

obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 97-110-218(B), dated May 7, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 20, 1998.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 98-14036 Filed 5-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-187-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328 Series Airplanes Equipped with Honeywell GP-300 Guidance and Display Controller**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328 series airplanes, that currently requires modification of certain Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controllers. That AD was prompted by reports of smoke and fumes emitting from the Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller due to a defective light bulb; and a report of failure of the autopilot to disconnect manually. The actions specified by that AD are intended to prevent a defective light bulb from causing a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit; or causing damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode. Locking of the autopilot into the engaged mode could lead to the inability of the pilot to disconnect the autopilot, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. This action would require verification of proper installation of the modification, and repair, if necessary.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 29, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation

Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-187-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Honeywell, Inc., Attn: Customer Support Materiel, P.O. Box 21111, Phoenix, Arizona 85036. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5220; fax (562) 627-5210.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** J. Kirk Baker, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (562) 627-5345; fax (562) 627-5210.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-187-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-187-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

#### **Discussion**

On May 31, 1996, the FAA issued AD 96-12-13, amendment 39-9656 (61 FR 29465, June 11, 1996), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328 series airplanes, to require modification of certain Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller. That action was prompted by reports of smoke and fumes emitting from the Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller due to a defective light bulb; and a report of failure of the autopilot to disconnect manually. The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent a defective light bulb from causing a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit; or causing damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode. Locking of the autopilot into the engaged mode could lead to the inability of the pilot to disconnect the autopilot, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

#### **Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule**

Since the issuance of AD 96-12-13, the Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, has advised the FAA that the service bulletin issued by Honeywell and referenced in AD 96-12-13 has been misinterpreted by personnel at Honeywell service centers. The LBA advises that, on an in-service airplane, a miswired unit of the GP-300 control panel was found, which caused the panel to overheat and generate smoke. In addition, two miswired units were found during the manufacturing process. Such miswired units would prevent the overheat protection device from functioning and could lead to smoke and fumes in the cockpit.

#### **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

The FAA has reviewed and approved Honeywell Service Bulletin 7015327-22-4, dated March 31, 1997, which describes procedures for verification of proper installation of the modification by re-testing the circuit card assemblies, and repair, if necessary. The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German airworthiness directive 96-239/2, dated June 19, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Germany.

### FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

### Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would supersede AD 96-12-13 to continue to require modification of certain Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controllers. The proposed AD also would add a requirement for verification of proper installation of the modification of Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller, and repair, if necessary. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

### Cost Impact

There are approximately 50 Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes of U.S. registry that would be affected by this proposed AD.

The actions that are currently required by AD 96-12-13, and retained in this proposed AD, take approximately 7 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required actions on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$21,000, or \$420 per airplane.

The new actions that are proposed in this AD action would take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed requirements of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$12,000, or \$240 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of

this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-9656 (61 FR 29465, June 11, 1996), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

**Dornier Luftfahrt GmbH:** Docket 97-NM-187-AD. Supersedes AD 96-12-13, Amendment 39-9656.

**Applicability:** Model 328-100 airplanes, equipped with Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller having part number (P/N) 7015327-901 or -902; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a defective light bulb from causing a short circuit that emits smoke and fumes into the cockpit, or causing damage to the circuit cards and various components, which may lock the autopilot into the engaged mode, accomplish the following:

### Restatement of Requirements of AD 96-12-13, Amendment 39-9656

(a) Within 60 days after June 26, 1996 (the effective date of AD 96-12-13, amendment 39-9656), modify the Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller, having P/N 7015327-901 or -902, in accordance with Honeywell Service Bulletin 7015327-22-2, dated March 4, 1996.

### New Requirements of this AD

(b) Within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, verify that the wiring of the Honeywell GP-300 guidance and display controller is correct by conducting a re-test of the circuit card assemblies, in accordance with Honeywell Service Bulletin 7015327-22-4, dated March 31, 1997. If any discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with the service bulletin.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in German airworthiness directive 96-239/2, dated June 19, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 20, 1998.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 98-14035 Filed 5-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-112-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328-100 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time inspection of the propeller de-ice system to verify the proper functioning of the engine indication and crew alert system (EICAS) for the de-ice system; and corrective action, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the EICAS to provide a warning to the flightcrew in the event of failure of the propeller de-ice system, which could result in damage to the airplane and consequent loss of controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 29, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-112-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from FAIRCHILD DORNIER, DORNIER Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D-82230 Wessling, Germany. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-NM-112-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

##### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-112-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

##### **Discussion**

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes. The LBA advises that it has received a report indicating that the propeller de-ice system on the airplane failed without appropriate indication of the failure to the flightcrew via the engine indication and crew alert system (EICAS). The failure of this system resulted in the accumulation of ice on both airplane propellers; the ice

subsequently shed, which damaged the fuselage and other parts of the exterior of the airplane. Further investigation of the incident did not reveal an exact cause; although the LBA advises that this is considered to be an isolated incident, they consider a one-time fleet-wide inspection to be warranted. Such failure of the EICAS to provide a warning to the flightcrew in the event of failure of the propeller de-ice system, if not corrected, could result in damage to the airplane and consequent loss of controllability of the airplane.

##### **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

The manufacturer has issued Dornier Alert Service Bulletin ASB-328-30-013, Revision 1, dated February 21, 1997, which describes procedures for a one-time inspection of the propeller de-ice system, to verify that the EICAS provides appropriate warning to the flightcrew during operation of the propeller de-ice system in the event of failure. The LBA classified this alert service bulletin as mandatory and issued German airworthiness directive 97-066, dated March 13, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Germany.

##### **FAA's Conclusions**

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

##### **Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the alert service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

##### **Differences Between Proposed Rule and Alert Service Bulletin**

Operators should note that, although the alert service bulletin does not specify corrective action if the inspection results do not verify proper functioning of the EICAS for the