

must file a written protest with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest.

A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the protest is filed.

The above-listed plat(s) represent dependent resurveys, and surveys.

These plats will be in the New Mexico State Office, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-0115. Copies may be obtained from this office upon payment of \$1.10 per sheet.

Dated: April 23, 1997.

**John P. Bennett,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor for New Mexico.*

[FR Doc. 97-11349 Filed 4-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[ID-930-1920-00-4373; IDI-31741]

#### Notice of Addition of Lands to Proposed Withdrawal; Idaho

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Air Force has filed a request to add 10.85 acres to their withdrawal application for the Enhanced Training in Idaho (ETI) site. The original Notice of Proposed Withdrawal was published in the **Federal Register**, 61 FR 15513, April 8, 1996.

**DATES:** Comments and requests for a meeting should be received on or before July 30, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and meeting requests should be sent to the Idaho State Director, BLM, 1387 South Vinnell Way, Boise, Idaho 83709.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jon Foster, BLM Idaho State Office, 1387 South Vinnell Way, Boise, Idaho, 83709, 208-373-3813.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 16, 1997, the Department of Air Force filed a request to add certain lands to their existing withdrawal application. These lands are in addition to those published in the **Federal Register**, 61 FR 15513, April 8, 1996. The following described public lands are withdrawn from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, subject to valid existing rights:

#### Boise Meridian

*Grasmere No-Drop/Emitter Site: (Two locations)*

T. 13 S., R. 4 E.,  
sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  ND-8 (No Drop)

Further described:

Beginning at the SW corner of said Section 13, thence N. 0°09'13" E. along the west line of said Section 13 a distance of 1,984.85 ft.; thence E., 866.61 ft. to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence S. 0°07'39" E., 1,700 ft.; thence N. 89°52'21" W., 150 ft. to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  (AU) (Emitter)

The areas described aggregate 8.35 acres in Owyhee County.

*Hagerman Emitter Site:*

T. 8 S., R. 13 E.,  
sec. 7, lot 3.

Further described:

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  (BK) (Emitter)

The area described contains 2.5 acres in Twin Falls County.

This withdrawal will be authorized under the Act of February 28, 1958, 43 U.S.C. 155-158, and requires legislative action by Congress.

For a period of 90 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the addition of lands to the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the Idaho State Director at the address shown above.

If a public meeting is required a notice of time and place will be published in the **Federal Register** and newspapers in the general vicinity at least 30 days before the scheduled date of a meeting.

Nine public meetings were held in June and July 1996 for the purpose of scoping the environmental documentation to meet National Environmental Policy Act requirements for the proposed withdrawal. The draft environmental impact statement currently under preparation includes the addition of the 10.85 acres described in this notice.

This application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2300.

For a period of 2 years from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the additional described lands will be segregated, as specified above unless the application is denied or canceled or the withdrawal is approved prior to that date. The temporary uses that will be permitted during this segregative period are rights-of-way, leases, permits, licenses or discretionary land use authorizations that do not significantly disturb the surface of the land or impair values of

the resources, but will be coordinated with the Installation Commander, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho.

The temporary segregation of the additional land in connection with the withdrawal application shall not affect administrative jurisdiction over the land, and segregation shall not have the effect of authorizing any use of the land by the Department of the Air Force.

Dated: April 21, 1997.

**Jimmie Buxton,**

*Acting Deputy State Director for Resource Services Division.*

[FR Doc. 97-11280 Filed 4-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Services

#### Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Review Committee: Findings

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior

**ACTION:** NAGPRA Review Committee Advisory Findings And Recommendations Regarding a Carved Wooden Figure from the Hawaiian Islands.

After full and careful consideration of the information and statements submitted and presented by representatives of the City of Providence, RI, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, and Hui Malama I Na Kupuna 'O Hawai 'i Nei, at its meetings on November 23, 1996, and March 27, 1997, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Review Committee (NAGPRA Review Committee) considers:

(1) The Office of Hawaiian Affairs and Hui Malama I Na Kupuna 'O Hawai 'i Nei are Native Hawaiian organizations;

(2) The carved wooden figure currently in the possession of the City of Providence (catalogue number E2133) is a specific ceremonial object needed by traditional Native Hawaiian religious leaders for the practice of traditional Native Hawaiian religion by its present-day adherents;

(3) There is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and Hui Malama I Na Kupuna 'O Hawai 'i Nei and the Native Hawaiians who created and used the carved wooden figure;

(4) The carved wooden figure cannot be identified as an item that, as part of the death rite or ceremony of a culture,

is reasonably believed to have been placed intentionally at the time of death or later with or near individual human remains;

(5) The carved wooden figure cannot be identified as an object of ongoing importance to a Native Hawaiian organization itself rather than property owned by an individual member; and

(6) There was insufficient information presented regarding the circumstances of the acquisition of the carved wooden figure to make an advisory finding concerning right of possession to the object as defined by NAGPRA and its implementing regulations.

