

preapplication is consistent with the eligible purposes outlined in 7 CFR part 1942, subpart G. Copies of 7 CFR part 1942, subpart G, will be provided to any interested applicant by making a request to the Rural Development State Office or the RBS National Office.

All eligible preapplications, along with tentative scoring sheets and the State Director's recommendation, will be referred to the National Office no later than July 15, 1996, for final scoring and selection for award.

The National Office will score preapplications based on the grant selection criteria set forth in 7 CFR part 1942, subpart G, and published weights and will select awardees subject to the availability of funds and the awardee's satisfactory submission of a formal preapplication and related materials in accordance with subpart G. Entities submitting preapplications and subsequently selected for award will be invited by the State Office to submit a formal application. It is anticipated that grant awardees will be selected by August 15, 1996. All applicants will be notified by the Rural Development State Office of the Agency decision on awards, and non-selectees will be provided appeal rights in accordance with 7 CFR part 11. The information collection requirements within this Notice are covered under OMB No. 0575-0132 and 7 CFR part 1942, subpart G.

Dated: June 3, 1996.

Jill Long Thompson,  
Under Secretary, Rural Development.  
[FR Doc. 96-14500 Filed 6-7-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-07-U

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-412-803]

#### Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On February 21, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose (INC) from the United Kingdom. This review covers

one producer/exporter, Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC (ICI), and entries of the subject merchandise into the United States during the period July 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results, but we received no comments. We have not changed the margin from that presented in our preliminary results of review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 10, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rebecca Trainor or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

#### Applicable Statutes and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 21, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 6624) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on INC from the United Kingdom (55 FR 28270, July 10, 1990). The preliminary results indicated the existence of a dumping margin for the respondent. We received no comments from interested parties on our preliminary results. The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

##### Scope of the Review

This review covers shipments of INC from the United Kingdom. INC is a dry, white, amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent, which is produced from the reaction of cellulose with nitric acid. It is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. INC is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 3912.20.00. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service purposes. The written description remains dispositive. The scope of the antidumping order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

This review covers sales of the subject merchandise manufactured by ICI and

entered into the United States during the period July 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994.

#### Final Results of Review

We determine that a margin of 1.48 percent exists for ICI for the period July 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of INC from the United Kingdom entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be that established in these final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this or a previous review or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the most recent rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be the "all others" rate of 11.13 percent established in the final notice of the LTFV investigation.

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: June 4, 1996.  
 Paul L. Joffe,  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-14607 Filed 6-7-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-122-814]

**Pure Magnesium From Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  
**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from one respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995.

We have preliminarily determined that U.S. sales have not been made below the normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 10, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Rausher or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4733.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

**Background**

On August 31, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register (57 FR 39399) the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. On August 1, 1995, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of this antidumping duty order for the period of August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995 (60 FR 39151). We received a timely request for review from the respondent, Norsk Hydro Canada Inc. (NHCI). On September 15, 1995, the Department initiated a review of NHCI (60 FR 47930).

**Scope of the Review**

The product covered by this review is pure magnesium. Pure unwrought magnesium contains at least 99.8 percent magnesium by weight and is sold in various slab and ingot forms and sizes. Granular and secondary magnesium are excluded from the scope currently classified under subheading 8104.11.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

The review covers one Canadian manufacturer/exporter, NHCI, and the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995.

**United States Price (USP)**

In calculating USP for NHCI, the Department treated respondent's sale as an export price (EP) sale, as defined in section 772(a) of the Act, because the subject merchandise was sold to an unaffiliated U.S. purchaser prior to the date of importation.

We calculated EP based on the packed, delivered, duty-paid price to the unaffiliated customer in the United

States. We made deductions from the gross unit price, where appropriate, for freight and U.S. customs duty, in accordance with section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Act.

No other adjustments to USP were claimed or allowed.

**Normal Value (NV)**

Based on a comparison of the aggregate quantity of home market and U.S. sales, we determined that the quantity of foreign like product sold in the exporting country was sufficient to permit a proper comparison with the sales of the subject merchandise to the United States, pursuant to section 773(a) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we based NV on the prices at which the foreign like products were first sold for consumption in the exporting country.

Pursuant to section 777A(d)(2) of the Act, we compared the EP of the individual transaction to the monthly weighted-average price of sales of the foreign like product. We compared the EP sale to sales in the home market of identical merchandise.

We based NV on the price at which the foreign like product is first sold for consumption in the exporting country, in the usual commercial quantities and in the ordinary course of trade and at the same level of trade as the EP, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(B)(i) of the Act. We made adjustments, where applicable, for freight charges and home market credit expenses, in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(B)(ii) of the Act. We increased home market price by U.S. packing costs in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(A) of the Act and reduced it by home market packing costs in accordance with section 773(a)(6)(B) of the Act. In accordance with section 773(a)(6)(C) of the Act, we increased NV by adding U.S. credit expense. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

**Preliminary Results of the Review**

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. ....	8/1/94-7/31/95	0.00

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within five days of the date

of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing

within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44