

(5) Non-residential land uses need to be considered. EPA received from many stakeholders that SSLs should be developed for other land uses such as industrial or recreational. EPA agrees in principle that other land uses need to be considered. However, as a first step in the development of screening levels EPA chose to focus on residential use because there is more agreement in the risk assessment community about the types of relevant pathways and assumptions appropriate for modeling residential exposures. Several of the Superfund reforms announced in October 1995 address non-residential land uses and should provide information which could be used to expand the soil screening guidance to other land uses.

#### Goals

EPA's goal in developing this guidance is to provide a tool which can be used to expedite the evaluation of contaminated soils at sites addressed under CERCLA. The guidance is intended to be used to screen out areas of sites, exposure pathways, or chemicals of concern from further consideration or to determine that further study is warranted at a site. It may be used where assumptions made in developing the tool (e.g., residential land use, no ecological concerns) are consistent with conditions found at specific sites.

This guidance is not intended to be, and should not be construed as a rule. Use of the guidance is not legally binding either on EPA staff or on other parties; rather it is intended to be a tool available for use under appropriate site-specific conditions. NPL sites do not all meet the conditions necessary for its use, consequently, EPA does not expect this tool to be useful at all NPL sites. EPA staff applying the guidance have discretion to follow it or diverge from it as site-specific conditions may warrant, and each site-specific action will be explained on its own record.

Please contact individuals and offices listed in the sections of this notice entitled "Addresses" and "For Further Information Contact" to learn more about the Soil Screening Guidance.

#### Source Documents

1. U.S. EPA. 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part A, Interim Final. EPA/540/1-89/002. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington D.C. NTIS PB90-155581/CCE.
2. U.S. EPA. 1991. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B, Development of Risk-Based Preliminary Remediation Goals). Publication 9285.7-01B.

Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C. NTIS PB92-963333.

Dated: May 17, 1996.

Elliott P. Laws,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-13431 Filed 5-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collections Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

May 23, 1996.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before July 1, 1996. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESS:** Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to [dconway@fcc.gov](mailto:dconway@fcc.gov) and Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or [fain\\_t@a1.eop.gov](mailto:fain_t@a1.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the

information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202-418-0217 or via internet at [dconway@fcc.gov](mailto:dconway@fcc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060-0099.

Title: Form M - Annual Report Form M.

Form No.: FCC Form M.

Type of Review: Extension.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 3.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1120 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 3360.

Needs and Uses: FCC Form M is the Annual Report of financial and operating information from all subject telephone companies having annual operating revenues in excess of \$100 million. It is needed to provide the Commission with the data required to fulfill its regulatory responsibilities.

OMB Approval No.: 3060-0550.

Title: Certification of Franchising Authority to Regulate Basic Cable Service Rates and Initial Finding of Lack of Effective Competition.

Form No.: FCC Form 328.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Respondents: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 800.

Estimated Time Per Response: 30 minutes.

Total Annual Burden: 400 hours.

Needs and Uses: On 4/1/93, the Commission adopted a Report and Order, FCC 93-177, MM Docket No. 92-266. Among other things, this Report and Order implements Section 623(a)(3) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, wherein a local franchise authority is required to file with the Commission a written certification when it requests to regulate basic service rates. Subsequently, the Commission developed the FCC Form 328 to provide a standardized, simple form for meeting this requirement. To fulfill the obligations set forth under Section 623(a)(3) a franchise authority must: (1) adopt regulations consistent with the Commission's regulations for basic cable service; (2) have legal authority to regulate basic service which comes from state law; (3) the personnel to administer such regulations; and (4) have procedural regulations allowing for public participation in rate regulation proceedings. The FCC Form 328 is reviewed by FCC staff to ensure that a franchising authority has met the criteria specified in Section 623(a)(3) of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended.

Federal Communications Commission.  
William F. Caton,  
*Acting Secretary.*  
[FR Doc. 96-13668 Filed 5-30-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than June 13, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (John E. Yorke, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

1. *Randy W. and Karla L. Britt*, both of Clifton Hill, Missouri; to acquire an additional .65 percent, for a total of 19.64 percent, and D. Wayne and Mary E. Britt, both of Callao, Missouri, to acquire an additional .19 percent, for a total of 5.98 percent, of the voting shares of RMB Bancshares, Inc., Marceline, Missouri, and thereby indirectly acquire Regional Missouri Bank, Marceline, Missouri.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 24, 1996.  
Jennifer J. Johnson,  
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*  
[FR Doc. 96-13652 Filed 5-30-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or

the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" (12 U.S.C. 1843). Any request for a hearing must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 24, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (Lloyd W. Bostian, Jr., Senior Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261:

1. *MainStreet BankGroup Incorporated*, Martinsville, Virginia; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Clifton Forge, Clifton Forge, Virginia.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (James M. Lyon, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480:

1. *Peterka Family Partnership*, Miller, South Dakota; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 24.5 percent of the voting shares of M&H Financial Services, Inc., Miller, South Dakota, and thereby indirectly acquire First State Bank of Miller, Miller, South Dakota.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 24, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson,  
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*  
[FR Doc. 96-13653 Filed 5-30-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

### Notice of Proposal to Engage in Nonbanking Activities.

Banc One Corporation, Columbus, Ohio, CoreStates Financial Corp, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, PNC Bank Corp., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, National City Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, and KeyCorp, Cleveland, Ohio, have given notice pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) (BHC Act) and section 225.23 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23), to engage *de novo* through their joint venture subsidiary, Electronic Payment Services, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware (Company), in providing data processing services in connection with enhanced automated teller machine functions, enabling financial institutions to dispense: (1) public transportation tickets; (2) event and attraction tickets; (3) gift certificates; (4) prepaid phone cards; (5) other forms of alternate media that evidence a cardholder's prepayment for goods or services; and (6) other forms of alternate media, the dispensing of which is the automated equivalent of a customary banking activity. Company proposes to conduct these activities throughout the United States.

Section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act provides that a bank holding company may, with Board approval, engage in any activity "which the Board after due notice and opportunity for hearing has determined (by order or regulation) to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto...." 12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8). In publishing the proposal for comment, the Board does not take a position on issues raised by the proposal. Notice of the proposal is published solely in order to seek the views of interested persons on the issues presented by the notice, and does not represent a determination by the Board that the proposal meets or is likely to meet the standards of the BHC Act.

Any comments or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by William W. Wiles, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than June 17, 1996. Any request for a hearing on this proposal must, as required by section 262.3(e) of the Board's Rules of