actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Southern Natural Gas Company, Birmingham, AL; Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, its subsidiary and affiliate companies, Houston, TX; and Woodward Governor Company, Fort Collins, CO; and its general areas of planned activities are to document, from a mechanical integrity perspective, the impact of precision continuous engine autobalancing on mechanical wear and failure.

Membership in the program remains open, and SwRI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in the membership or planned activities. Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 96–10574 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Drug Enforcement Administration [DEA #144W]

Controlled Substances: 1996 Aggregate Production Quotas

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice. ACTION: Withdrawal of an interim notice regarding levorphanol and establishment of the revised 1996 aggregate production quota for heroin.

SUMMARY: DEA is withdrawing the portion of the interim notice published on March 18, 1996 (61 FR 11063) which established the revised 1996 aggregate production quota for levorphanol, and is adopting without change the revised 1996 aggregate production quota for heroin.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Howard McClain, Jr., Chief, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington DC, 20537, (202) 307–7183.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 826), requires the Attorney General to establish aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I and II each year. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA pursuant to Section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Administrator, in turn, has redelegated this function to the Deputy Administrator of the DEA pursuant to Section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

On March 18, 1996, an interim notice establishing revised 1996 aggregate

production quotas for heroin and levorphanol was published in the Federal Register (61 FR 11063). The basis for the proposed increase in the levorphanol aggregate production quota was that there was only one bulk manufacturer of levorphanol. A comment was filed that pointed out that there is more than one manufacturer of levorphanol. Given that this was the basis for the increase in the levorphanol aggregate production quota, DEA is withdrawing the revised 1996 aggregate production quota for levorphanol.

No comments were received regarding heroin, therefore, the revised 1996 aggregate production quota for heroin is adopted without change.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that notices of aggregate production quotas are not subject to centralized review under Executive Order 12866. This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that this matter does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. The establishment of annual aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. While aggregate production quotas are of primary importance to large manufacturers, their impact upon small entities is neither negative nor beneficial. Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826), delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by Section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and redelegated to the Deputy Administrator, pursuant to Section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Deputy Administrator hereby orders that the revised 1996 aggregate production quota for heroin be established at 5 grams, expressed as anhydrous base.

Dated: April 24, 1996. Stephen H. Greene, Deputy Administrator. [FR Doc. 96–10616 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 11:00 a.m., Friday, May 3, 1996.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Administrative Action under Section 206 of the Federal Credit Union Act. Closed pursuant to exemptions (8), (9)(A)(ii), and (9)(B).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hattie Ulan, Acting Secretary of the Board, Telephone (703) 518–6300. Hattie Ulan.

Acting Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 96–10703 Filed 4–25–96; 4:24 pm] BILLING CODE 7535–01–M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities; Arts and Artifacts Indemnity Panel Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Arts and Artifacts Indemnity Panel of the Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities will be held at 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, in Room 714, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., on Friday, May 17, 1996.

The purpose of the meeting is to review applications for Certificates of Indemnity submitted to the Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities for exhibitions beginning after July 1, 1996.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial and commercial data and because it is important to keep values of objects, methods of transportation and security measures confidential, pursuant to the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (9) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of views and to avoid interference with the operations of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the