

As described in the December 29, 1992 partial program approval, Wisconsin's MSWLF permit program has the authority to issue permits that incorporate the requirements in the revised Federal MSWLF Criteria to all MSWLFs in the State. In addition, Wisconsin's permit program contains provisions for public participation, compliance monitoring, and enforcement.

The Wisconsin compliance monitoring program has the authority to obtain information from a MSWLF facility, as well as the authority to enter and inspect any MSWLF site or record pertaining to solid waste management, to determine compliance. Wisconsin has mechanisms to verify the accuracy of information submitted by a MSWLF facility to verify the sampling methods used by a MSWLF facility, and to produce evidence admissible in an enforcement proceeding. Wisconsin has the authority to conduct monitoring or testing to ensure compliance. Wisconsin inspects MSWLFs to verify and document compliance with solid waste regulations, deter violations, and provide opportunities to inform and educate the regulated community.

Wisconsin has the authority to implement the following remedies for violation of program requirements:

1. Authority to restrain a person from conducting an activity that may endanger or cause damage of human health or the environment;
2. Authority to sue an individual who is violating provisions of any statutes, regulations, orders, or permits that have been issued by the State; and
3. Authority to administratively assess penalties for violating statutes, regulations, orders, or permits.

#### C. Decision

After reviewing the amended application, I conclude that Wisconsin's application for full program adequacy determination meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Accordingly, Wisconsin is granted a full program determination of adequacy.

Section 4005(a) of RCRA provides that citizens may use the citizen suit provisions of Section 7002 of RCRA to enforce the revised Federal MSWLF criteria in 40 CFR Part 258 independent of any State enforcement program. As the USEPA explained in the preamble to the revised Federal MSWLF Criteria, the USEPA expects that any owner or operator complying with provisions in a State/Tribal program approved by the USEPA should be considered to be in compliance with the revised Federal

MSWLF Criteria. See 56 *FR* 50978, 50995 (October 9, 1991).

Today's action takes effect 60 days after the date of publication if no adverse comments are received.

#### Compliance With Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 6 of Executive Order 12866.

#### Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that this final approval will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities. This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

#### Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this rule in today's Federal Register. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Authority: This notice is issued under the authority of Section 4005 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended; 42 U.S.C. 6946.

Dated: October 28, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-29658 Filed 11-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

---

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

November 13, 1996.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Shoko B.

Hair, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-1379.

Federal Communications Commission

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0741.

*Expiration Date:* 10/31/99.

*Title:* Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions on the Telecommunications Act of 1996—CC Docket No. 96-98, Second Report and Order and Memorandum Opinion and Order.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 202,980 total annual hours; 150.3 hours per respondent (avg.); 1,350 respondents.

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden:* \$0.

*Description:* In the Second Report and Order and Memorandum Opinion and Order (Order) in CC Docket No. 96-98, the Commission adopts rules and regulations to implement the portions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 which were enacted to open local telephone markets by eliminating legal and technical barriers to competition. The Order addresses provisions related to local exchange carriers' obligations to provide their competitors with dialing parity and nondiscriminatory access to certain services; incumbent local exchange carriers' duty to make network information disclosures; and numbering administration.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-29598 Filed 11-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

---

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Ocean Freight Forwarder License Revocations

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice that the following freight forwarder licenses have been revoked pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718) and the regulations of the Commission pertaining to the licensing of ocean freight forwarders, effective on the corresponding revocation dates shown below:

*License Number:* 3554.

*Name:* D & S Movers, Inc.

*Address:* 1806 Enterprise Boulevard, West Sacramento, CA 95691.

*Date Revoked:* September 11, 1996.

*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.

*License Number:* 2379.

*Name:* Fabian Forwarding Company, Inc.

*Address:* 125 Yellowstone Drive, Reno, NV 89512.

*Date Revoked:* September 20, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3784.

*Name:* Fivestar Express (U.S.A.) Inc.  
*Address:* 1120 South Maple Avenue, Montebello, CA 90640.

*Date Revoked:* September 11, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3461.

*Name:* Gulf-Ocean Shipping Corporation.

*Address:* 1610 Seventh Street, League City, TX 77573.

*Date Revoked:* October 3, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3614.

*Name:* I.C.S. Cargo Service, Inc.  
*Address:* 10920 N.W. South River Drive, Miami, FL 33178.

*Date Revoked:* September 1, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3885.

*Name:* Navigo International, Inc.  
*Address:* 3103 McKinney, Houston, TX 77003.

*Date Revoked:* October 4, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3915.

*Name:* Nu-Trans International, Inc.  
*Address:* 3333 South Iron Street, Chicago, IL 60608.

*Date Revoked:* October 3, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3827.

*Name:* Sterling International Forwarders, Inc.

*Address:* 1716 N.W. 82nd Avenue, Miami, FL 33166.

*Date Revoked:* September 18, 1996.  
*Reason:* Failed to maintain a valid surety bond.

*License Number:* 3222.

*Name:* T.L. Dillon & Co., Inc.  
*Address:* P.O. Box 19929, Charlotte, NC 28219.

*Date Revoked:* October 10, 1996.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

*Director, Bureau of Tariffs, Certification and Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 96-29633 Filed 11-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

### Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the

Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

Worldwide Shipping & Agencies (USA), Inc., 1360 Union Hill Road, Alpharetta, GA 30201,  
Officer: Christian Bekkers, President  
Danielle Express Shipping, 1336 Corsino Street, Winter Garden, FL 34787,

Debbie Goordat, Sole Proprietor

Dated: November 15, 1996.

Joseph C. Polking,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-29634 Filed 11-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

#### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices"

(12 U.S.C. 1843). Any request for a hearing must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal. Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than December 13, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (James A. Bluemle, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *Barker Brothers, Inc.*, Springfield, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Middletown Bancorp, Inc., Middletown, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire Middletown State Bank, Middletown, Illinois, and 89 percent of the voting shares of Latham Bancorp, Inc., Latham, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire State Bank of Latham, Latham, Illinois.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Genie D. Short, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:

1. *Halpain Financial, Ltd.*, Dallas, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 22 percent of the voting shares of Gateway Holding Company, Inc., Dallas, Texas, and 100 percent of the voting shares of Gateway Delaware Holding Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware, and thereby indirectly acquire Gateway National Bank, Dallas, Texas.

In connection with this application, Gateway Holding Company, Inc., Dallas, Texas, also has applied to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Gateway Delaware Holding Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware, and thereby indirectly acquire Gateway National Bank, Dallas, Texas.

In addition, Gateway Delaware Holding Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware, also has applied to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Gateway National Bank, Dallas, Texas.