

will be prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The current land withdrawal and reservation of the NAFR was established by the Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-606) for the period ending on November 6, 2001. The Act provides that the Air Force may seek renewal of the NAFR withdrawal, in connection with which the Secretary of the Air Force will publish a legislative EIS addressing legislative alternatives and the effects of continued withdrawal.

The purpose of the proposed NAFR renewal is to retain a military training and testing range essential to near- and long-term preparedness of United States air forces. Renewing the land withdrawal will provide for the continued effective implementation of ongoing training and testing missions while maintaining the flexibility to adapt to the training needs of new technologies as they develop. The performance of air operations in combat is directly related to the quality and depth of training. NAFR provides a combination of attributes that serve this training requirement, including the following: favorable location and flying weather; sufficient land and airspace; diverse terrain; and developed training support facilities.

A range of alternatives, including the No Action alternative required by NEPA, will be considered. Three alternatives are described below.

- **Proposed Action:** Renew Nellis Air Force Range withdrawal and reservation for an indefinite period of time with Congressional review every 15 years. The existing land withdrawal and reservation, consisting of approximately 3.0 million acres, would be reauthorized for an indefinite period of time. The land would be reserved by Congress for use by the Air Force for an armament and high-hazard test area; training for aerial gunnery, rocketry, electronic warfare, and tactical maneuvering and air support; and other defense-related purposes. Every 15 years Congress would review the Air Force's continuing military need for the land, the environmental effects, and the needs of competing uses for the land and could adjust, if warranted, the terms and conditions of the withdrawal. Without limiting the priority use by the Air Force, the land would be managed in part by the Bureau of Land Management and in part by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Specifically, the Bureau of Land Management would manage approximately 2.2 million acres of the NAFR pursuant to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and other applicable laws. The

remaining 826,000 acres of the NAFR are within the Desert National Wildlife Refuge and would be managed by the Fish and Wildlife Service pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Act of 1976.

- **Alternative A:** Renew the existing NAFR land withdrawal and reservation for 25 years. The existing land withdrawal and reservation, consisting of approximately 3.0 million acres, would be reauthorized for a specified term of 25 years, rather than for an indefinite time with periodic reviews. Otherwise, this alternative is like the Proposed Action.

- **No Action Alternative:** No renewal of the NAFR land withdrawal and reservation. The land would not be reserved for use by the Air Force. The lands within the existing NAFR boundary would be managed by the Bureau of Land Management and the Fish and Wildlife Service under existing authorities. The No Action alternative would result in the fragmentation or cancellation of training missions accomplished at the NAFR. DOD would prepare appropriate environmental documentation to obtain Federal Aviation Administration approval to reclassify the existing restricted airspace to a Military Operation Area (MOA). This would allow for air-to-air training operations to continue, but would preclude air-to-ground training missions.

To provide a forum for interested parties to provide comments on the scope of the LEIS, a series of scoping meetings will be held in six Nevada communities. In addition, written comments will be accepted throughout the scoping period. Written comments should be forwarded to the address below by August 5, 1996. Scoping meetings will be held at the following times and locations.

1. Indian Springs, NV, June 17, 1996, 6:00 PM to 9:00 PM.

2. Caliente, NV, June 18, 1996, 6:00 PM to 9:00 PM.

3. Las Vegas, NV, June 20, 1996, 6:00 PM to 9:00 PM.

4. Beatty, NV, June 24, 1996, 6:00 PM to 9:00 PM.

5. Tonopah, NV, June 25, 1996, 6:00 PM to 9:00 PM.

6. Reno, NV, June 26, 1996, 6:00 PM to 9:00 PM.

Please direct written comments concerning the NAFR Renewal LEIS to: Colonel Michael F. Fuquy, Nellis Air Force Base, P.O. Box 9919, Las Vegas, NV 89191-0919.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Major Jeff Shea at (702) 652-4354.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-13448 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-W

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

Membership of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Performance Review Board (PRB)

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of membership of the DLA PRB.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the appointment of the members of the PRBs of the Defense Logistics Agency. The publication of PRB composition is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

The PRB provides fair and impartial review of Senior Executive Service performance appraisals and makes recommendations to the Director, Defense Logistics Agency, with respect to pay level adjustments and performance awards.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Donna Arellano, Workforce Effectiveness and Development Group, Human Resources, Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense, Ft. Belvoir, Virginia, (703) 767-6427.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the following are the names and titles of Defense Logistics Agency personnel appointed to serve as members of the PRBs. Members will serve a 1-year renewable term, effective upon publication of this notice.

1st Level PRB:

Mr. Gary Thurber, Associate Director, Acquisition

Mr. James Grady, Director, Distribution Systems Center

Ms. Marilyn Barnett, Deputy Commander, Defense Supply Center Columbus

2nd Level PRB:

Mr. Alton Ressler, Deputy Director, Corporate Administration

Mr. Jeffrey Jones, Executive Director, Logistics Management

Mr. Bruce Baird, General Counsel, DLA

A.C. Ressler,

Deputy Director, Corporate Administration Defense Logistics Agency.

[FR Doc. 96-13454 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3620-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**Department of the Navy****Notice of Intent To Prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the Proposed Disposal and Reuse of the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center Oakland, CA**

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and Public Law 102-484 Section 2834, as amended by Public Law 104-106 Section 2867, the Department of the Navy, in association with the Port of Oakland, California, announces its intent to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) for the proposed disposal and reuse of the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Oakland (FISCO) property and structures in Oakland, California. The Navy will be the lead agency for NEPA documentation and the Port of Oakland will be the lead agency for CEQA documentation. The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 101-510) of 1990, as implemented by the base closure process of 1995, directed the Navy to close FISCO.

FISCO is located approximately two miles west of the Oakland central business district, on the eastern shore of San Francisco Bay. FISCO consists of approximately 528 acres and has about 125 structures that support general supply operations, waterfront operations, and administration.

The EIS/EIR will address potential impacts to the environment that may result from the disposal of FISCO property and subsequent reuses. FISCO is within the planning jurisdiction of the Port of Oakland. The Port of Oakland Vision 2000 Program proposes development of an intermodal system of ship, railroad, and truck freight handling facilities to meet the anticipated demand for transportation services in the San Francisco Bay area and northern California, and an intermodal port for national and international commerce. The Vision 2000 Program also includes development of public waterfront access and marine habitat enhancement.

The development of the Port of Oakland Vision 2000 Program is expected to require additional property outside of the FISCO boundary in order to meet the objectives of the Program. This joint EIS/EIR will provide a

program level analysis supporting both the Navy NEPA requirements to describe potential environmental impacts associated with the property disposal at FISCO, and the Port of Oakland CEQA requirement to analyze environmental impacts of implementing the Vision 2000 Program.

The EIS/EIR will evaluate a "No Action" alternative and several reuse alternatives. The "No Action" alternative would result in the federal government indefinitely retaining ownership of FISCO property. Under the "no action" alternative the Navy would continue leasing property to the Port of Oakland under the existing 50 year lease agreement as allowed by Public Law 102-484, and supported by the 1995 base closure decisions. The reuse alternatives are expected to combine the common land use components of a railroad terminal, marine terminals, public waterfront access and marine habitat enhancement. As FISCO is within the Port of Oakland jurisdiction and is designated as a Port Priority use in the April 1996 San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission Seaport Plan Update, alternatives would emphasize port-related activities. Revisions to these alternatives may be developed during the public scoping period. The EIS/EIR will evaluate the potential for environmental impacts to traffic conditions, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, utilities, and other environmental issues identified through this scoping process.

ADDRESSES: Federal, state and local agencies, and interested individuals are invited to participate in the scoping process to determine the range of issues and reuse alternatives to be addressed. A public scoping meeting to receive oral and written comments will be held on Thursday, June 13, 1996, at 7:00 p.m., at the McClymonds High School auditorium, located at 2607 Myrtle Street (near 26th Street) in Oakland, California. In the interest of available time, each speaker will be asked to limit oral comments to five minutes. In addition, written comments may be submitted by July 1, 1996, to Mr. Gary J. Munekawa, Environmental Planning Branch, Code 185GM, Engineering Field Activity West, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 900 Commodore Drive, San Bruno, California 94066-5006, telephone (415) 244-3022, fax (415) 244-3737. For further information regarding the Port of Oakland Vision 2000 Program, please contact Ms. Loretta Meyer, Port of Oakland,

Environmental Assessment Section, 530 Water Street, Oakland, California 94604, telephone (510) 272-1181, fax (510) 465-3755. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact Mr. Munekawa at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Dated May 23, 1996

S.L. Haycock,
LCDR, JAGC, USN, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-13460 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests**

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Resources Group, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708-8196.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director of the Information Resources Group publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB.