

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled to be officially filed in the Wyoming State Office, Cheyenne, Wyoming, thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this publication.

Sixth Principal Meridian Wyoming

T. 47 N., R. 69 W., Accepted March 7, 1996.

T. 49 N., R. 69 W., Accepted March 7, 1996.

T. 30 N., R. 97 W., Accepted March 15, 1996.

T. 31 N., R. 97 W., Accepted March 15, 1996.

If protests against a survey, as shown on any of the above plats, are received prior to the official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest(s) and or appeal(s). A plat will not be officially filed until after disposition of protest(s) and or appeal(s). These plats will be placed in the open files of the Wyoming State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 5353 Yellowstone Road, Cheyenne, Wyoming, and will be available to the public as a matter of information only. Copies of the plats will be made available upon request and prepayment of the reproduction fee of \$1.10 per copy.

A person or party who wishes to protest a survey must file with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Cheyenne, Wyoming, a notice of protest prior to thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this publication. If the protest notice did not include a statement of reasons for the protest, the protestant shall file such a statement with the State Director within thirty (30) calendar days after the notice of protest was filed.

The above-listed plats represent dependent resurveys, subdivision of sections.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1828, 5353 Yellowstone Road, Cheyenne, Wyoming 82003.

Dated: March 15, 1996.

John P. Lee,

Chief, Cadastral Survey Group.

[FR Doc. 96-6896 Filed 3-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree embodying a partial

settlement in *United States versus Allied-Signal, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 93-6490 MRP, was lodged on March 14, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The decree resolves the liability of the settling defendants for reimbursement of response costs incurred pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*, by the United States Environmental Protection Agency at the North Hollywood Operable Unit ("NHO") of the San Fernando Valley Basin Superfund Site ("SFVB"), in the greater Los Angeles Area. The settling defendants, Lockheed Martin Corporation, Airport Group International, Inc., Waste Management Recycling & Disposal Services of California, Inc., CalMat Co., Pick-Your-Part Auto Wrecking, Pacific Steel Treating Company, Inc., Fleetwood Machine Products, Inc., the Erik and Else Bruun-Andersen Trust, and the Amended Cooke Family Trust, have agreed to pay a total of \$4.75 million to the United States to resolve their liability for past and future NHO response costs and past SFVB Basin-wide costs through April 30, 1992.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Allied-Signal, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-1149.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Central District of California, Federal Building, Room 7516, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, California; the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$12.00 (25 cents per page

reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96-6934 Filed 3-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Stipulation and Settlement Under the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Stipulation and Settlement in *U.S. v. Caribe Tuna, Inc.*, Civ. No. 91-1926 (D.P.R.), was lodged on March 13, 1996 with the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico. The complaint in this action seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties under Section 301 of the Clean Water Act ("the Act"), 33 U.S.C. 1311, in connection with Caribe Tuna, Inc.'s ("CTI") operation of a tuna processing plant located in Ponce, Puerto Rico.

The proposed Stipulation and Settlement provides for CTI to pay a civil penalty to the United States of \$300,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Stipulation and Settlement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611, and should refer to *U.S. v. Caribe Tuna, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-5-1-3638.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Federal Office Building, Room 452, Carlos E. Chardon Avenue, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918; the Region II Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Records Center, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., Fourth Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, Fourth Floor, N.W. Washington D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$2.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96-6935 Filed 3-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Lone Star Industries, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:96CV33SNL, was lodged on March 14, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

In its complaint, which was filed along with the Consent Decree, the United States alleges that defendant Lone Star Industries Inc. ("Lone Star") failed to comply with Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7413. In particular, the United States contends that Lone Star violated the New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart 000, promulgated pursuant to Section 111 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7411, in that the defendant failed to comply with certain reporting and testing requirements at its nonmetallic mineral processing plant located in Cape Girardeau, Missouri.

Under the Consent Decree, Lone Star will pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$40,000 to the United States. In addition, Lone Star will implement a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") at its Cape Girardeau plant designed to control fugitive dust emissions by paving certain roads within the plant at an estimated cost of \$150,000 by no later than December 31, 1996.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Lone Star Industries, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-1938.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, U.S. Courthouse, 1114 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101-2075; the Region 7 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.50 (25 cents

per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 96-6933 Filed 3-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 94-42]

William P. Jerome, M.D.; Grant of Restricted Registration

On March 29, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to William P. Jerome, M.D., (Respondent) of Davenport, Iowa, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not deny his application for registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged in substance that the Respondent (1) between December 1988 and February 1990, prescribed and dispensed controlled substances to individuals in exchange for money, cocaine and/or sexual favors; (2) allowed an individual to grow marijuana on his property; (3) falsified the names of individuals on prescriptions that he issued for controlled substances; (4) on February 7 and 8, 1990, dispensed 316 dosage units of controlled substances to an undercover officer for no legitimate medical reason; (5) on February 22, 1990, was indicted in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Iowa on nine felony counts related to the unlawful distribution and prescription of controlled substances; (6) pled guilty on April 26, 1990, to one count of conspiracy to distribute controlled substances, and as a condition of the plea agreement, voluntarily surrendered his DEA registration, was sentenced to twelve months imprisonment with a five year term of supervised release probation, and fined \$15,000.00; and (7) on November 29, 1990, as a result of the criminal conviction, the Iowa Board of Medical Examiners (Medical Board) revoked his medical license, which was subsequently reinstated on October 13, 1992, subject to certain terms and conditions.

On April 21, 1994, the Respondent, through counsel, filed a timely request for a hearing, and following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Des Moines, Iowa, on February 8 and 9, 1995, before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. At the hearing, both

parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence, and after the hearing, counsel for both sides submitted proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument. On August 22, 1995, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Ruling, recommending that the Respondent's application be granted with specified restrictions. Neither party filed exceptions to her decision, and on September 25, 1995, Judge Bittner transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Deputy Administrator.

The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety, and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues his final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth. The Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Ruling, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, and his adoption is in no manner diminished by any recitation of facts, issues and conclusions herein, or of any failure to mention a matter of fact or law.

The Deputy Administrator finds that in November of 1989, a DEA diversion investigator (Investigator) received information from a special agent of the Iowa Division of Narcotics Enforcement (Special Agent) that the Respondent gave prescription drugs and prescriptions written under fictitious names to a Ms. M. in exchange for sexual favors. On January 16, 1990, the Investigator interviewed Ms. M., and she stated that she had received controlled substance samples and prescriptions from the Respondent in exchange for sexual favors, that the Respondent had written prescriptions for her, using about twenty names other than her own, and that she had taken the prescriptions to a number of different pharmacies to be filled. The Investigator testified before Judge Bittner, stating that Ms. M. also had provided the name of another individual (Mr. S.) who had received prescriptions from the Respondent for controlled substances intended for her use, and that this individual had filled the prescriptions and had given the substances to her in exchange for sexual favors, all with the Respondent's knowledge. Further, Ms. M. provided the name of an individual (Mr. D.) who had supplied cocaine to the Respondent. Ms. M. also told the Investigator that the respondent had provided her with cocaine, and that she had witnessed him use cocaine.

Ms. M. testified before a grand jury the same day that the Investigator