment by writing to the NRC Publications Section, ATTN: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013–7082. A copy of each document is also available for inspection and/or copying in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L St. NW, Washington, DC.

Any interested party may submit comments on these documents for consideration by the staff. Consistent with its past commitments, NRC is extending the comment period 15 days beyond the required minimum of 45 days. To be certain of consideration, comments on these reports must be received within 60 days from the date of this notice. Comments received after the due date will be considered to the extent practical. Comments on either document should be sent to Chief, High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch, Mail Stop TWFN 7–J9, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Myron Fliegel, High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch, Mail Stop TWFN 7–J9, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone 301/415–6629.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC, in cooperation with the NPS, has prepared a DEIS regarding the administrative action of approving an amendment to Atlas' NRC license authorizing reclamation of uranium mill tailings at the existing site near Moab, Utah. The uranium mill no longer operates and is currently being dismantled. The nearby 9.52-million-metric-ton (10.5-million-ton), 52.6–ha (130-acre), uranium mill tailings pile needs to be stabilized for long-term disposal. The DEIS describes the evaluation concerning (1) the purpose of and need for the proposed action, evaluated under NEPA and the agencies' implementing regulations, (2) alternatives considered, (3) existing environmental conditions, and (4) environmental consequences of the proposed action and proposed mitigating measures.

Three alternatives were evaluated. Atlas' proposal (Alternative 1) is to reclaim the tailings pile for permanent disposal and long-term custodial care by a government agency in its current location near Moab, prepare the 162-ha (400-acre) site for closure, and depart the site after having its NRC license terminated.

Under Alternative 2, Atlas would transport all of the tailings and other contaminated material to an alternate site. The DEIS considers the Plateau site, located approximately 29 km (18 mi) northwest of Moab, as the primary alternate site. The DEIS considers several alternatives for transporting the tailings to the alternate site.

Under the no-action alternative (Alternative 3), the NRC would make no licensing decision, and Atlas would cease operations involving management of the tailings. Because this alternative would not comply with regulations and is not environmentally acceptable, it is not evaluated in detail in this DEIS.

As documented in the DEIS, the NRC's preliminary conclusion is that Atlas' proposal (reclamation on site) is acceptable with respect to environmental costs and benefits. Alternative 2 (transport to and stabilization at an alternate site) would result in some advantages (primarily by freeing the current site near the Colorado River for other uses and eliminating the potential for impacts to the Colorado River) and disadvantages (primarily related to the transport of tailings to a new site and the longer period of construction) compared to Alternative 1. Alternative 2 would be considerably more expensive than Alternative 1.

The NRC has also prepared a DTER that evaluates Atlas' proposed reclamation of the uranium mill tailings with respect to NRC safety regulations. NRC regulations applicable to reclamation of uranium tailings are primarily in Part 40 of 10 CFR, with specific technical criteria appearing in Appendix A. The DTER is organized by the technical disciplines involved in the assessment of the proposed reclamation, but also provides a criterion by criterion evaluation of Atlas' proposed reclamation with respect to Appendix A. The NRC review identified 20 issues in geology, seismology, geotechnical engineering, erosion protection, water resources protection, and radon attenuation that preclude the NRC from concluding that the applicable regulations would be met under Atlas' proposed reclamation. Atlas can provide further information to try to resolve these issues.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Joseph J. Holonich,

Chief, High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 96–1679 Filed 1–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Consideration of Valve Mispositioning in Pressurized-Water Reactors; Issued

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued Generic Letter 89–10, Supplement 7 to notify licensees of nuclear power reactors that the NRC is removing the recommendation that motor operated valve (MOV) mispositioning be considered by pressurized-water reactor licensees in responding to Generic Letter 89–10, ''Safety-Related Motor-Operated Valve Testing and Surveillance,'' as was done for boiling-water reactor licensees in Supplement 4. Although this generic letter supplement forwards a new NRC position, no specific action or written response is required. This generic letter is available in the Public Document Rooms under accession number 9601190442.

DATES: The generic letter was issued on January 24, 1996.

ADDRESSEES: Not applicable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David C. Fischer at (301) 415–2728.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dennis M. Crutchfield,

Director, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96–1682 Filed 1–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 50–322]

Long Island Power Authority—Shoreham Nuclear Power Station; Closing of Local Public Document Room

Notice is hereby given that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is closing the local public document room (LPDR) for records pertaining to the Long Island Power Authority (LIPA) Shoreham Nuclear Power Station located at the Shoreham-Wading River Public Library, Shoreham, New York.