

municipal securities principal is obligated pursuant to Rule G-7 to submit the amended information to the OCC in order to ensure that the individuals are properly registered. Accordingly, the final rule removes current § 10.4(b).

Current § 10.4(c) requires national bank MSDs to file Form MSD-5 within 30 days of terminating a person's association with the bank as a municipal securities representative or principal. This requirement does not appear in Rule G-7. In order to facilitate the effective supervision of MSD activity by national banks, the final rule retains the requirement, at § 10.2(b), that a termination notice be submitted.

Finally, current § 10.4(d)(1) restates record retention requirements found in Rule G-7(e), while § 10.4(d)(2) states that the MSD-4 and MSD-5 forms are covered by section 32(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78ff). These provisions in current § 10.4 are unnecessary and are, therefore, removed.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The OCC hereby certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

As noted earlier, the OCC has only eliminated unnecessary provisions that appear in the current rule. This rule will, therefore, reduce the regulatory burden on national banks, regardless of size. No new burden is added by the changes.

Executive Order 12866

The OCC has determined that this final rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995

The OCC has determined that the final rule will not result in expenditures by State, local, and tribal governments, or by the private sector, of more than \$100 million in any one year. Accordingly, the OCC has not prepared a budgetary impact statement or specifically addressed the regulatory alternatives considered as would otherwise be required by the Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 10

National banks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the OCC revises part 10 of chapter I of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below:

PART 10—MUNICIPAL SECURITIES DEALERS

Sec.

10.1 Scope.

10.2 Filing requirements.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 93a, 481, and 1818; 15 U.S.C. 78o-4(c)(5) and 78q-78w.

§ 10.1 Scope.

This part applies to:

(a) Any national bank, District bank, and separately identifiable department or division of either (collectively, a national bank) that acts as a municipal securities dealer, as that term is defined in section 3(a)(30) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(30)); and

(b) Any person who is associated or to be associated with a national bank in the capacity of a municipal securities principal or a municipal securities representative, as those terms are defined in Rule G-3 of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB).¹

§ 10.2 Filing requirements.

(a) A national bank shall use Form MSD-4 (Uniform Application for Municipal Securities Principal or Municipal Securities Representative Associated with a Bank Municipal Securities Dealer) for obtaining the information required by MSRB Rule G-7(b)(i)-(x) from a person identified in § 10.1(b). A national bank receiving a completed MSD-4 form from a person identified in § 10.1(b). A national bank receiving a completed MSD-4 form from a person identified in § 10.1(b) must submit this form to the OCC before permitting the person to be associated with it as a municipal securities principal or a municipal securities representative.

(b) A national bank must submit Form MSD-5 (Uniform Termination Notice for Municipal Securities Principal or Municipal Securities Representative Associated with a Bank Municipal Securities Dealer) to the OCC within 30 days of terminating a person's association with the bank as a municipal securities principal or municipal securities representative.

(c) Forms MSD-4 and MSD-5, with instructions, may be obtained by contacting the OCC at 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219, Attention: Bank Dealer Activities.

¹The MSRB rules may be obtained by contacting the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board at 1150 18th Street, NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20036-3816.

Dated: May 9, 1998.

Julie L. Williams,

Acting Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc. 98-14016 Filed 5-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-211-AD; Amendment 39-10532; AD 98-11-05]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Saab Model SAAB 2000 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Saab Model SAAB 2000 series airplanes, that requires performing a one-time inspection of the dropout boxes of the passenger oxygen system to detect discrepancies and determine whether the system operates properly; correcting any discrepancy found; and reworking or installing new components, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by a report indicating that the oxygen system failed to operate correctly after activation at a low cabin pressure due to the incorrect installation of the oxygen masks or oxygen generators during manufacturing. The actions specified by this AD are intended to ensure that a sufficient supply of oxygen is provided to airplane passengers in the event of rapid decompression of the airplane.

DATES: Effective July 2, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 2, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Saab Aircraft AB, SAAB Aircraft Product Support, S-581.88, Linköping, Sweden. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the **Federal Register**, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington

98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Saab Model SAAB 2000 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on May 22, 1997 (62 FR 27986). That action proposed to require performing a one-time inspection of the dropout boxes of the passenger oxygen system to detect discrepancies and determine whether the system operates properly; correcting any discrepancy found; and reworking or installing new components, if necessary.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter supports the proposed AD; however, it notes that many different types of failures were discovered upon review by the Luftfartsverket (LFV), which is the airworthiness authority for Sweden. Additionally, the commenter states that the repair work performed to correct the errors also could be performed incorrectly. The commenter requests that because of these two factors, the FAA should require repetitive inspections in lieu of the proposed one-time inspection.

