

**[Docket No. RP96-208-001]****Trunkline Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing**

May 23, 1996.

Take notice that on May 20, 1996, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet, to be effective May 11, 1996.

Sub 1st Rev Original Sheet No. 56E

Trunkline asserts that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's order issued May 10, 1996 in Docket No. RP96-208-000, 75 FERC ¶ 61,147 (1996).

Trunkline states that this filing is to clarify Trunkline's tariff to permit intraday nominations by firm shippers to bump scheduled and flowing quantities of gas related to hourly changes in QNTT nominations.

Trunkline states that a copy of this filing are being served on all affected customers, applicable state regulatory agencies and all parties to this proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-13491 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP96-528-000]****Universal Resources Corporation; Notice of Petition**

May 23, 1996.

Take notice that on May 17, 1996, Universal Resources Corporation (URC), 79 State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84147, filed in Docket No. CP96-528-000 a petition pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for exemption of temporary acts and operations to test the feasibility of converting an existing production field to a storage field, and requests, if permission is needed to perform these

acts and operations, pregranted abandonment authorization, all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

URC states that it is a natural gas producer and the parent of Questar Energy Trading (QET), a company that markets URC's production, as well as the production of others. It is indicated that URC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Questar Corporation. URC indicates that it is the operator of the Clear Creek oil and gas field, in Uintah County, Wyoming. URC states that it owns nearly all of the production rights in the field. URC states that the 15 wells drilled in the field have been shut in since 1994 because production of oil and gas is no longer economic. It is indicated that the production in the field was delivered into the facilities of either Questar Pipeline Company or Northwest Pipeline Corporation, and that the interconnecting facilities are still in place. It is stated that available geological data indicate that the field has the potential to operate as an economical storage facility and that some producible gas reserves may remain in as yet undeveloped gas cap.

URC states that it desires to test the Clear Creek Field for two purposes: (1) to determine whether there remains a gas cap with sufficient reserves to justify further drilling and production, and (2) to determine whether the Clear Creek field could be converted to an economically viable storage field. It is stated that, by conducting a 60-to-90 day pressure test, after installation of a rented 1,000 horsepower compressor and associated concrete pad, URC believes that it can answer both questions.

URC states that it currently anticipates that if the tests show that the Clear Creek field should be developed as a storage facility subject to the Natural Gas Act (NGA), URC or its subsidiary, QET, would apply for a certificate under Section 7(c) of the NGA. URC further anticipates that pipeline construction would be undertaken to connect the field to additional pipelines in the area and URC or QET would operate Clear Creek as a private storage field to support QET's natural gas marketing operations.

URC indicates that, inasmuch as testing is needed to perform an obviously non-jurisdictional production purpose—testing for the gas cap—and since the field may never be converted to storage, it is not clear that any Commission authorization is needed for the test. It is stated, because natural gas for the test will be received from Questar, an interstate pipeline, and

redelivered to the same interstate pipeline, URC has filed the petition out of an abundance of caution.

URC states that, as part of the test, it will install and operate a compressor and related piping in order to inject gas into the field; monitor pressures in, and flows into and from the reservoir; and receive gas from an interstate pipeline and inject the gas into the reservoir; and redeliver natural gas used in the test in interstate commerce. It is indicated that it will receive the gas from Questar from an existing tap and gathering lines now used to connect the field into Questar's system. It is also indicated that URC and Questar will reverse the historic direction of gas flow to permit gas to be delivered for testing purposes. URC states that it will inject approximately 225,000 Mcf through an existing well for around 30 days at approximately 4,000 psi. URC also states that, following injection URC will monitor pressures and flows of gas out of the injection well for another 30 days. It is indicated that the pressure and flow rates will provide information necessary to determine both the nature of the gas cap and the potential for developing a viable storage field. URC states that it will conduct the tests in compliance with the environmental requirements of the Commission's blanket certificate regulations, as set forth in Section 157.206(d) of the Commission's regulations and any requirements imposed upon it by the Bureau of Land Management.

URC states that it believes that an exemption for temporary acts and operations pursuant to Natural Gas Act Section 7(c)(1)(B) would apply to all necessary authorizations. However, URC requests pregranted abandonment authorization in the event the Commission does not exempt the above-described actions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before June 13, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this petition if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for URC to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-13492 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. RP96-241-000]**

**Viking Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

May 23, 1996.

Take notice that on May 21, 1996, Viking Gas Transmission Company (Viking) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, proposed to be effective June 1, 1996:

Second Revised Sheet Nos. 13-15  
Second Revised Sheet Nos. 19-20  
Second Revised Sheet Nos. 23-25  
Second Revised Sheet Nos. 28-30  
Third Revised Sheet Nos. 39  
Fourth Revised Sheet Nos. 62  
Third Revised Sheet Nos. 63 and 64

Viking states that the purpose of this filing is to modify Viking's existing policies on the construction of laterals, taps and metering facilities to provide new or additional service to its customers. Viking's current policies provide that Viking will provide laterals and customer delivery facilities only if the customer reimburses Viking for one hundred percent of the new facilities costs prior to the commencement of construction.

Viking states that it is proposing to amend this policy to create a menu of payment options that can be used separately or collectively to provide for payment of the new facilities' costs. Under Viking's proposal, customers would have the additional options of

reimbursing Viking by: (1) paying a separately stated firm reservation charge that is designed to recover the cost of the new facilities; or (2) subscribing for a new or additional amount of mainline firm capacity sufficient to provide an incremental revenue stream with a present discounted value equal to or greater than the new facilities' costs.

Viking states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and to affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-13493 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP96-527-000]**

**Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

May 23, 1996.

Take notice that on May 20, 1996, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), Suite 300, 200 North Third Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP96-527-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to utilize two existing taps under Williston Basin's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-1-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin proposes to utilize two existing taps to effectuate natural gas transportation deliveries to Montana-Dakota Utilities Company (Montana-Dakota), a local distribution company, for ultimate use by additional

residential customers in Richland County, Montana and Big Horn County, Wyoming.

Williston Basin estimates that the additional volumes to be delivered to the existing Richland County, Montana and Big Horn County, Wyoming taps to be 150 Mcf per year and 100 Mcf per year, respectively.

Williston Basin states that it plans to provide the proposed deliveries to Montana-Dakota under Rate Schedule FT-1 of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, and that the volumes to be delivered are within the contractual entitlements of the customer.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-13494 Filed 5-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. ER96-1033-000, et al.]**

**Florida Power & Light Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings**

May 22, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-1033-000]

Take notice that on May 10, 1996, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) filed Supplement No. 1 to Contract for Purchases and Sales of Power and Energy between FPL and Eastex Power Marketing, Inc. FPL requests an effective date of February 19, 1996.

*Comment date:* June 5, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.