

TABLE 1—Continued

Stage	P/N	S/N
12	772512	S01971
12	772512	S01980
12	772512	S01994
12	772512	S02002
12	772512	S02007
12	772512	S19593
12	772512	S19644
12	772512	S19843
12	772512	S51370
12	772512	S51437
12	772512	S51514
12	772512	S51519
12	772512	S51560
12	772512	S51571
12	772512	S78825
12	772512	S78841
12	798512	B212AA0009
12	798512	B212AA0045
12	798512	B212AA0051
12	798512	B212AA0060
12	798512	B212AA0073
12	798512	B212AA0077
12	798512	B212AA0082
12	798512	B212AA0142
12	798512	B212AA0155
12	798512	B212AA0290
12	798512	B212AA0293
12	798512	B212AA0361
12	798512	B212AA0428
12	798512	B212AA0586
12	798512	B212AA0618
12	798512	B212AA0647
12	798512	B212AA0735
12	798512	B212AA0747
12	798512	B212AA0942
12	798512	B212AA0974
12	798512	B212AA1031
12	798512	B212AA1062
12	798512	B212AA1098
12	798512	B212AA1173
12	798512	BENCAH1931
12	798512	BENCAH4104
12	798512	BENCAJ4925
12	798512	BENCAJ6158
12	798512	BENCAJ7821
12	798512	BENCAJ8115
12	798512	BENCAJ9478
12	798512	BENCAJ9497
12	798512	BENCAJ9503
12	798512	BENCAJ9530
12	798512	BENCAJ9617
12	798512	BENCAJ9673
12	798512	BENCAK0455
12	798512	BENCAK2377
12	798512	BENCAK4552
12	798512	BENCAK5787
12	798512	BENCAK8605
12	798512	BENCAK9227
12	798512	BENCAL1655
12	798512	BENCAL2487
12	798512	BENCAL4173
12	798512	BENCAL6328
12	798512	BENCAL6602
12	798512	M86993
12	798512	N42703
12	798512	N42708
12	798512	N57617
12	798512	N57629
12	798512	N80087
12	798512	N80088
12	798512	N98138
12	798512	N99136

TABLE 1—Continued

Stage	P/N	S/N
12	798512	N99144
12	798512	P53305
12	798512	P76909
12	798512	P76916
12	798512	P77722
12	798512	P78317
12	798512	R17334
12	798512	R46556
12	798512	R46562
12	798512	R73201
12	798512	R74214
12	798512	S02217
12	798512	S02254
12	798512	S51853
12	798512	S79575
12	798512	S94530
12	798512	S94534
12	798512	S94538
12	798512	S94539
12	798512	S94569
12	798512	S94579
12	798512	S94590
12	798512	S94615
12	798512	T19187
12	798512	T19213
12	798512	T19220
12	798512	T19242
12	798512	T19277
12	798512	T19292
12	798512	T19314
12	798512	T28638
12	798512	T43059

(b) For the purpose of this AD, a shop visit is defined as an engine removal, where engine maintenance entails separation of pairs of major mating engine flanges or the removal of a disk, hub, or spool regardless of other planned maintenance.

(c) The accomplishment of the inspections and repairs specified in this AD must be performed at Greenwich Air Services Inc., certificate number RA1R445K of Dallas, Texas. Operators wishing to use another facility to perform the required inspections and repairs must apply for an alternate method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the inspection requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on January 8, 1998.

**James C. Jones,**

*Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 98-1483 Filed 1-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Office of the Secretary**

**14 CFR Part 255**

[Docket No. OST-97-2881]

RIN 2105-AC65

**Computer Reservations System (CRS) Regulations (Part 255)**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary (DOT).

**ACTION:** Advanced notice of proposed rulemaking; notice extending reply comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Department began a rulemaking to determine whether it should continue or modify its existing rules governing airline computer reservations systems (CRSs). On September 10, 1997, the Department published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking asking for comments on that matter. The Department is now extending the due date for reply comments on the advance notice to February 3, 1998, from the current due date, January 23. The Department is acting due to a party's request for an extension based on the complexity of the issues and the large number of comments.

**DATES:** Comments are due by February 3, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Reply comments must be filed in Room PL-401, Docket OST-97-2881, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 7th St. SW., Washington, DC 20590. Late filed comments will be considered to the extent possible. To facilitate consideration of comments, each commenter should file six copies of its comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Ray, Office of the General Counsel, 400 Seventh St. SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-4731.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department's rules governing CRS

operations—14 CFR part 255—will expire on March 31, 1999, unless the Department readopts them or changes the rules' termination date to a later date. 62 FR 66272, December 18, 1997. We published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking to begin a proceeding for reexamining the rules and determining whether they should be readopted and, if so, whether they should be changed. 62 FR 47606, September 10, 1997. Under our modified schedule, the reply comments are due January 23 (the comments were due December 9). 62 FR 58700, October 30, 1997.

