Questar received authorization in Docket Nos. CP95–650–001, CP95–650–002 and CP95–658–000, 74 FERC ¶61,216, (1996) to abandon, by transfer, the specified gathering and transmission facilities to Questar Gas Management Company (QGM), a wholly owned, regulated subsidiary of Questar.¹ Questar states that it has notified all of its gathering customers of the transfer of all gathering contracts to QGM.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulation Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. Pursuant to Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations, all such motions or protests must be filed no later March 13, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell, *Secretary.* 

[FR Doc. 96–5925 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am]

### [Docket No. CP96-217-000]

# City of Tallahassee, et al., Complaints, vs. Florida Gas Transmission Company, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

March 7, 1996.

Take notice that on February 28, 1996, City of Tallahassee, City of Lakeland, Orlando Utilities Commission, Jacksonville Electric Authority, and Florida Gas Utilities (jointly Complainants), c/o John, Hengerer & Esposito, 1200 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, filed in Docket No. CP96-217-000 a complaint pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, against Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT) alleging violations of FGT's tariff provisions and Commission rules regarding affiliate preference in provision of jurisdictional service in connection with construction

of a proposed delivery point in Leon County, Florida, all as more fully detailed in the complaint which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that the complainants are all firm transportation customers of FGT and members of the Florida Cities Fuel Committee, an ad hoc group of municipalities which customarily participate in FGT rate and certificate proceedings. It is explained that FGT's interconnecting delivery point, for which FGT requested prior notice authorization in Docket No. CP96-139-000, would serve West Florida Natural Gas (WFNG), a local distribution company, which in turn would make deliveries to the Department of Correction's (DOC) Wakulla Correctional Institution in Wakulla County, Florida.

Complainants allege that because FGT would not be fully reimbursed by WFNG for the construction costs, FGT would be subsidizing construction of the facility, and complainants allege that such a subsidy is in violation of FGT's tariff.

Complainants further allege that the proposal would involve preferential treatment for Citrus Trading, FGT's marketing affiliate, which would provide gas supplies for the deliveries to the DOC, and it is alleged that this violates the Commission's rules prohibiting affiliate preference. It is alleged that FGT has adopted a new policy on customer ownership of gate station facilities, which would permit WFNG to own the meter station which is among the proposed facilities, and it is alleged that such ownership is in violation of FGT's tariff provisions. Complainants allege that the proposed change in ownership policy is not in the public interest and should be evaluated before it is implemented.

It is stated that the City of Tallahassee, one of the complainants, has simultaneously filed a protest in Docket No. CP96–139–000, FGT's prior notice filing. It is asserted that the City of Tallahassee had made a bid to serve the DOC's Wakulla facility.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 8, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the

proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-5932 Filed 3-12-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-197-000, RP95-001 and RP96-44-000]

## Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Informal Settlement Conference

March 7, 1996.

Take notice that an informal settlement conference will be convened in this proceeding on Friday, March 15, 1996, as 10:00 a.m., for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the above-referenced proceeding. The conference will be held at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations. See 18 CFR 385.214.

For additional information, please contact Donald A. Heydt at (202) 208–0740 or Michael D. Cotleur at (202) 208–1076.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–5927 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am]

#### [Docket No. CP96-228-000]

### Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Application

March 7, 1996.

Take notice that on March 4, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP96–228–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for (1) a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to construct and operate certain Chickasawhay River replacement crossings and (2) an order permitting and approving the abandonment of existing facilities at the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The acquisition, ownership and operation of these facilities by QGM are nonjurisdictional activities exempt from the Commission's jurisdiction under section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act.