Dated: March 4, 1996. Floyd Fithian, *Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.* [FR Doc. 96–5421 Filed 3–4–96; 2:07 pm] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 96-05]

Rose International, Inc. v. Overseas Moving Network International, et al.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint filed by Rose International, Inc. ("Complainant") against Overseas Moving Network International ("OMNI"), OMNI Shipping Services, Inc., American International, Inc., Cartwright International Van Lines, Inc., Crown Överseas Movers, Inc., Graebel Movers International, Inc., Movers International, Inc., Ocean-Air International, Inc., Sentry Household Shipping, Inc. and Victory Van Corporation (collectively designated "Respondents") was served February 29, 1996. Complainant alleges that Respondents have violated, and continue to violate, sections 10(a)(1), (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(6), (b)(10),(b)(11), (b)(12) and (d)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app. \$\$1709(a)(1), (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(4),(b)(6), (b)(10), (b)(11), (b)(12), and (d)(1), in connection with their activity and practices surrounding service contracts between OMNI and the Trans-Atlantic Conference Agreement, and its predecessor, the Trans-Atlantic Agreement.

This proceeding has been assigned to the office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall include oral testimony and crossexamination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and crossexamination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by February 28, 1997, and the

final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 30, 1997. Joseph C. Polking, *Secretary.* [FR Doc. 96–5143 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. § 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects. such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" §1843). Any request for (12 U.S.C. a hearing must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 29, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (James A. Bluemle, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. Home Financial Bancorp, Spencer, Indiana; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Owen Community Bank, s/b/, Spencer, Indiana.

Applicant also has applied to engage directly in making loans and other extensions of credit, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (John E. Yorke, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

1. Republic Bancshares, Inc., Natoma, Kansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring at least 80 percent of the voting shares of United National Bank of Natoma, Natoma, Kansas.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Genie D. Short, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:

1. Medina Community Bancshares, Inc., Hondo, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Community National Bank, Hondo, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 29, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 96–5175 Filed 3–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 952-3388]

Georgetown Publishing House Limited Partnership; Georgetown Publishing, Inc.; Daniel Levinas; Consent Agreement With Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Consent agreement.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent agreement, accepted subject to final Commission approval, would prohibit the Washington, D.C.-based publishing firm from misrepresenting that an advertisement is an independent review or article, or that it is not a paid advertisement. The consent agreement settles allegations that Georgetown used