

motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, and 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any protests or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified deadline date for the particular application.

**E1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents**—The application is not ready for environmental analysis at this time; therefore, the Commission is not now requesting comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions.

When the application is ready for environmental analysis, the Commission will issue a public notice requesting comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "PROTEST" or "MOTION TO INTERVENE;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-11059 Filed 5-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### Notice of Application for Conduit Exemption

April 29, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. Type of Application: Conduit Exemption.

b. Project No.: 11572-000.

c. Date filed: February 8, 1996.

d. Applicant: Roosevelt Water Conservation District.

e. Name of Project: RWCD Conduit.

f. Location: On the RWCD irrigation conduit, near Mesa City, in Maricopa County, Arizona.

g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act 16 USC §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. Applicant Contact: Mr. Michael O. Leonard, General Manager, Roosevelt Water Conservation District, P.O. Box 100, Higley, AZ 85235.

i. FERC Contact: Michael Spencer at (202) 219-2846.

j. Deadline Date for Protests, Interventions, Terms and Conditions: June 21, 1996.

k. Status of Environmental Analysis: This application is ready for environmental analysis at this time—see attached paragraph D4.

l. Description of Project: The proposed project would consist of: (1) a bifurcation attached to the applicant's existing irrigation conduit; (2) a 100-foot-long, 42-inch-diameter penstock; (3) a powerhouse containing one generating unit with a capacity of 860 kW and an average annual generation of 6,885 MWh.

m. Purpose of Project: Project power would be used by the applicant.

n. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: A2, A9, B, and D4.

A2. Development Application—Any qualified applicant desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before the specified deadline date for the particular application, a competing development application, or a notice of intent to file such an application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing development application no later than 120 days after the specified deadline date for the particular application. Applications for preliminary permits will not be accepted in response to this notice.

A9. Notice of intent—A notice of intent must specify the exact name, business address, and telephone number of the prospective applicant, and must include an unequivocal statement of intent to submit, if such an application may be filed, either a preliminary permit application or a development application (specify which type of application). A notice of intent must be served on the applicant(s) named in this public notice.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit

comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

**D4. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents**—The application is ready for environmental analysis at this time, and the Commission is requesting comments, reply comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and prescriptions.

The Commission directs, pursuant to Section 4.34(b) of the Regulations (see Order No. 533 issued May 8, 1991, 56 FR 23108, May 20, 1991) that all comments, recommendations, terms and conditions and prescriptions concerning the application be filed with the Commission within 60 days from the issuance date of this notice. All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of this notice.

Anyone may obtain an extension of time for these deadlines from the Commission only upon a showing of good cause or extraordinary circumstances in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2008.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "PROTEST", "MOTION TO INTERVENE", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMMENTS," "REPLY COMMENTS," "RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or "PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application. A copy of all other filings in reference to this application must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and 385.2010.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-11060 Filed 5-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP90-1777-008, et al.]

**TransColorado Gas Transmission Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

April 26, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. TransColorado Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP90-1777-008]

Take notice that on April 23, 1996, TransColorado Gas Transmission Company (TransColorado), 12055 West 2nd Place, Lakewood, Colorado 80228 filed in Docket No. CP90-1777-008 a petition to amend the existing authorization issued in Docket Nos. CP90-1777-000, CP90-1777-001, and CP90-1777-006 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, to phase construction of the project and to establish Phase I initial rates, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

On June 3, 1994, TransColorado was authorized in Docket Nos. CP90-1777-000, CP90-1777-001, and CP90-1777-006 (the June order) to construct and operate a new pipeline system extending from an interconnection with Questar Pipeline Company in northwest Colorado to interconnections with El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern), and Public Service Company of New Mexico (Public Service) in the San Juan Basin of northern New Mexico. Specifically, TransColorado, then a partnership including affiliates of Questar, Public Service Company of Colorado and KN Energy Company, was authorized to

construct and operate 311 miles of 22-inch and 24-inch pipeline, two compressor stations with a total horsepower of 10,150, and various metering and associated facilities from the Big Hole area of Rio Blanco County, Colorado to a terminus in San Juan County, New Mexico. The June order also authorized initial rates.

