

engages in intrusive mineral collection within the Forks of Butte Creek Special Recreation Area in violation of permit terms or stipulations may be subject to a fine not to exceed \$1,000 and/or imprisonment not exceed 12 months.

Charles M. Schultz,

Redding Area Manager.

[FR Doc. 96-8392 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Innovative Community-Oriented Policing Grant Program (ICOP), Parts I and II.

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register and allowed 60 days for public comment.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534. Written comments may also be submitted to Charlotte C. Black, Assistant General Counsel, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, or via facsimile at (202) 616-2914.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points:

(1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

The proposed collection is listed below: Innovative Community-Oriented Policing Grants Program (ICOP) Application, Parts I and II.

(1) Type of information collection. Voluntary application for federal funding to support innovative community policing.

(2) The title of the form/collection. Innovative Community-Oriented Policing Grants Program (ICOP) Application, Parts I (Reducing Crime and Disorder Through Problem Solving Partnerships) and II (Developing Community Policing).

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection. Form: COPS 16/01 and 16/02. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract. State, local, or tribal local governments.

The ICOP program is designed to support local law enforcement agencies in collaboration with non-profit community entities in developing and implementing innovative community policing strategies, either by targeting one specific crime problem to fight through a community partnership (ICOP Part I), or be developing community policing through training, changing organizational structure, or community policing centers (ICOP Part II).

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 4,210 respondents: 14 hours per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection. 67,781 annual burden hours.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

Dated: April 1, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96-8417 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-21-M

Drug Enforcement Administration

Ronald Phillips, D.O.; Revocation of Registration

On July 20, 1995, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Ronald Phillips, D.O., (Respondent) of Brookhaven, Pennsylvania, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AP171048, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4), and deny any pending application under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged, among other things, that (1) during the course of a DEA investigation, "DEA investigators identified approximately fifteen local pharmacies in which numerous prescriptions for controlled substances in Schedules II through V were retrieved which had been written by [the Respondent], in the names of family members, for the purpose of obtaining controlled substances for [his] personal use" (2) in July of 1993, the Respondent voluntarily enrolled in the Pennsylvania Physicians' Health Program, a program which provides substance abuse treatment for physicians, but that in August of 1994, DEA investigators were informed that the Respondent had failed to comply with the terms of the treatment agreement; and (3) in May of 1995, the Respondent was indicted by a Grand Jury in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on one count of fraudulently obtaining controlled substances in Schedules II through IV for his personal use in violation of 21 U.S.C. 843(a)(3).

On August 21, 1995, the Respondent, through counsel, filed a request for a hearing. On August 28, 1995, Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner issued an Order for Prehearing Statements, informing the parties of her appointment as the presiding officer in this case, and ordering the Respondent to file his prehearing statement on or before October 10, 1995, and the Government counsel to file her