

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-11615 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 10228-007 Kentucky]

Cannelton Hydroelectric Project LP; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

April 30, 1996.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA is for an application to amend the Cannelton Hydroelectric Project. The licensee proposes to eliminate the powerhouse by adding 240 small generating units that would be located upstream of the tainter gates within the dam's tainter gate bays and to change the approved transmission line. The DEA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Cannelton Hydroelectric Project is located on the Ohio River in Hancock County, Kentucky.

The DEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the DEA can be viewed in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Please submit any comments within 40 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon studies, reports, or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix Project No. 10228-007 to all comments. For further information, please contact the project manager, Ms. Rebecca Martin, at (202) 219-2650.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-11591 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 1927-008]

PacifiCorp; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), Conduct Public Scoping Meetings and a Site Visit

April 30, 1996.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is reviewing an application to relicense and continue operating the North Umpqua Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 1927-008. The project is on the North Umpqua River in Douglas County, Oregon. This project would primarily involve federal lands managed by the Umpqua National Forest, but also involves some lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

Relicensing this project could constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the FERC intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and the Commission's regulations. The EIS will objectively consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project and responsible alternatives, and will include an economic, financial, and engineering analysis. The Umpqua National Forest will be a cooperating agency on the EIS.

A Draft EIS (DEIS) will be circulated for review and comment by all interested parties, and FERC will hold a public meeting on the DEIS. FERC staff will consider and respond to comments received on the DEIS in the Final EIS. The FERC staff's conclusions and recommendations will then be presented for the consideration of the Commission in reaching its final licensing decision. The Umpqua National Forest will use the information in the EIS to make its decision on issuing mandatory section 4(e) conditions for the project under the Federal Power Act and their section 7(a) determination under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Scoping: Concerned citizens, special interest groups, local governments, state and federal agencies, and any other interested parties are invited to comment on the scope of the environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EIS. Scoping will help ensure that all significant issues related to this proposal are addressed in the EIS.

The FERC will conduct two scoping meetings on June 6, 1996. A scoping meeting oriented towards the agencies will begin at 9:00 am on June 6th at the

Umpqua National Forest office located at 2900 NW Stewart Parkway, Roseburg, Oregon. Those wishing to attend this meeting should contact Jim Wieman, District Ranger at (503) 942-5591 by May 31st.

A scoping meeting oriented towards the public will begin at 7:00 pm on June 6th at the Douglas County Fairground Complex Community Building located at 2110 S.W. Frear Street, Roseburg, Oregon. (The public and the agencies may attend either or both meetings, however.)

Objectives: At the scoping meetings, FERC staff will (1) identify preliminary environmental issues related to the proposed project; (2) attempt to identify preliminary resource issues that are not important and do not require detailed analysis; (3) identify reasonable alternatives to be addressed in the EIS; (4) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the resource issues; and (5) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EIS, including points of views in opposition to, or in support of, the staff's preliminary views.

Procedures: The meetings will be recorded by a court reporter and all statements (oral and written) will become a part of the official record of the Commission proceedings for the North Umpqua Project relicense. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to clearly identify themselves for the record.

To help focus discussions at the scoping meeting, the FERC will mail a Scoping Document, outlining subject areas to be addressed in the EIS, to agencies and interested individuals on the project mailing list. Copies of the scoping document will also be available at the scoping meetings.

Persons choosing not to speak at the meetings, but who have views on the issues or information relevant to the issues, may submit written statements for inclusion in the public record at the meetings. In addition, written scoping comments may be filed with the Office of the Secretary, Dockets Room 1A, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426 until July 12, 1996. All written correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page: North Umpqua Hydroelectric Project, FERC No. 1927-008.

Intervenors—those on the Commission's service list for this proceeding (parties)—are reminded of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, requiring parties filing documents with the Commission, to serve a copy of the document on each

person whose name appears on the official service list. Further, if a party or interceder files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Site Visit: A project tour is planned for June 4th and 5th. Those who wish to attend contact David Schwall of PacifiCorp at (503) 464-5345 by May 31st to sign up and receive further information and directions. Attendees will meet at the North Umpqua Forest Office located at 2900 Stewart Parkway at 8:00 am.

