of the petition. No comments were received in response to the notice. Based on its review of the information submitted by the petitioner, NHTSA has decided to grant the petition.

Vehicle Eligibility Number for Subject Vehicles

The importer of a vehicle admissible under any final decision must indicate on the form HS-7 accompanying entry the appropriate vehicle eligibility number indicating that the vehicle is eligible for entry. VSP-150 is the vehicle eligibility number assigned to vehicles admissible under this notice of final decision.

Final Decision

Accordingly, on the basis of the foregoing, NHTSA hereby decides that a 1995 Chevrolet 400 SS pickup truck manufactured for the Mexican market is substantially similar to a 1995 Chevrolet C1500 originally manufactured for sale in the United States and certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: April 17, 1996.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 96–9901 Filed 4–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–M

[Docket No. 96-13; Notice 2]

Decision That Nonconforming 1972 Ford Mustang Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, (NHTSA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of decision by NHTSA that nonconforming 1972 Ford Mustang passenger care manufactured for the Mexican market are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the decision by NHTSA that 1972 Ford Mustang passenger cars manufactured for the Mexican market that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to a vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S.-certified version of the 1972 Ford Mustang), and they are capable of

being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: This decision is effective on or before April 23, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTS (202–366–5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) (formerly section 108(c)(3)(A)(i) of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (the Act)), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United Sates unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115 (formerly section 114 of the Act), and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufactures or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Wallace Environmental Testing Laboratories, Inc. of Houston, Texas (Registered Importer R-90-005) petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1972 Ford Mustang passenger cars manufactured for the Mexican market are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published notice of the petition on February 21, 1996 (61 FR 6685) to afford an opportunity for public comment. The reader is referred to that notice for a thorough description of the petition. No comments were received in response to the notice. Based on its review of the information submitted by the petitioner, NHTSA has decided to grant the petition.

Vehicle Eligibility Number for Subject Vehicles

The importer of a vehicle admissible under any7 final decision must indicate on the form HS-7 accompanying entry the appropriate vehicle eligibility under indicating that the vehicle is eligible for entry. VSP-151 is the vehicle eligibility number assigned to vehicles admissible under this decision.

Final Decision

Accordingly, on the basis of the foregoing, NHTSA hereby decides that a 1972 Ford Mustang manufactured for the Mexican market that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is substantially similar to a 1972 Ford Mustang originally manufactured for sale in the United States and certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: April 17, 1996. Marilynne Jacobs

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 96–9903 Filed 4–22–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-M

Research and Special Programs Administration

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of request to extend an existing OMB approved information collection (2137–0584).

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, a notice was published in the Federal Register on February 1, 1996 stating the Research and Special Programs Administration's (RSPA) intention to request OMB approval to extend this information collection. The notice allowed 60 days for public comments; none were received. The information collection has been submitted to OMB for review and approval, and the purpose of this notice is to allow 30 days from the date of this notice for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity