

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Eagle should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Eagle is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, endorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Eagle's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is June 7, 1996.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13551 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-529-000]

K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

May 23, 1996.

Take notice that on May 20, 1996, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (KNI), 370 Van Gordon Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80228-8304, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-529-000 pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon its Haven Line in Reno County, Kansas, which was authorized in Docket No. CP70-239,¹ all as more fully set forth in the application which is open to the public for inspection.

KNI proposes to abandon by sale approximately 9.2 miles of 16-inch diameter pipe (the Haven Line) in Reno County to Mid Continent Market Center,

Inc. (Mid Continent), which would operate the Haven Line as part of its intrastate pipeline system. KNI states that it would sell the Haven Line to Mid Continent for a negotiated price of \$205,000. KNI also states that the only customers currently being served from the Haven Line are nine end-users who are direct retail customers of K N Energy, Inc. KNI's parent company. KNI further states that Western Resources, Inc., Mid Continent's parent, would take over as the direct retail supplier to these nine end-users; thus, no customer would lose gas service as a result of KNI's proposed abandonment of the Haven Line to Mid Continent.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before June 13, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for KNI to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-13489 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CP96-178-000; CP96-249-000]

Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C., Portland Natural Gas Transmission System; Notice of Technical Conference

May 23, 1996.

Take notice that a technical conference will be convened in the above-docketed proceedings on Thursday, June 6, 1996, at 10:00 a.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

The purpose of the technical conference is to allow the project proponents to clarify how the two above-docketed projects will serve either local distribution companies or other natural gas customers in Maine and New Hampshire. Proponents of these projects and all of the interstate pipelines that will provide upstream or downstream transportation for the projects should attend and be prepared to answer questions relating to the required interconnections and operational requirements for each project.

Specifically, all of the parties should be prepared to discuss the following:

- What, if any, impediments exist related to Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C.'s (Maritimes) proposal to interconnect with Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.'s (Granite State) and Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company's (Tennessee) existing transmission facilities?
- What provisions of Granite State's FERC tariff and Maritimes' *pro forma* FERC tariff apply to Maritimes' and/or its shippers' request to interconnect with Granite State and for service on Granite State? To what extent have the pipelines and/or shippers complied or will comply with such provisions? Also, were the interconnections with Granite State for the Portland Natural Gas Transmission System (PNGTS) project treated consistently with the request by Maritimes for interconnections?
- What were the circumstances relating to any other receipt or delivery points which Granite State has constructed or plans to construct under the terms of its FERC tariff?
- What are the potential capacity release volumes and/or excess capacity on Tennessee and Granite State for these projects?

Any party, as defined in 18 CFR 385.214, and any participant, as defined in 18 CFR 385.102(b), in the above-docketed proceedings are invited to participate in the technical conference.

¹ 44 FPC 149 (1970).