

of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 23, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12921 Filed 5-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CP96-289-000, CP96-335-000, CP96-506-000, CP96-288-000]

**Colorado Interstate Gas Company,
Trailblazer Pipeline Company
Wyoming Interstate Gas Company,
Ltd.; Notice of Technical Conference**

May 17, 1996.

Take notice that a technical conference has been scheduled in the above-captioned proceeding for 10:00 a.m. on June 13, 1996, at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426. The purpose of the conference is to discuss matters of interest and concern relating to the parties' proposals to construct and operate new and/or additional compression facilities, as well as Colorado Interstate Gas Company's proposal to acquire and hold capacity on Trailblazer Pipeline Company and Wyoming Interstate Gas Company, Ltd. All interested parties are invited to attend. For additional information, interested parties may call Michael J. McGehee at (202) 208-2257.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12914 Filed 5-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-190-002]

**Colorado Interstate Gas Company;
Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing**

May 17, 1996.

Take notice that on May 15, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), tendered for filing revised tariff sheets and to withdraw tariff sheets filed May 10, 1996, to its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1.

CIG states that the new tariff sheets are filed to correct errors in the sheets filed May 10, 1996 and to comply with

Ordering Paragraph (C) of the Order issued April 15, 1996 in Docket No. RP96-190-000. CIG discovered that the May 10, 1996 filed tariff sheets erroneously included two paragraphs at the end of Substitute Original Sheet No. 380 and some other minor wording errors and is filing herein to withdraw those tariff sheets (Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 379 and Substitute Original Sheet No. 380. CIG is filing herein corrected tariff sheets.

CIG states that the tariff sheets filed May 10, 1996 were filed in accordance with the April 25, 1996 Order. Article 38 of the General Terms and Conditions of the Tariff was revised to state that new and existing Shippers that pay the maximum recourse rates have the same right to capacity as a Shipper willing to pay the higher negotiated rate. In addition, the revised tariff sheets state that negotiated rates do not apply as the price cap for capacity release transactions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.209 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12916 Filed 5-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-363-006]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Filing

May 17, 1996.

Take notice that on May 14, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) submitted schedules in support of the proposed fuel charge percentages to be assessed for transportation services on its mainline system. El Paso requested that upon approval and implementation of its proposed Settlement, the Commission promptly issue an order accepting the fuel charges proposed therein so that El Paso may implement such charges not later than January 1, 1997.

El Paso states that Article VIII, Fuel, of its Stipulation and Agreement in

Settlement of Rate and Related Proceedings (Settlement) provides for the periodic adjustment of El Paso's system-wide fuel charge during the term of the Settlement. Specifically, paragraph 8.2 provides that not later than 60 days after filing the Settlement, El Paso will file to adjust its fuel charges based on actual fuel usage experienced on its system during the calendar years 1994 and 1995, to be effective not later than January 1, 1997.

El Paso states that copies of the filing were served upon all interstate pipeline system transportation customers of El Paso and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12906 Filed 5-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-270-001]

**Mid Continent Market Center, Inc.,
Complainant v. Panhandle Eastern
Pipe Line Company, Respondent;
Notice of Amended Complaint**

May 17, 1996.

Take notice that on May 13, 1996, Mid Continent Market Center, Inc. (Mid Continent), P.O. Box 889, 818 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, Kansas, 66601, filed an amended complaint in Docket No. Rules of Practice and Procedure. Mid Continent charges Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) with undue discrimination and anticompetitive behavior for its failure to timely agree to interconnect facilities and its apparent improper classification of the proposed receipt point in Panhandle's Field Zone rather than in Panhandle's Market Zone. The details of Mid Continent's allegations are more fully set forth in the amended complaint which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission previously issued a Notice of Complaint in Docket No.