

where the new spans are currently located. The proposed safety zone at the Coleman Bridge will consist of a 1000 yard zone, extending west upstream 500 yards from the bridge and east downstream 500 yards from the bridge. This safety zone would be in effect during the entire dismantling and replacement evolution. The proposed safety zone at NIT would include all waters within a line connecting red buoy 12 to red buoy 14, from buoy 12 due east across the Norfolk Harbor Reach of the Elizabeth River to land, and from buoy 14 due east across the reach to land. This proposed safety zone would only be enforced during the loading and unloading of the spans.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposal is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" may include: (1) Small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields; and (2) governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. Because it expects the impact of this proposal to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Collection of Information

This proposal contains no collection-of-information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposal under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this

proposal does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that under paragraph 2.B.2.e(34) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B (as revised by 59 FR 38654; July 29, 1994), this proposal is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

Proposed Rule

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; and 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A temporary § 165.T05-008 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.T05-008 Safety Zone: James River, Elizabeth River, Chesapeake Bay, Port of Hampton Roads, VA.

(a) *Location*: The following areas are safety zones:

(1) All waters within 500 yards of any tug and tow involved in moving the Coleman Bridge spans while in both loaded and unloaded condition while transiting in either direction between Norfolk International Terminals (NIT) located on the Elizabeth River at the Norfolk Harbor Reach and the Coleman Bridge, which crosses the York River connecting Yorktown, Virginia with Gloucester Point, Virginia.

(2) All waters within 500 yards upstream and 500 yards downstream of the Coleman Bridge in the York River.

(3) All waters within a line connecting red buoy 12 to red buoy 14, and a line drawn due east from buoy 12 due east across the Norfolk Harbor Reach of the Elizabeth River to land, and from buoy 14 due east across the reach to land. This zone will be enforced during the loading and unloading of the bridge spans at NIT.

(b) *Effective date*: This section is effective from 10 p.m. on April 26, 1966

to 10 p.m. May 30, 1996, unless sooner terminated by the Captain of the Port.

(c) *Definitions*:

Captain of the Port means the Captain of the Port of Hampton Roads, VA.

Designated representative of the Captain of the Port means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port Hampton Roads to act on his behalf.

(d)(1) In accordance with the general provisions in §§ 165.23 and 165.501 of this part, entry into the zones described in paragraph (a) of this section is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative. The general requirements of §§ 165.23 and 165.501 also apply to this section.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage through the safety zones must first request authorization from the Captain of the Port or his designated representative. The Coast Guard vessels enforcing the safety zone can be contacted on VHF Marine Band Radio, channels 13 and 16. The Captain of the Port's representative at the Marine Safety Office, Hampton Roads, VA, can be contacted at telephone number (804) 441-3314.

(e) The Captain of the Port will notify the public of vessel movements and changes in the status of these zones by Marine Safety Broadcast on VHF Marine Band Radio, Channel 22 (157.1 MHz).

Dated: February 29, 1996.

Dennis A. Sande,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port.

[FR Doc. 96-6056 Filed 3-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-7170]

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or

remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

DATES: The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

ADDRESSES: The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Buckley, P.E., Chief, Hazard Identification Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain

management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities.

These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

National Environmental Policy Act

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate, certifies that this proposed rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because proposed or modified base flood elevations are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the NFIP. No regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared.

Regulatory Classification

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of

Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Executive Order 12612, Federalism

This proposed rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets the applicable standards of Section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67

Administrative practice and procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR Part 67 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 67—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 67 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

§ 67.4 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 67.4 are proposed to be amended as follows:

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
California	Red Bluff (city) Tehama County.	Reeds Creek	Approximately 430 feet upstream of Southern Pacific Railroad.	*267	*268
			Just downstream of South Jackson Street	*267	*271
			Approximately 180 feet downstream of the western corporate limits.	*275	*279
		East Sand Slough	Just upstream of Gilmore Ranch Road extended, at the corporate limits.	*267	*267
			Approximately 150 feet downstream of Antelope Boulevard.	*269	*269
			Approximately 550 feet upstream of Antelope Boulevard.	*270	*271
			Approximately 750 feet downstream of Monroe Avenue.	None	*274
		Brewery Creek Tributary.	Approximately 130 feet downstream of Monroe Avenue.	None	*280
			Just upstream of Monroe Avenue	None	*291

Maps are available for inspection at the Community Development Department, City of Red Bluff, City Hall, 555 Washington Street, Red Bluff, California.

