

415-6885) or Mr. Amarjit Singh (telephone 301-415-6899) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact one of the above named individuals one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: May 18, 1998.

Sam Duraiswamy,

Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.

[FR Doc. 98-13711 Filed 5-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No.: 30-01233]

Site Decommissioning Plans Etc: U.S. Army Garrison, Fitzsimons, Aurora Co; Hearing

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of consideration of amendment request for decommissioning the Department of the Army, U.S. Army Garrison, Fitzsimons, Aurora, Colorado, and Opportunity for a Hearing.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of a license amendment to Byproduct Material License No. 05-00046-13, issued to the Department of the Army, U.S. Army Garrison, Fitzsimons (Fitzsimons), to authorize decommissioning of its facilities at Aurora, Colorado.

On June 28, 1996, Fitzsimons ceased principal activities permanently at the Aurora facilities. The licensee has conducted limited decommissioning activities at the Aurora facilities in accordance with the conditions discussed in License No. 05-00046-13. On February 2, 1998, Fitzsimons submitted a site decommissioning plan (SDP) to NRC for review that summarized the decommissioning activities that will be undertaken to remediate the Aurora facilities and release them from radiological controls and licensing restrictions. Radioactive contamination at the Fitzsimons Aurora facilities discussed in the SDP consists of pipes, sinks, bench tops, cabinet drawers, flooring, and fume hood components contaminated with byproduct material resulting from licensed operations that occurred from 1956 until 1996.

The NRC will require the licensee to remediate the Aurora facilities to meet NRC's decommissioning criteria, and

during decommissioning activities, maintain effluents and doses within NRC requirements and as low as reasonably achievable.

Prior to approving the decommissioning plan, NRC will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and NRC's regulations. These findings will be documented in a Safety Evaluation Report and an Environmental Assessment. Approval of the SDP will be documented in an amendment to License No. 05-00046-13.

The NRC hereby provides notice that this is a proceeding on an application for amendment of a license falling within the scope of Subpart L "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudication in Materials Licensing Proceedings," of NRC's rules and practice for domestic licensing proceedings in 10 CFR Part 2. Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in accordance with § 2.1205(d). A request for a hearing must be filed within (30) days of the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice.

The request for a hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary either:

1. By delivery to Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm Federal workdays; or

2. By mail or telegram addressed to Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

In addition to meeting other applicable requirements of 10 CFR Part 2 of the NRC's regulations, a request for a hearing filed by a person other than an applicant must describe in detail:

1. The interest of the requester in the proceeding;

2. How that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requestor should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in § 2.1205 (h);

3. The requester's areas of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject matter of the proceeding; and

4. The circumstance establishing that the request for a hearing is timely in accordance with § 2.1205 (d).

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205 (f), each request for a hearing must also be served, by delivering it personally or by mail, to:

1. The applicant, Department of the Army, U.S. Army Garrison, Fitzsimons,

12101 E. Colfax Avenue, Aurora, Colorado, Attention: MCHG-BC-BC(RPO); and

2. The NRC staff, by delivery to Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm Federal workdays, or by mail, addressed to Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

For further details with respect to this action, the SDP is available for inspection at the NRC's Region IV offices at 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, TX 76011-8064.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Danny L. Rice, Division of Nuclear Material Safety, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, TX 76011-8064. Telephone: (817) 860-8151.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of May 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John W. N. Hickey,

[FR Doc. 98-13712 Filed 5-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Meeting

Board meeting: June 24, 1998—Las Vegas, Nevada: Department of Energy (DOE) alternative designs for a potential repository that might be developed at Yucca Mountain in Nevada.

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100-203, Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (Board) will hold its summer meeting Wednesday, June 24, 1998, in Las Vegas, Nevada.

The one-day meeting, which is open to the public, will begin at 8:30 a.m. and will focus on efforts being made by the DOE's Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM) to develop alternative designs for a proposed repository that might be developed at Yucca Mountain in Nevada. In particular, the Board will hear presentations dealing with enhancements to the OCRWM's base-case repository design, and how, using technologies such as drip shields and ceramic coatings, those enhancements might affect repository performance. The Board also will hear a presentation on the technical basis for OCRWM's choice of specific technical designs to be analyzed in its forthcoming