

People interested in presenting papers at the workshop should submit an abstract of no more than one page to the conference organizer identified below. Abstracts should be received by April 29th and submitters will be notified if their paper has been accepted for presentation by May 6th. All papers should focus on analytical issues related to the issues described above. Papers will be selected on the basis of their relevance to the workshop topics, the availability of time, and the need for presentations in each of the three areas identified in the mandate.

For information about attending the workshop or submitting an abstract of a paper for the meeting, please call (703) 934-3870.

**DATES:** The conference will be held June 6-7, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeremy Symons, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, NW, Mail Code 6202J, Washington, DC 20460.

Dated: April 17, 1996.

Mary D. Nichols,  
Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 96-10088 Filed 4-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

---

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Public Information Collection Approved by Office of Management and Budget

April 17, 1996.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collection pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 96-511. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Questions concerning the OMB control numbers and expiration dates should be directed to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-0217.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060-0054.

Expiration Date: 2/28/99.

**Title:** Application for Exemption from Ship Radio Station Requirements FCC Form 820.

**Estimated Annual Burden:** 233 hours annual burden; average 1 hour and 10 minutes per respondent; 200 respondents.

**Description:** FCC Rules require this collection of information when exemptions from radio provisions of statute; treaty or international agreement are requested. The data is used by the examiners to determine the applicants qualifications for the requested exemption.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0541.

Expiration Date: 2/28/99.

**Title:** Transmittal Sheet for Phase 2 Cellular Applications for Unserved Areas.

Form: FCC Form 464-A.

**Estimated Annual Burden:** 1,660 total annual hours; average 10 minutes per respondent; 10,000 respondents.

**Description:** The information is used by the Commission to determine whether the applicant is qualified legally, technically, and financially to be licensed as a cellular operator. Without the information the Commission could not determine whether to issue licenses to the applicants that provide telecommunications services to the public. The transmittal sheet facilitates application intake and other processing functions. The applicant must certify on the form that the application is complete in every respect and contains all the required information.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0321.

Expiration Date: 2/28/99.

**Title:** Sampling systems for Antenna Monitors - Section 73.68.

**Estimated Annual Burden:** 200 total annual hours; average 2 hours per respondent; 100 respondents.

**Description:** Section 73.68(b) requires that licensees of existing AM broadcast stations with antenna monitor sampling systems, meeting the performance standards specified in the rules may file informal request for approval of their sampling systems. Section 73.68(d) requires that a request for modification of the station license be submitted by the FCC when the antenna sampling system is modified or components of the the sampling system are replaced. The data is used by staff to maintain complete technical information regarding licensees to insure that the sampling system is in full compliance with the rules and will not cause interference to other facilities.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0175.

Expiration Date: 2/28/99.

**Title:** Station Main Studio Location Section 73.1125.

**Estimated Annual Burden:** 68 total annual hours; average 30 minutes per respondent; 135 respondents.

**Description:** Section 73.1125 requires AM, FM or TV licensees to locate their main studio at any point within the station's principal community contours. If the station relocates its main studio the licensee is required to notify the Commission. This notice assures that the station is located within the principal community contours and notifies FCC of the change in mailing address.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0160.

Expiration Date: 2/28/99.

**Title:** Directional Antenna Monitoring Points - Section 73.158.

Form: N/A.

**Estimated Annual Burden:** 320 total annual hours; average 4 hours per respondent; 80 respondents.

**Description:** Section 73.158 requires licensees of AM radio stations using a directional antenna system to file an informal application to modify their station license for changes in field monitoring point and for routing description to each. It also requires licensees to file a request for a corrected station license when the descriptive routing to reach any of the monitoring points is no longer correct. The data is used by FCC staff to alleviate electro magnetic interference and issue a new license.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9930 Filed 4-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

---

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 96-09]

### Southern Pacific Transportation Co. and the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co. v. Port of Long Beach; Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint filed by Southern Pacific Transportation Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company ("Complainants") against Port of Long Beach ("Respondent") was served April 17, 1996. Complainants allege that Respondent has violated section 10(d)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app 1709(d)(1), in connection with a new item in Respondent's tariff that imposes an access charge for use of Port owned rail tracks, contrary to provisions of existing agreements between Complainants and the City of Long Beach.

This proceeding has been assigned to the office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by April 17, 1997, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by August 15, 1997.

Joseph C. Polking,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-9885 Filed 4-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

### Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

RMG International Inc., 755 Bradfield, Houston, TX 77060, Officers: Robert M. Goodsir, President, Michael K. Freeman, Vice President

Smile Enterprises Co., 500 Carson Plaza Drive, #125, Carson, CA 90746, Se Il Cha, Sole Proprietor

Dated: April 18, 1996.