Send comments to The Honorable Richard Bull, City Manager, City of Red Bluff, P.O. Box 400, Red Bluff, California 96080.

Wyoming	Laramie (city) Albany County.	Laramie River	Approximately 2,260 feet downstream of Curtis Street.	*7,129	*7,129
			Just upstream of Curtis Street	*7,131	*7,132
			Just downstream of new Wyoming Highway.	*7,136	*7,137
			Just upstream of Interstate Highway 80 ..	*7,141	*7,1412

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
			Approximately 1,000 feet upstream of Interstate Highway 80.	*7,143	*7,143

Maps are available for inspection at the City of Laramie, City Engineer's Office, City Hall, 406 Ivinson Street, Laramie, Wyoming.
Send comments to the Honorable Jim Rose, Mayor, City of Laramie, 406 Ivinson Street, Laramie, Wyoming 82070.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance")

Dated: March 7, 1996.

Richard W. Krimm,

Acting Associate Director for Mitigation.

[FR Doc. 96-6085 Filed 3-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-04-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CS Docket No. 96-46; FCC 96-99]

Open Video Systems

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") requests comment on issues concerning the implementation of the open video system provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The NPRM will assist the Commission in devising regulations in this area. The NPRM will provide interested parties an opportunity to submit comments that will provide the Commission with a sufficient record on which to base ultimate regulations.

DATES: Interested parties may file comments on or before April 1, 1996 and reply comments on or before April 11, 1996. Written comments by the public on the proposed and/or modified information collections are due on or before April 1, 1996. Written comments must be submitted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on the proposed and/or modified information collections on or before May 13, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments and reply comments should be sent to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554, with a copy to Larry Walke of the Cables Services Bureau, 2033 M Street, N.W., Room 408A, Washington, D.C. 20554. Parties should also file one copy of any documents filed in this docket with the Commission's copy contractor,

International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 239, Washington, D.C. 20554.

In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554, or via the Internet to dconway@fcc.gov, and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB, 725-17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503 or via the Internet to fain_t@al.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Chessen or Larry Walke, Cable Services Bureau, (202) 416-0800. For additional information concerning the information collections contained herein, contact Dorothy Conway at 202-418-0217, or via the Internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's NPRM in CS Docket No. 96-46, FCC No. 96-99, adopted March 11, 1996 and released March 11, 1996. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20554, and may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857-3800, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20554.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This NPRM contains proposed or modified information collections subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). It has been submitted to the OMB for review under Section 3507(d) of the PRA. OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on the proposed or modified information collections contained in this proceeding.

OMB Approval Number: None.

Title: Open Video System Operator Notification of Video Programming Providers.

Type of Review: New Third Party Disclosure.

Respondents: 20. This number is our preliminary estimation of open video system operators that may exist in the next year.

Number of Responses: 40. We anticipate that each open video system operator may make two notifications, annually.

Estimated Time Per Response: 8 hours per response.

Total Annual Burden: 320 hours. This is the estimated total annual burden though this burden will be determined by comments received.

Estimated costs per Respondent: At this stage in the rulemaking process, it is too preliminary to determine the specific requirements for the notifications to be made by open video system operators. This will be determined by comments received. It is possible that notifications may be required to be made in newspapers or trade journals. Should this be required, the Commission estimates publication costs of \$1000 per notification. Estimated annual costs per respondent are therefore \$2000 (2 notifications @ \$1000 each).

Needs and Uses: This notification will inform video programming providers that the open video system operator intends to establish an open video system. This will permit video programming providers to assess their interest in seeking carriage on such systems.

I. Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The 1996 Act repeals the Commission's "video dialtone" rules and regulations, which were established to permit telephone companies to participate in the video marketplace in a manner that was consistent with the telephone-cable cross-ownership ban. The 1996 Act also repeals the telephone-cable cross ownership rules imposed by the 1984 Cable Act, which prohibited telephone companies from providing video programming directly to subscribers in their telephone service