

Copies of the agenda will be available free of charge at the hearing.

Cynthia E. Grigsby,  
Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief  
Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 96-8459 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

#### 29 CFR Parts 1910, 1915 and 1926

[Docket No. H-041]

#### Occupational Exposure to 1,3-Butadiene

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Proposed Rule; Extension of time to submit comments.

**SUMMARY:** On March 8, 1996, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) reopened the record for the proposed revision of the 1,3 Butadiene (BD) standard. (61 FR 9381, March xx, 1996). OSHA is extending the comment period to allow additional time for parties to address the issues raised in the document, including the joint labor/industry recommendations of January 29, 1996. The labor/industry agreement recommended that OSHA reduce the permissible exposure limits and expanded on some provisions that were addressed in OSHA's 1990 proposal. (55 FR 32736, August 10, 1990).

**DATES:** Written comments must be postmarked by April 26, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments are to be submitted in quadruplicate to the Docket Office, Docket No. H-041, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2634, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210. Telephone (202) 219-7894. Written comments limited to 10 pages or less in length may also be transmitted by facsimile to (202) 219-5046, provided the original and 3 copies are sent to the Docket Office thereafter.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Anne C. Cyr, Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3647, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210. Telephone (202) 219-8148. Copies of the labor/industry recommendations and submissions to the record are available for inspection and copying in the

Docket Office. For electronic copies of this notice, contact the Labor News Bulletin Board (202) 219-4784; or OSHA's WebPage on the Internet at <http://www.osha.gov/>. For news releases, fact sheets, and other short documents, contact OSHA FAX at (900) 555-3400 at \$1.50 per minute.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On August 10, 1990, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) published a notice of proposed rulemaking on BD. (55 FR 32736). Following receipt of recommendations from a joint labor/industry group, OSHA reopened the BD rulemaking record for 30 days, until April 8, 1996, (61 FR 9381), in order to give the public opportunity to comment. The notice reprinted the agreement and raised issues related to its provisions along with some agency concerns. Representatives of the labor/industry group have requested additional time to submit a clarification of their recommendations and their responses to issues raised by the agency in the notice reopening the BD record. OSHA is granting their request. Accordingly, this notice extends the period for the submission of comments until April 26, 1996.

##### II. Public Participation—Comments

Written comments must be postmarked by April 26, 1996. Four copies of these comments must be submitted to the Docket Office, Docket No. HS-041, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20210. Written comments limited to 10 pages or less in length may also be transmitted by facsimile to (202) 219-5046, provided the original and 3 copies are sent to the Docket Office thereafter. All materials submitted will be available for inspection and copying at the above address. Materials previously submitted to the Docket for this rulemaking need not be re-submitted.

##### III. Authority

This document was prepared under the direction of Joseph A. Dear, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20210. It is issued pursuant to section 6(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (29 U.S.C. 655), and 29 CFR part 1911.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 1st day of April, 1996.

Joseph A. Dear,

Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 96-8504 Filed 4-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

## POSTAL SERVICE

### 39 CFR Part 111

#### Deposit of Mail With Insufficient Postage

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would revise the Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) regarding the treatment of mail with insufficient postage that is deposited for delivery. Currently, mail deposited with no postage is returned to the sender without an attempt at delivery, whereas mail deposited with insufficient postage is marked "POSTAGE DUE" and delivered to the addressee upon payment of the charges marked on the mail. If a sender deposits 10 or more pieces of shortpaid mail, the accepting post office may contact the sender to obtain payment of the additional postage prior to dispatch.

The proposed rule would treat mail with no postage and insufficient postage alike: such mail generally would be returned to the sender without an attempt at delivery. As is currently the case with mail bearing no postage, mail displaying no return address or a return address that is actually the address of the intended recipient would be sent to a Postal Service mail recovery center.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 20, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed or delivered to Manager, Revenue Assurance, USPS Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20260-5237. Copies of all written comments will be available for inspection and photocopying between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, in Room 8831 at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rita W. Crawford, (202) 268-2831.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Current regulations allow the Postal Service to mark shortpaid mail "POSTAGE DUE" and collect the amount due from the addressee or return the mail to the sender for additional postage after delivery is attempted. Societal changes have rendered this procedure impractical. Letter carriers are often unable to find an individual who can