is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts his business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. *See* Romeo J. Perez, M.D., 62 FR 16,193 (1997); Demetris A. Green, M.D., 61 FR 60,728 (1996); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51,104 (1993).

Here, in light of the Board's Consent Order, it is clear that Dr. Katta is not authorized to handle controlled substances on his own in the State of Louisiana, and is only authorized to handle controlled substances in a hospital setting using the state and DEA registrations issued to the hospital. Therefore, Dr. Katta is not entitled to a DEA registration in that state.

Accordingly, the Acting Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificates of Registration, AK3284647 and BK2580769, previously issued to Chandra M. Katta, M.D., be, and they hereby are, revoked. The Acting Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for the renewal of such registrations, be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective July 14, 1997.

Dated: June 5, 1997.

James S. Milford,

Acting Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97–15317 Filed 6–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Registration

By Notice dated February 26, 1997, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 19, 1997, (62 FR 13170), Knoll Pharmaceuticals, 30 North Jefferson Road, Whippany, New Jersey 07981, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of hydromorphone (9150), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Knoll Pharmaceuticals to manufacture hydromorphone is consistent with the public interest at this time. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed above in granted.

Dated: May 23, 1997.

Terrance W. Woodworth,

Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–15318 Filed 6–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Meetings of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meeting of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy E. Weiss, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606–8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606–8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meeting is for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meeting will consider information that is likely to disclose: (1) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; or (2) information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that this meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* June 19, 1997.
Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Public Programs, submitted to the Office of Enterprise for projects at the May 28, 1997 deadline.

Nancy E. Weiss,

Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–15434 Filed 6–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70–7001]

Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP–1 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, KY

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination the staff concluded that: (1) There is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is shown below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security, and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental

assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this **Federal Register** notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the Decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the Decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after publication of this **Federal Register** notice.

A petition for review must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, by the above date.

For further details with respect to the action see: (1) The application for amendment and (2) the Commission's Compliance Evaluation Report. These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room.

Date of amendment request: March 31, 1997.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment, in accordance with a commitment made in the USEC certificate application, changes the administrative Technical Safety Requirement (TSR) that limits the working hours of facility staff who perform safety functions.

Basis for finding of no significance:

1. The proposed amendment will not result in a change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

Limiting working hours of facility staff who perform safety functions may enhance safety by reducing occupational stresses and burdens on facility staff who perform safety functions. Therefore, this TSR amendment will not result in an increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite or result in any impact to the environment.

2. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The proposed reductions in overtime limits, will not increase individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

3. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant construction impact.

The proposed changes will not result in any construction, therefore, there will be no construction impacts.

4. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

The proposed change involves revision of the hours of work TSR to establish more restrictive limitations than the current TSR. As such, these changes do not represent an increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

The proposed changes will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident. In fact, the reductions in overtime limits described in the assessment of criterion 1, may enhance safety by reducing occupational stresses and burdens on facility staff who perform safety functions.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The proposed changes, more restrictive work hour controls, will not reduce the margin of safety as defined in the Technical Safety Requirement. The change is needed to minimize the potential for adverse effects which may be associated with excessive work hours.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs.

Reduction in limits to overtime would not result in a decrease in the overall effectiveness of the plant's safety program. The staff has also not identified any safeguards or security related implications from the proposed amendment. Therefore, reducing the limits on overtime will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs.

Effective date: The amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP–1 becomes effective 30 days after being signed by the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

Certificate of Compliance No. GDP–1: Amendment will revise the Technical Safety Requirement on overtime.

Local Public Document Room location: Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of June 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Carl J. Paperiello,

Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 97–15387 Filed 6–11–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC97–3]

Bound Printed Matter Weight Limitations; Notice and Order Initiating Proceedings to Consider Changes in Domestic Mail Classification Schedule Provisions Governing Bound Printed Matter and Directing Parties to Initiate Informal Procedures

Issued June 5, 1997.

Before Commissioners:
Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman;
H. Edward Quick, Jr., Vice Chairman;
George W. Haley; W.H. ''Trey'' LeBlanc III

In Order No. 1175, the Commission gave notice of the Postal Service's withdrawal of its Request for various reforms in the classification of parcels, and granted the Service's motion to close the docket which had been established to consider that Request. Docket No. MC97–2, notice of withdrawal of Request by United States Postal Service and Order Granting Motion to Close Docket, May 9, 1997. The Order also noted the filing of a Joint Motion [1] asking the Commission to

[1] Joint Motion of Advertising Mail Marketing Association, Association of American Publishers

Continued