TransColorado states that since the June order there have been a number of developments affecting the project. First, an affiliate of El Paso has purchased the partnership interest formerly held by an affiliate of Public Service Company of Colorado.<sup>1</sup> Second, TransColorado has reevaluated the scope and timing of the project to reflect current market considerations. TransColorado states that as a direct result of recent marketing efforts for its pipeline system, it has identified several producers in the San Juan Basin which would benefit from the construction of the TransColorado system on a phased basis. These San Juan Basin producers, it is indicated, are situated in close proximity to a proposed natural gas processing plant to be known as the Coyote Gulch Treating Plant, which will be located in La Plata County, Colorado, approximately 2.5 miles from the southern segment of the proposed TransColorado system. TransColorado states that these producers currently have no outlet for production located in the surrounding Red Cedar producing area since gas volumes being produced are already capacity constrained at the existing Arkansas Loop Plant. Construction of the Coyote Gulch Treating Plant will therefore provide producers in the area with additional natural gas treating capacity which is desired. It is stated that the Coyote Gulch Plant will have a design capacity of up to 120,000 Mcf per day (Mcf) to remove CO<sub>2</sub> and to dehydrate gas. TransColorado states that by phasing the project, it believes it will be able to secure definitive transportation commitments from many of the area producers.

To implement the restructured project, TransColorado seeks to amend its existing certificate authorization to phase the project. For Phase I, TransColorado proposes to construct and operate:

(1) 2.5 miles of 1" pipeline and appurtenances, from the proposed Coyote Gulch Treating Plant in La Plata County, Colorado to an interconnection with TransColorado's proposed 24-inch

mainline in San Juan County, New Mexico.<sup>2</sup>

(2) 22.5 miles of 24-inch pipeline extending from a point of interconnection with the above 2.5-mile pipeline in San Juan County, New Mexico to a point of interconnection with the existing 34-inch and 42-inch pipelines of El Paso at Valve O in the discharge side or the Blanco Plant in San Juan County, New Mexico.

TransColorado states that it has executed a transportation service agreement with Red Cedar for 75,000 Mcfd of firm transportation capacity on the Phase I facilities. TransColorado states that the estimated cost of the Phase I portion of the project is \$14,119,320. TransColorado proposes the following Phase I maximum initial rates.

Reservation Charge:  
\$1.54321 per dekatherm  
Usage Charge (firm):  
\$0.0322 per dekatherm  
Usage Charge (interruptible):  
\$0.0322 per dekatherm  
Unauthorized Overrun Charge:  
\$0.644 per dekatherm

TransColorado states that the proposed Phase I rates will recover the cost of service for the Phase I facilities, assuming a design capacity of 120,000 Mcfd. TransColorado asserts that it will be at risk for any undersubscription of the available capacity if all capacity is not contracted on a firm basis by the time TransColorado commences service. TransColorado explains that the design of the rates for the Phase I facilities conforms to the June order and the October 18, 1994, rehearing order as to, among other things, stipulated load factors, capital structures, and use of the "Ozark" methodology. TransColorado states that the only items which have been adjusted are an increase in the federal income tax rate and a change in property taxes to include only the state of New Mexico.

Comment date: May 17, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP96-262-001]

Take notice that on April 22, 1996, Texas Gas Transmission Company (Texas Gas), P.O. Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, filed in Docket No. CP96-262-001 an

<sup>2</sup>TransColorado asserts that the 2.5-mile facility could be constructed as an eligible gas supply facility under Section 157.208(a) of the Commission's Regulations in accordance with TransColorado's Subpart F blanket certificate. However, as a convenience, TransColorado has sought authority to construct the facility in this docket.

<sup>1</sup>For purposes of the Phase I portion of the project, the partnership will consist of just two partners: KN TransColorado, Inc. and El Paso TransColorado Company.