Joseph C. Polking,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-9956 Filed 4-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 67301-01-M

[Docket No. 96-08]

### Longrow Shipping Limited; Possible Violations of Sections 8 and 10(b)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984 and Commission Rule 514.1(e)(1); Order of Investigation and Hearing

This proceeding is instituted pursuant to sections 3, 8, 10, 11 and 13 of the Shipping Act of 1984 ("1984 Act"), 46 USC app. 1702, 1707, 1709, 1710 and 1712, and the Federal Maritime Commission's ("Commission") regulations governing the tariffing of non-vessel-operating common carriers, 46 CFR Part 514.

Longrow Shipping Limited ("Longrow") is a non-vessel-operating common carrier ("NVOCC") incorporated in Hong Kong in 1991. Its receiving agent in the United States and agent for service of process is Pan-Pacific Express Corporation in California. Longrow currently maintains a tariff, effective July 17, 1994, in the Commission's Automated Tariff Filing and Information System. It holds an NVOCC surety bond, issued on May 26, 1994, in the amount of \$50,000.

It appears that between May 30 and July 16, 1994, Longrow may have operated as a NVOCC without an effective tariff. During this time, Longrow held itself out as a NVOCC providing ocean transportation from Hong Kong to the United States in its dealings with at least five shippers and one ocean common carrier. Section 8 of the 1984 Act, 46 USC app. 1707, provides that no common carrier may provide service in the United States foreign trade unless the carrier first has filed a tariff with the Commission showing all of its rates, charges and practices. Section 8 also states that no new rates may become effective earlier than 30 days after filing at the Commission. In promulgating this statutory provision, Commission rule 514.9(b)(9)(i)(A), 46 CFR 514.9(b)(9)(i)(A), explains that "[n]ew tariffs \* \* \* shall \* \* \* be filed to become effective not earlier than 30 days after the date of filing." According to the records maintained by the Commission's Bureau of Tariffs, Certification and Licensing, Longrow did not have an effective tariff until July 17, 1994. Commission rule 514.1(e)(1), 46 CFR 514.1(e)(1), provides that "[o]perating without an effective tariff on file with the Commission \* \* \* is unlawful." Therefore, it would appear and Longrow, by providing and holding out to the public to provide transportation by water of cargo for compensation and by contracting as a shipper in relation to a common carrier

for the transportation of cargo of other persons, may have acted as a NVOCC without an effective tariff, in violation of section 8 of the 1984 Act and Commission rule 514.1(e)(1).

After Longrow's tariff became effective, Longrow transported between July 17, 1994 and February 21, 1995, at least twenty (20) shipments from Hong Kong to the United States. For those shipments, Longrow appears to have charged rates other than those shown in Longrow's tariff. Pursuant to section 10(b)(1), 46 USC app. 1709(b)(1), the 1984 Act maintains that a common carrier is prohibited from charging, demanding, collecting or receiving greater, less or different compensation for transportation of property than the rates shown in its tariffs or service contracts. This prohibition is reiterated in Commission rule 514.1(e)(1) which states that "charging rates not in conformance with such a tariff is lawful." Therefore, Longrow may have violated section 10(b)(1) of the 1984 Act and Commission rule 514.1(e)(1) by charging rates other than those shown in its tariff between July 17, 1994 and February 21, 1995.

Section 11 of the 1984 Act, 46 USC app. 1710, sets forth the Commission's authority to investigate any conduct that may be in violation of the 1984 Act. In the event violations are found, section 13 of the 1984 Act, 46 USC app. 1712, provides that the Commission may assess civil penalties for violations of the 1984 Act and the regulations issued thereunder.

*Now therefore it is ordered,* That pursuant to sections 3, 8, 10, 11, and 13 of the 1984 Act, 46 USC app. 1702, 1707, 1709, 1710, and 1712, an investigation is hereby instituted to determine:

(1) Whether Longrow Shipping Limited violated section 8 of the 1984 Act and Commission rule 514.1(e)(1), by providing common carrier services without an effective tariff filed at the Commission between May 30, 1994 and July 16, 1994;

(2) Whether Longrow Shipping Limited violated section 10(b) of the 1984 Act and Commission rule 514.1(e)(1), by failing to charge the rates shown in its tariff between July 17, 1994 and February 21, 1995;

(3) Whether, in the event Longrow Shipping Limited violated sections 8 and 10(b) of the 1984 Act and Commission rule 514.1(e)(1), civil penalties should be assessed and, if so, the amount of such penalties;

*It is further ordered,* That a public hearing be held in this proceeding and that this matter be assigned for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge of