Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of May 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest: John J. Da Ponte, Jr., Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13588 Filed 5–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration [A–570–827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China; Termination In-Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination in-part of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The Department is now terminating this review in-part with respect to Shanghai Foreign Trade Corporation (SFTC).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 30, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Merchant or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482–0367/ 3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 1996 (61 FR 3670), the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the PRC. This notice stated that the Department would review merchandise sold in the United States by SFTC during the period December 21, 1994 through November 30, 1995.

The petitioners in this case withdrew their request for review of SFTC on April 29, 1996. Under 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) (1994), a party requesting a review may withdraw that request no later than 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation. Because the withdrawal request was made within the time frame specified in

19 CFR 353.22(a)(5), and no other interested party has requested an administrative review for SFTC for this period, the Department is now terminating this review, in-part, with respect to SFTC.

Dated: May 17, 1996.

This notice is published pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–13583 Filed 5–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-429-601]

Solid Urea From the Former German Democratic Republic; Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Initiation of changed circumstances antidumping duty review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from the former German Democratic Republic (GDR) in order to calculate a new cash deposit rate using a market economy analysis for any shipments of solid urea from the five German states (Brandenburg, Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Saxony, Saxony-Anhalt, and Thuringia (plus any other territory included in the former GDR)) that formerly constituted the GDR (hereinafter "the Five States") occurring after May 1, 1995 and before May 31, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 30, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donna Kinsella, Office of Agreements

Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) and 19 CFR 353.22(f), the Department may review a determination whenever changed circumstances are sufficient to warrant such a review. In the instant case, the current cash deposit rate is based upon the nonmarket economy analysis provided for in section 773(c) of the Act. However, the Department has determined that as

of October 3, 1990, producers located in the five German states that formerly constituted the GDR have been operating in a market-oriented economy. See Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations; Certain Steel Products from Germany, 58 FR 37315, 37324 (July 9, 1993).

On May 1, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 21068) the initiation of a changed circumstances review to calculate a new cash deposit rate using a market-economy analysis for any shipments of solid urea from the Five States occurring after October 2, 1990, and before May 1, 1995. On March 14, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 10563) a termination of that changed circumstances review because it found no evidence of shipments occurring during this period.

The Department now has evidence of shipment(s) of solid urea from the Five States occurring after May 1, 1995. As a result, and in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(f), we are initiating a changed circumstance review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from the former GDR. In this review, the Department will calculate a new cash deposit rate using a market economy analysis for any shipments of solid urea from the Five States occurring after May 1, 1995, and before May 31, 1996. See Antidumping Duty Order and Initiation of a Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plates from Poland, 58 FR 44166 (1993) (change from a nonmarket to market economy justified a changed circumstances review to calculate a new cash deposit rate).

We intend to issue the final results of this review not later than December 31, 1996.

Dated: May 22, 1996.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–13584 Filed 5–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

Export Trade Certificate of Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of revocation of Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 94–00005.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce issued an export trade certificate of review to William E. Elliott (d/b/a Export Exchange). Because this certificate holder has failed to file an annual report as required by law, the Secretary is revoking the certificate.