

Dated: February 26, 1996.  
 M. Rebecca Winkler,  
*Committee Management Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-4757 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

### Special Emphasis Panel in Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meetings:

*Name:* Special Emphasis Panel in Materials Research (DMR).

*Date and Time:* March 21, 1996, 8:00 pm-5:00 pm.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 1020, Arlington, VA 22230.

*Type of Meetings:* Closed.

*Contact Person:* Dr. Bruce A. MacDonald, Program Director, Division of Materials Research, Room 1065, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA, 22230, Telephone (703) 306-1835.

*Purpose of Meetings:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate proposals submitted to the Faculty Early Career Development (CAREER) Program.

*Reason for Closing:* The proposals being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information, financial data such as salaries, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposal. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552 b. (c)(4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 26, 1996.  
 M. Rebecca Winkler,  
*Committee Management Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-4763 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

### Special Emphasis Panel in Physics; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Special Emphasis Panel in Physics (#1208).

*Date:* March 20-22, 1996.

*Place:* Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 37-252, The Marlborough Lounge, 70 Vassar Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

*Type of Meeting:* closed.

*Contact Person:* Dr. David Berley, Program Manager, Laser Interferometer Gravitational Observatory, Physics Division, Room 1015, National Science Foundation, 4201 Arlington Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1892.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To review the MIT subactivity of the LIGO project including the Research and Development, the Detector Fabrication, and the Facilities Support. Evaluate the past activities and assess the proposed program through the end of the LIGO construction period (1999) with the view toward the long term operations.

*Agenda:* To review the MIT subactivity of the LIGO project, the past activities and the proposed program.

*Reason for Closing:* The Project plans being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; information on personnel and proprietary data for present and future subcontracts. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 26, 1996.  
 M. Rebecca Winkler,  
*Committee Management Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-4758 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA 96-009]

### Bolton, Eugene; Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)

I

Eugene Bolton (Mr. Bolton) was employed as a Senior Nuclear Production Technician at the New York Power Authority (NYPA) (Licensee). Licensee is the holder of License No. DPR-64 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50. The license authorizes the operation of Indian Point 3 (facility) in accordance with the conditions specified therein. The facility is located on the Licensee's site in Buchanan, New York.

II

On March 10, 1993, the NRC, Region I, received information from NYPA that Mr. Bolton had attempted to substitute a "cold" [surrogate] urine sample during random Fitness-for-Duty (FFD) testing required by NRC regulations, that a subsequent witnessed sample provided by Mr. Bolton had tested positive for marijuana, that Mr. Bolton had been referred to the Employee Assistance Program, and his authorization for access to the Indian Point 3 facility had been suspended. In response to this information, NRC initiated an investigation by the Office of Investigations (OI) of this matter. The investigation established that:

1. When called for a FFD test on March 9, 1993, Mr. Bolton knowingly

submitted a surrogate urine sample which he had collected on a previous date and maintained for that purpose.

2. Mr. Bolton admitted that he provided surrogate urine samples in the past when selected for FFD testing in order to avoid detection of the presence of illegal substances.

On October 6, 1995, a Demand for Information (DFI) was issued to Mr. Bolton based on the findings of the OI investigation. The DFI indicated that Mr. Bolton had engaged in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2), in that he provided to the facility licensee information which he knew to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC. Mr. Bolton's actions also constituted a violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1) in that he deliberately provided a urine sample that he knew to be inaccurate and which, but for detection, would have caused the Licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 50.9, "Completeness and accuracy of information."

The DFI requested that Mr. Bolton provide a response, within 30 days from the date of the DFI, that would: (A) Identify whether he currently is employed by any company subject to NRC regulation, and if so, describe in what capacity; and (B) Describe why the NRC should have confidence that Mr. Bolton will meet NRC requirements to provide complete and accurate information to the NRC and its licensees in the future.

The DFI further stated that, if Mr. Bolton did not respond as specified, the NRC would proceed on the basis of available information and could take other actions as necessary to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements. Although a response to the DFI was due on November 6, 1995, as of the date of this Order, Mr. Bolton has not responded.

III

Based on the above, it appears that Mr. Bolton, an employee of the Licensee at the time of the incident, engaged in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 50.5(a)(2), in that he submitted to the Licensee information which he knew to be inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC, and 10 CFR 50.5(a)(1), in that he deliberately provided a urine sample that he knew to be inaccurate and which, but for detection, would have caused the facility licensee to be in violation of 10 CFR 50.9.

The NRC must be able to rely on its Licensees and their employees to comply with NRC requirements, including the requirement to provide information and maintain records that