

states that certification of the facilities will provide LGS with the additional flexibility of being able to use these facilities as a delivery point under LGS's blanket transportation agreement with Koch.

Koch further states that it provides interruptible Section 311 transportation service to LGS pursuant to Koch's ITS rate schedule. Koch indicates that once these facilities are certificated, Koch will also provide Rate Schedule NNSSCO transportation since LGS proposes to add this delivery point to its existing NNSSCO transportation agreement with Koch. Koch asserts that the volume delivered to this new point under the NNSSCO agreement will be within the certificated entitlement of that existing service. Additionally, Koch states that the estimated construction cost is \$31,860 for which Koch will be reimbursed.

Comment date: April 1, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 3. K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co.

[Docket No. CP96-181-000]

Take notice that on February 9, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, filed in Docket No. CP96-181-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.212) for authorization to install and operate minor delivery tap facilities on its main transmission system in Colorado and Nebraska under a blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-140-000, *et al.*, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

K N Interstate proposes to install and operate ten new delivery taps and appurtenant facilities in Washington County, Colorado and Buffalo, Dawson, Hall, Harlan, Phelps and Sheridan Counties, Nebraska. Eight taps will become new delivery points pursuant to an existing transportation agreement between K N Interstate and K N Energy Inc. (K N Energy) and will facilitate the delivery of natural gas to K N Energy for sale to new direct retail customers in Colorado and Nebraska. The other two taps will become delivery points under a new transportation service agreement between K N Interstate and Interenergy Corporation (Interenergy) and will facilitate the delivery of natural gas to Interenergy for sale to new direct retail customers in Nebraska. The gas volumes delivered at these new points will be within the current maximum

transportation quantities for K N Energy and Interenergy. K N Interstate states that its existing FERC Gas Tariff does not prohibit additional delivery points and that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to existing customers.

Comment date: April 1, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

### 4. CNG Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-184-000]

Take notice that on February 12, 1996, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNGT), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-184-000 pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon by sale approximately 2.5 miles of two- and four-inch diameter pipeline in Burnside Township, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania, which was authorized in Docket No. CP80-293-000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is open to the public for inspection.

CNGT proposes to abandon by sale to its affiliate, CNG Producing Company (CNG Producing), Lines 2274, 2275, 2277, 2340, and a portion of 2269 at the net book value of \$42,166. Since these five gathering lines connect wells to other lines owned by CNGT, CNGT also request that upon abandonment the subject lines would function as nonjurisdictional facilities owned by CNG Producing. CNGT states that the lines operate between 110-150 psig. CNGT also states that two other producers who have production located along these lines have consented to the proposed transfer to CNG Producing.

Comment date: March 7, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or make any protest with reference to said filing should on or before the comment date file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing

therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this filing if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-4117 Filed 2-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5429-5]

### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; Renewal of OMB No. 2070-0017

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that

the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for an expedited review. As a result of the Government shutdown in December, the Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances (OPPTS) was unable to complete and forward a request to renew the approval of this Information Collection Request (ICR) in a timely manner. In order to minimize a lapse in OMB approval, and given the continuing nature of the ICR, as well as the absence of any issues, OPPTS is requesting an expedited review and approval for this ICR. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument. A Federal Register notice proposing this submission and seeking public comments on this ICR was published on September 29, 1995 (60 FR 50568). EPA did not receive any comments in response to that notice.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before March 24, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL:**

Sandy Farmer at EPA, 202-260-2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 1031.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* TSCA Section 8(c) Health and Safety Data Reporting Rule (OMB Control No. 2070-0017, EPA ICR No. 1031). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection which expires on February 28, 1996.

*Abstract:* Section 8(c) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires companies that manufacture, process, or distribute chemicals to maintain records of significant adverse reactions to health or the environment alleged to have been caused by such chemicals. Since section 8(c) includes no automatic reporting provision, EPA can obtain and use the information contained in company files only by inspecting those files or requiring reporting of records that relate to specific substances of concern. Therefore, under certain conditions, and using the provisions found in 40 CFR part 717, EPA may require companies to report such allegations to the Agency.

EPA uses such information on a case-specific basis to corroborate suspected adverse health or environmental effects of chemicals already under review by EPA. The information is also useful to identify trends of adverse effects across the industry that may not be apparent to any one chemical company.

Responses to the collection of information are mandatory (see 40 CFR part 717). Respondents may claim all or

part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

*Burden Statement:* The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to range between 0.25 hours and 8.0 hours per response, depending upon the requirements that the collection places on each respondent. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. No person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are displayed in 40 CFR Part 9.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Those that manufacture, process, import or distribute in commerce chemical substances or mixtures.

*Estimated No. Of Respondents:* 7,397.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 30,287 hours.

*Frequency of Collection:* On occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1031 and OMB Control No. 2070-0017 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch (2136), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460

and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 15, 1996.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 96-4145 Filed 2-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-5413-7]

**Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 05, 1996 Through February 09, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-AFS-L65173-ID*

Rating LO, Lower South Fork Salmon River Post-Fire Project, Fire-Killed and Imminently Dead Timber Harvesting, Implementation and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Payette National Forest, Mc Call Ranger District, Idaho and Valley Counties, ID.

*Summary:* EPA's abbreviated review revealed no concerns with the proposed project.

*ERP No. D-AFS-L65247-AK*

Rating EC2, Lab Bay Project Area Timber Harvest, Implementation, COE Section 404, EPA NPDES and Coast Guard Bridge Permits Issuance, Thorne Bay Ranger District, Ketchikan Administrative Area, Tongass National Forest, Prince of Wales Island, AK.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the direct and cumulative impacts to water quality from construction and operation of a new LTF on the west side of Thorne Island, and the continued operation of LTFs existing. The Final EIS should address site specific bark accumulation and potential impacts to the marine environment.

*ERP No. D-AFS-L65249-AK*

Rating EO2, Northwest Baranof Timber Sale (s), Implementation, NPDES, Coast Guard Bridge, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Tongass National Forest, Sitka Ranger District, Baranof Island, AK.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections based on water quality impacts, especially to impaired waters and riparian areas. The final EIS should address effects to degraded waters, effects of timber harvest and road construction, the Fish and Wildlife Service dive survey information for LTF sites, and the