The FAA does not concur with the commenter's request to include repetitive inspections in this rulemaking action at this point. According to Saab, the problem was found to originate from the interior supplier's repacking of the oxygen equipment after installing the dropout boxes in the passenger service units. This finding led to several improvements and related instructions by Saab and the interior and systems suppliers regarding the packing method. These improvements also included hands-on training. Saab is not aware of any further problems occurring with the dropout boxes after implementation of these improvements. Therefore, the FAA has determined that repetitive inspections to ensure the continued proper functioning of the system are not necessary.

The same commenter states that it is unacceptable to operate aircraft with emergency equipment that would not work when needed, and suggests that it would be prudent to periodically perform functional tests of all the emergency equipment to ensure that it will work when needed.

The FAA acknowledges the concerns of the commenter. The FAA has determined that an unsafe condition exists, and that the actions required by this AD are adequate in order to ensure the continued safety of the affected fleet. While there may be merit to the commenter's suggestions, this AD is not the appropriate context in which to evaluate those suggestions. Since the suggested changes would alter the actions currently required by this AD, additional rulemaking would be required. The FAA finds that to delay this action would be inappropriate in light of the identified unsafe condition. No change to this final rule is necessary.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 3 Saab Model SAAB 2000 series airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 3 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$540, or \$180 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has

been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

98-11-05 SAAB Aircraft AB: Amendment 39-10532. Docket 96-NM-211-AD.

Applicability: Model SAAB 2000 series airplanes, having serial numbers -003 through -039 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent an insufficient supply of oxygen being provided to airplane passengers in the event of rapid decompression of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time inspection of the dropout boxes of the passenger oxygen system to detect discrepancies and determine whether the system operates properly, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Saab Service Bulletin 2000-35-001, dated February 20, 1996.

(1) If the passenger oxygen system operates properly and no discrepancy is found in this system, no further action is required by this AD.

(2) If any discrepancy is found in the passenger oxygen system, prior to further

flight, perform rework or install new components, as applicable, in accordance with the service bulletin.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The actions shall be done in accordance with Saab Service Bulletin 2000-35-001, dated February 20, 1996. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Saab Aircraft AB, SAAB Aircraft Product Support, S-581.88, Linköping, Sweden. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Swedish airworthiness directive (SAD) 1-091, dated February 20, 1996.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on July 2, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 18, 1998.

John J. Hickey,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-13822 Filed 5-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-172-AD; Amendment 39-10544; AD 98-11-19]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A310 and A300-600 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model

A310 and A300-600 series airplanes, that requires a visual inspection to detect cracks in the aft mount beam assembly of the engine; and replacement of any cracked beam with a new beam or beam assembly. This amendment also requires a fluorescent penetrant inspection to detect cracks in the aft mount beam assembly of the engine, and various follow-on actions. This amendment is prompted by reports indicating that, apparently due to manufacturing defects during the forging process, cracking was found in two engine aft mount beams. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct such cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the aft mount beam assembly of the engine.

DATES: Effective July 2, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 2, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main Street, East Hartford, Connecticut 06108. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A310 and A300-600 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on April 1, 1997 (62 FR 15439). That action proposed to require a visual inspection to detect cracks in the aft mount beam assembly of the engine; and replacement of any cracked beam with a new beam or beam assembly. That action also proposed to require a fluorescent penetrant inspection to detect cracks in the aft mount beam assembly of the engine, and various follow-on actions.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter supports the proposed rule.

One commenter requests that the proposed AD be revised to cite accomplishment of Pratt & Whitney Service Bulletin PW4MD11 A71-102, Revision 3, dated August 30, 1995, as an equivalent alternative to accomplishment of Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletins PW4NAC A71-149, Revision 1, dated August 30, 1995, and PW7R4 A71-129, Revision 1, dated August 30, 1995, as referenced in the proposed AD.

The FAA concurs with the commenter's request to cite accomplishment of Pratt & Whitney Service Bulletin PW4MD11 A71-102, Revision 3, dated August 30, 1995, as an equivalent alternative to accomplishment of Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletins PW4NAC A71-149, Revision 1, dated August 30, 1995 or PW7R4 A71-129, Revision 1, dated August 30, 1995. Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletins PW4NAC A71-149, Revision 1, dated August 30, 1995, and PW7R4 A71-129, Revision 1, dated August 30, 1995, contain a Note that states: "Service Bulletins PW4NAC A71-149 (PW4000/AI), PW7R4 A71-129 (JT9D-7R4/AI), and PW4MD11 A71-102 (PW4000/DAC) have been issued to cover all aircraft. Accomplishment of any one of these Service Bulletins satisfies the same intent of the other two." The FAA has revised this final rule to reflect this change by adding a new Note to the AD.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 8 Airbus Model A310 and A300-600 series airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD.

It will take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required visual inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the visual inspection required by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$960, or \$120 per airplane.

It will take approximately 34 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required fluorescent penetrant inspection, at an average labor rate of