American Airlines, the principal owner of Sabre, the largest system and a major user of every system's services, has asked us to change the due date for reply comments to February 3, 1998 (as requested by our staff, American served its request on every commenter, so that all parties will be aware of its request). American notes that many comments were filed in response to our advance notice, that those comments raised a number of complex issues, and that some parties did not file their comments until well after the due date for comments. American contends that an extension of time for the reply comments is needed to ensure that all interested persons have a reasonable opportunity to review the initial comments and to prepare their reply comments. We intend to complete our rulemaking as soon as reasonably possible, given the impact of computer reservations system practices on airline competition, the public's ability to obtain accurate and complete information on airline services, and the airline and travel agency businesses. We have nonetheless decided to grant the short extension requested by American. Many parties filed comments, and those comments dealt with a number of difficult issues. We are likely to have a better record for preparing a notice of proposed rulemaking if we enable the parties to prepare reply comments that discuss in depth all of the issues. We will therefore extend the due date for reply comments to February 3.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January 16, 1998.

**Nancy E. McFadden,**  
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 98-1595 Filed 1-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### 17 CFR Part 1

#### Voting by Interested Members of Self-Regulatory Organization Governing Boards and Committees

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** On May 3, 1996, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") published for comment in the **Federal Register** a proposed new Regulation 1.69<sup>1</sup> that would implement the statutory directives of Section 5a(a)(17) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA") as it was amended by Section 217 of the Futures Trading Practices Act of 1992 ("FTPA").<sup>2</sup> The Commission received eleven comment letters in response to the proposed rulemaking. Based upon those comments, the Commission has amended its proposed rulemaking and has determined to publish a revised proposed rulemaking for additional public comment.

Proposed Commission Regulation 1.69 would require self-regulatory organizations ("SRO") to adopt rules prohibiting governing board, disciplinary committee, and oversight panel members from deliberating or voting on certain matters where the member had either a relationship with the matter's named party in interest or a financial interest in the matter's outcome. The proposed rulemaking also would amend Commission Regulations 1.41 and 1.63 to make modifications made necessary by proposed Commission Regulation 1.69.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule and rule amendments must be received by February 23, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David P. Van Wagner, Special Counsel, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20581; Telephone: (202) 418-5481.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

Section 217 of the FTPA amended Section 5a(a)(17) of the CEA to require that contract markets "provide for the avoidance of conflict of interest in deliberations by [their] governing board[s] and any disciplinary and

oversight committee[s]." <sup>3</sup> On May 3, 1996, the Commission published for public comment in the **Federal Register** a proposed new Regulation 1.69 which required SROs to adopt rules prohibiting governing board, disciplinary committee and oversight panel members from deliberating and voting on certain matters where the member had either a relationship with the matter's named party in interest or a financial interest in the matter's outcome.<sup>4</sup> The Commission also proposed to make related amendments to existing Commission Regulations 1.3, 1.41 and 1.63.

##### II. Comments Received

The Commission received eleven comment letters in response to its proposed rulemaking. The comment letters were submitted by six futures exchanges (the Chicago Board of Trade ("CBT"), the Chicago Mercantile Exchange ("CME"), the Coffee, Sugar & Cocoa Exchange, Inc. ("CSC"), the Kansas City Board of Trade ("KCBT"), the New York Cotton Exchange ("NYCE"), and the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX")); two futures clearing organizations (the Board of Trade Clearing Corporation ("BOTCC") and the Commodity Futures Clearing Corporation of New York ("CFCCNY")); two futures trade associations (the Equity Owners' Association of the CME ("EOA") and the Futures Industry Association ("FIA")); and a registered futures association ("RFA") (the National Futures Association ("NFA")).

The Commission has reviewed these comments carefully and has decided to issue for public comment re-proposed versions of Regulation 1.69 and amended Regulations 1.41 and 1.63 with modifications from the originally-proposed versions. The following section of this release analyzes the Commission's rulemaking. Each provision of the Commission's originally-proposed rulemaking is described along with a discussion of comments which were made on that particular provision, an indication of how the provision has been amended in

<sup>3</sup> For the purposes of this release, the term *committee* generally will be used to include governing boards, disciplinary committees and oversight panels unless otherwise specified. This proposed rulemaking's definitions of governing board, disciplinary committee, oversight panel and SRO are discussed below in Section III.A.

<sup>4</sup> 61 FR 19869 (May 3, 1996). In that same **Federal Register** release, the Commission also published for public comment a proposed new Regulation 156.4 which required contract markets to make more readily available to the public the identity of members of broker associations at their respective exchanges. The Commission adopted Regulation 156.4, with minor modifications, on August 2, 1996. 61 FR 41496 (August 9, 1996).

<sup>1</sup> 61 FR 19869 (May 3, 1996).

<sup>2</sup> Pub. L. No. 102-546, §217, 106 Stat. 3590 (1992).