implement and Department's Y2K five phase process are sufficient to ensure all mission critical systems will function properly on, before and after January 1, 2000.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Commission Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that these DSB Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1)(1994), and that accordingly these meetings will be closed to the public.

L. M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 97–30045 Filed 11–14–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Satellite Reconnaissance

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Open Systems will meet in closed session on November 18–19, 1997 at Strategic Analysis, Inc., 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia. In order for the Task Force to obtain time sensitive classified briefings, critical to the understanding of the issues, this meeting is scheduled on short notice.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will examine the benefits of, criteria for, and obstacles to the application of an open systems approach to weapon systems, and to make recommendations on revisions to DoD policy, practice, or investment strategies that are required to obtain maximum benefit from adopting open systems. The Task Force should examine application to new defense programs, to those that have already made substantial investments in a design, and to those that are already fielded, across the spectrum of weapon systems, not just those heavily dependent on advanced computers and electronics.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1994), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: November 10, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternative OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 97–30046 Filed 11–14–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of The Navy

Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period for Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for Realignment of F/A–18 Aircraft and Operational Functions From Naval Air Station (NAS) Cecil Field, Florida to Other East Coast Installations and Draft Clean Air Act (CAA) Conformity Determination

SUMMARY: The Department of The Navy Announces That the Public Comment Period For the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) And Draft Clean Air Act (CAA) Conformity Determination Which Evaluates The Realignment of F/A–18 Aircraft And Operational Functions From NAS Cecil Field, Florida To Other Navy And Marine Corps Air Stations On The East Coast Of The United States Has Been Extended By Two Weeks To December 2, 1997.

Pursuant to section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy filed a DEIS with Environmental Protection Agency on September 12, 1997. A Notice of Availability of the DEIS was published in the Federal Register on September 19, 1997. This notice provided for a 60day public comment period on the DEIS which would have expired on November 18, 1997. However, due to the fact that an additional public hearing has been scheduled for November 17, 1997 at the NC Aquarium in Manteo, NC (see Federal Register: October 31, 1997, Page 58950), the Navy has decided to extend the public comment period on the DEIS to December 2, 1997. All comments/ questions on the DEIS must be received by close of business (5 p.m.) on this date. Comments may be mailed to: Commander, Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Attention: Mr. Dan Cecchini (Code 2032DC), 1510 Gilbert Street, Norfolk, VA 23511. Comments may also be faxed to (757) 322-4894.

POINT OF CONTACT: Additional information concerning this notice may be obtained by contacting Mr. Cecchini at (757) 322–4891.

Dated: November 7, 1997.

Darse E. Crandall, LCDR, JAGC, USN, Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 97–29940 Filed 11–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Dan Chenok, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503. Requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708–8196. Individuals who use a

telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its