The meetings are open to the public. Approximately 30 visitors can be accommodated on a first-come-first-served basis at the plenary session.

A copy of the agenda may be requested from the MMS by writing Ms. Phyllis Clark at the address below. Other inquiries concerning the OCS SC meeting should be addressed to Dr. Ken Turgeon, Executive Secretary to the OCS Scientific Committee, Minerals Management Service, 381 Elden Street, Mail Stop 4310, Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817. He may be reached by telephone at (703) 787–1717, and by electronic mail at Ken/Turgeon@SMTP.MMS.GOV.

Dated: October 10, 1996.
Thomas A. Readinger,
Acting Associate Director for Offshore
Minerals Management.
[FR Doc. 96–27958 Filed 10–30–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MR–M

Bureau of Reclamation

Review of Existing Coordinated Long-Range Operating Criteria for Colorado River Reservoirs

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The 1970 Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs (Criteria), promulgated pursuant to Public Law 90-537, were published in the Federal Register on June 10, 1970. The Criteria provided for the coordinated long-range operation of the reservoirs constructed and operated under the authority of the Colorado River Storage Project Act, the Boulder Canyon Project Act, and the Boulder Canyon Project Adjustment Act for the purposes of complying with and carrying out the provisions of the Colorado River Compact, the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, and the Mexican Water Treaty.

The 1970 Criteria specified that a formal review take place at least once every five years with participation by such Colorado River Basin state representatives as each Governor may designate, and other parties and agencies as the Secretary of the Interior may deem appropriate. Public law 90-537 allows the Secretary, as a result of actual operating experience or unforeseen circumstances, to modify the Criteria to better accomplish the purposes of the two basin compacts and the Mexican Water Treaty. The Commissioner of Reclamation is the authorized agent of the Secretary for the

purpose of conducting and coordinating this review.

Reclamation will be conducting public meetings for this review in November and December of 1996. At that time, members of the Reclamation review team will be available to listen to and discuss ideas, issues, and concerns, and to answer any questions about the Criteria review. The various public viewpoints expressed during the review process will be considered in determining if a change to the Criteria is warranted. In addition to the public meetings, written comments on issues will be accepted until January 1, 1997.

DATES AND LOCATIONS: Public meetings will be held at the following times and locations.

Phoenix, Arizona—Monday, November 18, 1996, at 10 a.m. at the La Quinta Inn, 2510 West Greenway Road (via Black Canyon Freeway), Phoenix, Arizona.

Denver, Colorado—Monday, December 2, 1996, at 1 p.m. at the Red Lion Hotel, 3203 Quebec Street, Denver, Colorado.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bruce Moore, Bureau of Reclamation, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138–1102, telephone (801) 524–5415, or Jayne Harkins, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 61470, Boulder City, Nevada 89005, telephone (702) 293–8190.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This will be the fifth review of the Criteria conducted since their initial promulgation in 1970. The Criteria and a Notice asking for written comments were published in the Federal Register on August 20, 1996. Previous reviews of the Criteria were initiated in 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990. They resulted in no changes to the operating Criteria.

Dated: October 28, 1996. Eluid L. Martinez, Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation. [FR Doc. 96–27949 Filed 10–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-372]

Certain Neodymium-Iron-Boron Magnets, Magnet Alloys, and Articles Containing Same; Enforcement Proceeding

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference in this matter will commence at 8:30 a.m. on November 4, 1996, in Courtroom B (Room 111), U.S. International Trade

Commission Building, 500 E St. S.W., Washington, D.C., and the hearing will commence immediately thereafter.

The Secretary shall publish this notice in the Federal Register.

Issued: October 28, 1996.

Paul J. Luckern,

Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 96-27965 Filed 10-30-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Inv. No. 337-TA-387]

Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination

In the Matter of: Certain Self-Powered Fiber Optic Modems.

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) (Order No. 14) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) on September 25, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia P. Johnson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–

3098.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on April 25, 1996, on behalf of Patton Electronics Co. of Gaithersburg, Maryland. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain self-powered fiber optic modems that allegedly infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,161,650, (the 650 patent) and that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The notice of investigation named RAD Data Communications, Ltd., of Tel Aviv, Israel and RAD Data Communications, Inc., (collectively "RAD") of Mahwah, New Jersey as respondents. The target date for completion of the investigation is May 1, 1997.

On August 20, 1996, RAD moved for summary determination on the basis that its accused modems did not infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, or contribute to the infringement of the asserted claims of the 650 patent.

On September 25, 1996, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 14) granting in part RAD's motion, finding that the the accused devices did not literally