assert that the Reorganization is fair and reasonable, does not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned, as recited in its registration statement and reports filed under the 1940 Act, and is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the 1940 Act.

Applicants further represent that the requested exemptions from Section 26(a)(2)(C) and 27(c)(2) are necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the 1940 Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–23776 Filed 9–16–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

# SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 10/10-5181]

# Calista Business Investment Corporation; Notice of Surrender of License

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 107.105 of the Small Business Administration (SBA) Rules and Regulations governing Small Business Investment Companies (13 CFR 107.105 (1991)), Calista Business Investment Corporation, 516 Denali Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501, incorporated under the laws of the State of Alaska has surrendered its license, No. 10/10–5181 issued by the SBA on March 31, 1983.

Calista Business Investment Corporation has complied with all conditions set forth by SBA for surrender of its license. Therefore, under the authority vested by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, and pursuant to the abovecited Regulation, the license of Calista Business Investment Corporation is hereby accepted and it is no longer licensed to operate as a Small Business Investment Company.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59.011, Small Business Investment Companies)

Dated: September 9, 1996. Don A. Christensen.

Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc. 96–23720 Filed 9–16–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025–01–P [License No. 05/05/-0183]

# Threshold Ventures, Inc.; Notice of Surrender of License

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 107.105 of the Small Business Administration (SBA) Rules and Regulations governing Small Business Investment Companies (13 CFR 107.105 (1991)), Threshold Ventures, Inc., 819 Twelve Oaks Center, 15500 Wayzata Boulevard, Wazata, MN 55391, incorporated under the laws of the State of Minnesota has surrendered its license, No. 05/05–0183 issued by the SBA on March 20, 1984.

Threshold Ventures, Inc. has complied with all conditions set forth by SBA for surrender of its license. Therefore, under the authority vested by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, and pursuant to the above-cited Regulation, the license of Threshold Ventures, Inc. is hereby accepted and it is no longer licensed to operate as a Small Business Investment Company.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59.011, Small Business Investment Companies)

Dated: September 9, 1996.

Don A. Christensen,

Associate Administrator for Investment. [FR Doc. 96–23721 Filed 9–16–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 2443]

Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs; Finding of No Significant Impact: Rio Grande Pipeline Company, Pipeline To Cross the U.S.-Mexico Border at El Paso County, TX

**AGENCY:** Department of State. **ACTION:** Notice of a finding of no significant impact with regard to an application to construct, connect, operate and maintain a pipeline to transport petroleum products (liquid petroleum gas) across the U.S.-Mexico border.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Rio Grande Pipeline Company has applied for a Presidential Permit to authorize construction, connection, operation and maintenance of a 8.625 inch diameter pipeline to convey liquid petroleum gas (LPG) across the border to Mexico in El Paso County, Texas.

The proposed pipeline will utilize existing pipelines commencing in Hardisty County, Texas. Approximately 30 miles of new pipeline will be constructed commencing in Hudspeth County, Texas, crossing El Paso County, Texas to cross the border south of the town of San Elizario into Mexico.

The pipeline will continue approximately 20 miles into Mexico, with a terminus at the Mendez Terminal in Ciudad Juarez. The pipeline will initially receive an estimated 16,000 barrels per day for transportation with a capacity for approximately 24,000 barrels per day. The pipeline will facilitate LPG exports from the United States to Mexico at an estimated annual value of 60 to 65 million dollars.

#### Summary

In accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq., The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations, 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508, and the Department's regulations for implementation of NEPA (22 CFR Part 161), the Department of State has conducted an environmental assessment of the proposed construction by Rio Grande Pipeline Company of a LPG pipeline across the international boundary in El Paso County south of San Elizario, Texas. The Department of State is charged with the issuance of Presidential Permits authorizing construction of such international pipelines under Executive Order 11423 (1968), as amended by Executive Order 12847 (1993). Several Federal agencies cooperated in preparation of the environmental assessment, reviewing and commenting on the analysis and conclusions presented therein.

Agencies participating in this process together with the Department of State included: the Environmental Protection Agency, the Departments of Defense, Treasury, Interior, Commerce, Transportation, the Attorney General, the Chairman of the Surface Transportation Safety Board, and the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Interested parties were invited to comment on the proposed application in a Federal Register Notice number 2397, in the Federal Register Vol. 61, No. 104, pages 26945–26946.

Based on the final environmental assessment, which included a preliminary environmental assessment, comments received from interested agencies and responses to those comments, the Department of State has concluded that issuance of a Presidential Permit authorizing construction of the proposed pipeline (as described in the final environmental assessment) will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment within the United States. Therefore, in accordance with CEQ's