

Massachusetts Electric Company, Holyoke Water Power Company (including Holyoke Power and Electric Company) and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, tendered for filing pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and Section 35.13 of the Commission's Regulations, a rate schedule change for sales of electric energy to Sterling Municipal Light Department.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Sterling Municipal Light Department and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

NUSCO requests that the rate schedule change become effective on May 1, 1998.

Comment date: May 18, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-2724-000]

Take notice that on April 28, 1998, Cinergy Services, Inc., on behalf of its operating companies, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company and PSI Energy, Inc., tendered for filing a Power Supply Agreement between Cinergy Services, Inc., and the Town of Richlands, Virginia (Customer). Said filing also includes unbundled pricing information related to said Power Supply Agreement.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Town of Richlands, Virginia, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, the Blue Ridge Power Agency, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission and the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor.

Comment date: May 18, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-2725-000]

Take notice that on April 28, 1998, Cinergy Services, Inc., on behalf of its operating companies, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company and PSI Energy, Inc., tendered for filing a Power Supply Agreement between Cinergy Services, Inc., and the City of Danville, Virginia (Customer). Said filing also includes unbundled pricing information related to said Power Supply Agreement.

Copies of the filing were served upon the City of Danville, Virginia, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, the Blue Ridge Power Agency, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission and the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor.

Comment date: May 18, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. The Energy Spring, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-2772-000]

Take notice that on April 28, 1998, The Energy Spring, Inc., submitted for filing a notice of name change prepared in accordance with the provisions of 18 CFR 35.16 and 131.51 notifying the Commission that effective April 7, 1998, The Energy Spring, Inc., has legally changed its name to Atlanta Gas Light Services, Inc. (AGLS). AGLS adopts, ratifies and makes its own, in every respect all applicable rate schedules, and supplements thereto, listed below, heretofore filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by The Energy Spring, Inc., effective April 28, 1998:

The Energy Spring, Inc.
Rate Schedule FERC No. 1

Atlanta Gas Light Services, Inc.'s filing is available for public inspection at its offices in Atlanta, Georgia.

Comment date: May 18, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-12228 Filed 5-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6011-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Verification of Test Parameters and Parts Lists for Light-Duty Vehicles and Light-Duty Trucks

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed and/or continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) for renewal to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Verification of test parameters and parts lists for light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks, OMB Control Number 2060-0094, expiring 08/31/98. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 7, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Vehicle Programs & Compliance Division (6405J), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Interested persons may request a copy of this ICR, without charge, by writing, faxing, or phoning the contact person below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY: Sonny Kakar, Office of Mobile Sources, Vehicle Programs & Compliance Division, (202) 564-9467, (202) 565-2057 (fax), E-mail address: kakar.sonny@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are manufacturers of light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks.

Title: Verification of test parameters and parts lists for light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks, OMB Control Number 2060-0094, expiration date 08/31/98. This is a request for an extension of currently approved collections.

Abstract: The EPA tests in-use vehicles in order to enforce compliance with light-duty vehicle and light-duty truck emission standards. The Federal Test Procedure (FTP), which is used for determining compliance, requires test parameters and procedures that are necessary to conduct a valid test. Therefore, after EPA has selected these parameters and procedures from previously submitted manufacturer

data, EPA gives the motor vehicle manufacturer the opportunity to review and verify that EPA has selected the correct parameters and procedures for vehicle emission testing. Providing part numbers gives the manufacturer the opportunity to help ensure that defective or incorrect parts will be replaced by those which the manufacturer feels are necessary to correctly evaluate the emissions performance of the vehicles tested. Though this information request is voluntary, EPA uses the manufacturers' input as part of the verification of our work. If this information is not reviewed and provided by the manufacturers, EPA and the manufacturers may waste resources on tests that were performed improperly and the manufacturers may not have as much opportunity to participate in a compliance program that has the potential to adversely affect them.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of the appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The annual burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 150 hours and \$4950 for the manufacturers and 150 hours and \$5400 for the government. Approximately 75 requests may be made annually with an average of 2 hours spent on each request by both entities. The total costs are attributed to labor hours and overhead since there is no capital investment required for this collection of information. Burden means

the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: April 30, 1998.

Richard Wilson,

Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 98-12304 Filed 5-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6011-7]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Industry Screener Questionnaire: Phase I Cooling Water Intake Structures

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Industry Screener Questionnaire: Phase I Cooling Water Intake Structures (EPA ICR number 1828.01). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection activities and its expected burden and cost. In particular, the ICR describes the collection methodology EPA will use to distribute the data collection instrument and includes a representative sample of the data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 8, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY: Contact Sandy Farmer by phone at (202) 260-2740, e-mail at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/ICR>. In all requests, refer to EPA ICR No. 1828.01.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Industry Screener Questionnaire: Phase I Cooling Water Intake Structures (EPA ICR No. 1828.01). This is a new collection.

Abstract: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is currently developing regulations under section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. Section 1326(b). Section 316(b) provides that any standard established pursuant to sections 301 or 306 of the Clean Water Act and applicable to a point source shall require that the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact. Section 316(b) is unique in that it applies to the intake of water and not the discharge. The intent is to minimize the impingement and entrainment of fish and other aquatic organisms as they are drawn into an industrial facility's cooling water intake. As the result of a lawsuit by a coalition of environmental groups headed by the Hudson Riverkeeper (*Cronin, et al. v. Reilly*, 93 Civ. 0314 (AGS)), the United States District Court, Southern District of New York entered a Consent Decree on October 10, 1995. The Consent Decree established a seven year schedule for EPA to take final action with respect to regulations addressing impacts from cooling water intake structures.

The screener questionnaire contains three types of questions. These questions are either scoping, stratifying, or characterizing in nature. EPA intends to use data from the scoping questions to determine who is potentially in scope of Section 316(b). EPA intends to use data from stratifying questions to support the subsequent survey sample frame development for the detailed industry questionnaire. EPA intends to use data from the characterizing questions to assist EPA in structuring the subsequent detailed questionnaire and to support the Agency's development of Section 316(b) regulations. The screener questionnaire collects information on such topics as cooling water use within industry groups; cooling water intake structure location, design configurations, construction, and capacity; and types of intake water sources. In addition, EPA is requesting facility and firm level economic data. This economic data will enable EPA to consider cooling water use across a broad variety of facility and firm sizes. The subsequent detailed questionnaire is structured to seek more in-depth information on the unique features of cooling water use and other