

Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue securities pursuant to:

(i) A \$30 million Credit Agreement entered into with The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. (Bank) (the "636 Notes");

(ii) A \$20 million Promissory Note with the Bank backed by a Security and Loan Agreement (Secured Note); and

(iii) The aggregate of \$92 million of Promissory Notes under lines of credit with Chemical Bank Corporation (\$30 million), Marine Midland Bank, N.A. (\$15 million), Mellon Bank, N.A. (\$25 million), Citibank, N.A. (\$20 million), and First National Bank of Rochester (\$2 million);

during the period from June 1, 1996 through May 31, 1998, and which will have maturity dates of one year or less from the date of issuance.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before April 15, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to make become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7804 Filed 3-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-180-000]

Stingray Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 26, 1996.

Take notice that on March 21, 1996, Stingray Pipeline Company (Stingray) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, First Revised Sheet Nos. 133 and 134 to become effective April 1, 1996.

Stingray states that the purpose of the filing is to revise its cashout procedures to remove any economic incentive shippers may have to overdeliver or underdeliver gas to Stingray.

Stingray requests whatever waivers may be necessary to permit the tariff sheets as submitted to become effective April 1, 1996.

Stingray states that copies of the filing are being mailed to Stingray's

jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7802 Filed 3-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM96-10-29-001]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Filing

March 26, 1996.

Take notice that on March 21, 1996 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 29 to its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, and Ninth Revised Sheet No. 1300B to its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2. Such tariff sheets are proposed to be effective April 1, 1996.

Transco states that the purpose of the instant filing is to supplement Transco's March 1, 1996 Fuel Tracker Filing in Docket No. TM96-10-29-000 in order to (1) correct an error in the calculation of the revised fuel retention percentage under Rate Schedule WSS, and (2) correct the pagination of Sheet No. 1300B. It has come to Transco's attention that the Rate Schedule WSS fuel retention percentage set forth on Fifth Revised Sheet No. 29 was incorrect due to an inadvertent mathematical error. Also, Transco incorrectly paginated Sheet No. 1300B as "Eighth Revised", which sheet was previously rejected as moot in the Commission's December 4, 1995 order in Docket No. RP95-197-004.

Therefore, in order to correct these errors, Transco states that it is submitting in the instant filing

Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 29 which reflects the correct Rate Schedule WSS fuel retention percentage, and Ninth Revised Sheet No. 1300B in order to reflect the appropriate pagination designation for Sheet No. 1300B.

Transco states that it is serving copies of the instant filing to its customers, State Commissions and other interested parties to Docket No. TM96-10-29-000.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7800 Filed 3-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-181-000]

Trunkline Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 26, 1996.

Take notice that on March 21, 1996, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed on Appendix A attached to the filing, proposed to be effective April 20, 1996.

Trunkline states that the propose of this filing is to provide Trunkline's firm shippers under Rate Schedules FT, EFT and QNT with a customized reservation rate that will allow them maximum flexibility in dealing with market conditions throughout the contract year. The Customized Reservation Pattern (CRP) election will allow a firm shipper to shift, during each twelve month period commencing November 1, up to 80% of the reservation charge obligation for the April to October period into the preceding November to March period. By permitting a shipper to customize its cost-based reservation charges, CRP will raise or lower the maximum monthly charge to better reflect conditions in its own markets and to the secondary market for capacity release. This will further the Commission's goals of allocating capacity to those shippers who value it most and permit shippers