For Further Information Contact:
Vince Yearick, FERC-OHL (202) 219-3073.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-11592 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 10813-011]

City of Summerville; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

April 30, 1996.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA is for an application to amend the Summerville Hydroelectric Project. The application is to (1) substitute two turbine/generator units for the four units in the license; (2) revise the project boundary to include 9.6 miles of new transmission line in place of the licensed 8-mile transmission line; and (3) delete license article 303. The proposed amendment would not affect project capacity. The licensee requested the amendment because the original proposal was not economically feasible. The DEA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Summerville Hydroelectric Project is located on the Gauley River in Nicholas County, West Virginia.

The DEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the DEA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Copies can also be obtained by calling the project manager listed below.

Please submit any comments within 20 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon

studies, reports or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix Project No. 10813-011 to all comments. For further information, please contact the project manager, Heather Campbell, at (202) 219-3097.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-11593 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5502-3]

Responsiveness Summary to Comments on Proposed De Minimis Settlements, Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, St. Louis, MO

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Publication of the summary of comments on proposed *de minimis* settlements, Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, St. Louis, Missouri.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has proposed *de minimis* settlements with four potentially responsible parties (PRPs) at the Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site in St. Louis, Missouri. These settlements have been proposed pursuant to Section 122(g)(1)(B) of the Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(B) (CERCLA). The comment period for the proposed *de minimis* settlements was open from December 13, 1995 to January 12, 1996. EPA received one comment during the comment period from Boise Cascade Corporation. In addition, EPA received a telephone call and a letter from Boise Cascade Corporation on or about November 16 and November 20, 1995. In its comment letter of January 8, 1996, Boise Cascade Corporation first questions EPA's decision not to inform it and other potentially responsible parties (PRPs) of the negotiation of the *de minimis* settlements until after the settlements were reached. Secondly, it questions the identity of other parties EPA considered to be eligible for a *de minimis* settlements and why any other settlements with *de minimis* parties

were not negotiated. Thirdly, it objects to the *de minimis* settlements because it does not know the basis that EPA used for determining which PRPs were eligible for the *de minimis* settlements, the method for accounting for the orphan share, nor the premiums paid by the *de minimis* parties.

EPA's Response to Boise Cascade Corporation's Comments: No New Information Was Provided

To address Boise Cascade Corporation's first comment, Section 122(i)(1) of CERCLA provides that a public comment period shall be provided in the Federal Register for any settlements reached pursuant to Section 122(g) of CERCLA. There is no statutory requirement that PRPs be notified in advance of the published notice in the Federal Register nor be a participant in EPA's negotiations of *de minimis* settlements, to which they are not a party. After negotiations with the *de minimis* parties are concluded, the public comment period provided by Section 122(i)(1) of CERCLA is the process for EPA to receive comments.

To address the second and third comments, Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA allows *de minimis* settlements to be offered if the settlements involve a minor portion of the response costs at the Site and the amount and toxicity of the hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the party are minimal. EPA made a settlement offer to those *de minimis* parties that generated 1.665% or less of the hazardous substances that were removed from the Site. The calculation was based upon documentation developed during the removal action which attributed waste by each contributor. The toxicity of all the hazardous substances found at the Site was relatively the same; the hazardous substances at the Site demonstrated the characteristic of ignitability. The information described herein that Boise Cascade Corporation seeks regarding the identity of *de minimis* parties, information determining the eligibility of *de minimis* parties, and premiums paid is public information; Boise Cascade Corporation could have requested such information prior to making its comment.

To address the remaining issues raised in the third comment, EPA has not determined that an orphan share exists. EPA would account for the orphan share during the allocation pilot process that this Site is scheduled to undergo as part of EPA's administrative reforms. The *de minimis* settlements agreements reveal the premiums paid; a premium was calculated on the basis of anticipated future costs and the *de*