For further information, please contact the project manager, Ms. Rebecca Martin, at (202) 219–2650. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13670 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Project No. 10856 Michigan]

Upper Peninsula Power Company; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

May 24, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for an original license for the Au Train Hydroelectric Project, located near the towns of Au Train and Munising, Michigan in Alger County, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the un-licensed, existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection or enhancement measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix "Au Train Hydroelectric Project No. 10856" to all comments. For further information, please contact John Blair at (202) 219–2845.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13637 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5470-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 13, 1996 Through May 17, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EIS) was published in F.R. dated April 05, 1996 (61 F.R. 15251).

Draft EIS

ERP No. D-COE-E32076-NC Rating EC2, Cape Fear-Northeast Cape Fear Rivers Feasibility Study for Deepening of the Wilmington Harbor Ship Channel, Navigation Improvement, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential adverse impacts associated with use of explosives to excavate the enlarged channel and awaits the results of on-going studies to determine the significance of this dredging technique.

ERP No. D-COE-K36116-CA Rating EC2, San Pedro Creek Section 205 Flood Control Project, Construction, Flood Protection, COME Section 10 and 404 Permits and Permits Approval, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to riverain habitat, impacts to air quality, and potential cumulative impacts of the project, including possible increased runoff and siltation.

ERP No. D-FRC-L05215-OR Rating EO2, Leaburg-Walterville Hydroelectric (FERC. No. 2496) Project, Issuance of New License (Relicense), Funding and Land Trust Acquisition, McKenzie River, Lane County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections over continued impacts on fish and other aquatic life in the McKenzie River due to project operation. In addition, EPA commented that the draft EIS did not provide a comprehensive analysis of cumulative impacts, nor was the noaction alternative appropriately characterize.

ERP No. D-USN-K11067-AZ Rating EC2, Yuma Training Range Complex Management, Operation and Development, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Goldwater Range, Yuma and La Paz Cos; and Chocolate Mountain Range, Imperial and Riverside Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding alternatives analysis, cumulative impacts issues, and biological impacts.

ÉRP No. D-USN-K11069-CA Rating EC2, Port Hueneme Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: EPA requested further information on air quality and wetlands NEPA issues.

ERP No. DB-COE-E30032-FL Rating EC2, Palm Beach County Beach Erosion Project, Updated Information concerning Shore Protection for the Ocean Ridge Segment from the Martin County line to Lake Worth Inlet and from the South Lake Worth Inlet to the Broward County Line, Palm Beach, Martin and Broward Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the long-term consequences of this action and other beach nourishment projects planned for the county's shoreline. The additional information derived from the mitigation and subsequent monitoring plan will be necessary to determine how this project fits into the larger issue of the environmental consequences of proposed shoreline protection.

ERP No. DS-COE-E32192-NC Rating EC2, Wilmington Harbor Channel Widening and Navigation Improvement, Updated Information, Cape Fear River, Port of Wilmington, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential adverse impacts associated with use of explosives to excavate the enlarged channel and seeks additional data on the long-term consequences of these excavation techniques.

ERP No. DS-COE-E36169-FL Rating LO, Central and Southern Florida Flood Control Project, Restoration of the Upper Kissimmee River Basin through the Headwater Revitalization Project and the Lower Kissimmee River Basin through the Level II Backfilling Plan, Implementation, Updated Information, Glades, Osceda Highlands, Polk, Okeechobee and Orange Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA had no objections to this proposal.

Final EIS

ERP No. F-COE-C36071-PR Rio Fajardo Flood Control Feasibility Study for Flood Protection, Implementation, PR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action.