In arriving at these advisory findings, the NAGPRA Review Committee noted that:

(1) The carved wooden figure is believed to have been collected in Hawaii;

(2) Several recognized authorities on Hawaiian sculpture, including William H. Davenport, Norman Hurst, Adrienne L. Kaeppler, Herb Kawainui Kane, and Rubellite Kawena Johnson, identified the carved wooden figure as a decorated canoe *haka*, a utilitarian object used to hold spears or fishing poles, rather than a sacred object.

(3) Edward Dodd, another recognized authority on Hawaiian sculpture, describes the carved wooden figure as a curious and rare mixture of utilitarian function with some stylistic features traditionally associated with god images.

(4) Pualani Kanaka'ole Kanahale, Kunani Nihipali, and Edward Halealoha Ayau are recognized by members of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and Hui Malama I Na Kupuna 'O Hawai'i Nei as traditional religious leaders responsible for performing duties related to Hawaiian ceremonial or religious traditions.

(5) The three traditional religious leaders identified the carved wooden figure as an *'aumakua*, an ancestral deity who is called upon by its present-day descendants for guidance and protection;

(6) Little is known regarding the circumstances of the carved wooden object's original acquisition in the 19th Century.

Based on these advisory findings, the NAGPRA Review Committee recommends that the City of Providence reconsider its determination regarding the definition of the carved wooden figure. The carved wooden figure should be considered a sacred object as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act [25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(C) and 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(3)]. The NAGPRA Review Committee also recommends that the

City of Providence repatriate the carved wooden object to a Native Hawaiian organization in the spirit of NAGPRA and its implementing regulations.

These advisory findings and recommendations do not necessarily represent the views of the National Park Service or Secretary of the Interior. The National Park Service and the Secretary of the Interior have not taken a position on these matters.

Dated: April 16, 1997.

**Ms. Tessie Naranjo,**  
*Chair,*

*Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Review Committee.*

[FR Doc. 97-11278 Filed 4-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

---

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Bay-Delta Advisory Council Meetings

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) will meet to discuss several issues including: an update of public workshops on Impact Assessment and Assurances; descriptions of the draft alternatives and operating parameters; an overview of the alternatives evaluation process; an update on the activities of the Ecosystem Restoration program and the Ecosystem Roundtable subcommittee; and other issues. The Ecosystem Roundtable (a subcommittee of the BDAC) will meet to discuss the following issues: project selection criteria, output from the technical teams, development of the annual workplan, the upcoming release of the requests for proposals for Category III, public outreach and funding coordination. Interested persons may make oral statements to the BDAC or to the Ecosystem Roundtable or may file written statements for consideration.

**DATES:** The Bay-Delta Advisory Council meeting will be held from 9:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 22, 1997. The Ecosystem Roundtable will meet from 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. on Friday, May 9, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** The Bay-Delta Advisory Council will meet at the Sacramento Convention Center, 1400 J Street, Sacramento, CA. The Ecosystem Roundtable will meet in Room 1131, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** For the BDAC meeting, contact Sharon Gross, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at

(916) 657-2666. For the Ecosystem Roundtable meeting contact Cindy Darling, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657-2666. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Office at (916) 653-6952 or TDD (916) 653-6934 at least one week prior to the meeting.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta system) is a critically important part of California's natural environment and economy. In recognition of the serious problems facing the region and the complex resource management decisions that must be made, the state of California and the Federal government are working together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta system. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta system are working together as CALFED to provide policy direction and oversight for the process.

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop long-term solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta system related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan which addresses all of the resource problems. This effort, the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The CALFED Bay-Delta Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) as the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) to advise CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff. BDAC has established a subcommittee called the Ecosystem Roundtable to provide input on annual work plans to implement