

Coast Guard involvement. Tank barges would be encouraged to remain 25 nautical miles offshore, in compliance with the Responsible Carriers Program, and standard developed by the American Waterway Operators.

Reporting

An effective way to monitor vessel transits along the California coastline is through the use of radio call-in points at two key geographical points: Point Sur and Point Arguello.

The work group also supports the implementation of the Automatic Identification System (AIS) for ships currently being developed by the IMO. AIS is an automated electronic vessel position reporting system that transmits a real-time positional information packet to a shore based station such as the Vessel Traffic Service (VTS).

A Near-Miss Reporting system is currently under development at the National level and will help to identify causes of marine accidents and rectify problem areas before accidents occur.

Traffic Separation Schemes (TSS)

To provide alignment with the recommended routing measures, the Santa Barbara Channel Traffic Separation Scheme will be extended approximately eighteen nautical miles to Point Arguello. The southern leg of the San Francisco TSS would be shifted slightly to the west to provide a true north-south alignment for vessels entering and departing the TSS. These recommended changes to the TSS have been approved by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) and are ready for implementation.

Response to Disabled Vessels

There is a low but existing risk to the resources of the Sanctuary from a disabled vessel grounding on the rocky shoreline. Timely response from one or more appropriate vessels could make the difference between an environmental disaster and an insignificant event. The work group recommended the development of a vessel response network to enable a shoreside authority to identify and locate vessels willing and able to provide immediate emergency assistance to a disabled vessel.

Information on Services for Individuals With Disabilities: For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the meetings, contact the person under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** as soon as possible.

Dated: May 22, 1998.

R. C. North,

Rear Admiral, Coast Guard, Assistant Commandant for Marine, Safety and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 98-14393 Filed 5-29-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

FAA Approval of Noise Compatibility Program and Determination on Revised Noise Exposure Maps Akron-Canton Regional Airport Akron, Ohio

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its findings on the noise compatibility program submitted by Akron-Canton Regional Airport Authority under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) and 14 CFR Part 150. These findings are made in recognition of the description of Federal and nonfederal responsibilities in Senate Report No. 96-52 (1980). On October 16, 1997, the FAA determined that the noise exposure maps submitted by Akron-Canton Regional Airport Authority under Part 150 were in compliance with applicable requirements. On April 9, 1998, the Associate Administrator for Airports approved the Akron-Canton Regional Airport noise compatibility program.

Most of the recommendations of the program were approved. The Akron-Canton Regional Airport Authority has also requested under FAR Part 150, section 150.35(f), that FAA determine that revised noise exposure maps submitted with the noise compatibility program and showing noise contours as a result of the implementation of the noise compatibility program are in compliance with applicable requirements of FAR Part 150. The FAA announces its determination that the revised noise exposure maps for Akron-Canton Regional Airport for the years submitted with the noise compatibility program, are in compliance with applicable requirements of FAR Part 150 effective May 13, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the FAA's approval of the Akron-canton Regional Airport noise compatibility program is April 9, 1998. The effective date of the FAA's determination on the revised noise exposure maps is May 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lawrence C. King, program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Detroit Airports District Office, Willow Run Airport, East, 8820 Beck Road, Belleville, Michigan 48111. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be reviewed at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA has given its overall approval to the noise compatibility program for Akron-Canton Regional Airport, effective April 9, 1998, and that revised noise exposure maps for 1997-2002 for this same airport are determined to be in compliance with applicable requirements of FAR Part 150.

Under section 104(a) of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator who has previously submitted a noise exposure map may submit to the FAA a noise compatibility program which sets forth the measures taken or proposed by the airport operator for the reduction of existing noncompatible land uses and prevention of additional noncompatible land uses within the area covered by the noise exposure maps. The Act requires such programs to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties including local communities, government agencies, airport users, and FAA personnel.

Each airport noise compatibility program developed in accordance with Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150 is a local program, not a Federal program. The FAA does not substitute its judgment for that of the airport proprietor with respect to which measures should be recommended for action. The FAA's approval or disapproval of FAR Part 150 program recommendations is measured according to the standards expressed in Part 150 and the Act, and is limited to the following determinations:

a. The noise compatibility program was developed in accordance with the provisions and procedures of FAR Part 150;

b. Program measures are reasonably consistent with achieving the goals of reducing existing noncompatible land uses around the airport and preventing the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses;

c. Program measures would not create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, unjustly discriminate against types or classes of aeronautical uses, violate the terms of airport grant agreements, or intrude into areas preempted by the Federal Government; and

d. Program measures relating to the use of flight procedures can be implemented within the period covered by the program without derogating safety, adversely affecting the efficient use and management of the navigable airspace and air traffic control systems, or adversely affecting other powers and responsibilities of the Administrator prescribed by law.

Specific limitations with respect to the FAA's approval of an airport noise compatibility program are delineated in FAR Part 150, section 150.5. Approval is not a determination concerning the acceptability of land uses under Federal, state, or local law. Approval does not by itself constitute an FAA implementing action. A request for Federal action or approval to implement specific noise compatibility measures may be required, and an FAA decision on the request may require an environmental assessment of the proposed action. Approval does not constitute a commitment by the FAA to financially assist in the implementation of the program nor a determination that all measures covered by the program are eligible for grant-in-aid funding from the FAA. Where federal funding is sought, requests for project grants must be submitted to the FAA Detroit Airports District Office in Belleville, Michigan.

Akron-Canton Regional Airport Authority submitted to the FAA on September 22, 1997, noise exposure maps, descriptions, and other documentation produced during the noise compatibility planning study conducted from July 20, 1995, through September 22, 1997. The Akron-Canton Regional Airport noise exposure maps were determined by the FAA to be in compliance with applicable requirements on October 16, 1997. Notice of this determination was published in the **Federal Register** on November 10, 1997.

The Akron-Canton Regional Airport study contains a proposed noise compatibility program comprised of actions designed for phased implementation by airport management and adjacent jurisdictions from the date of study completion to beyond the year 2002. It was requested that the FAA evaluate and approve this material as a noise compatibility program as described in section 104(b) of the Act. The FAA began its review of the program on October 16, 1997, and was required by a provision of the Act to approve or disapprove the program within 180 days (other than the use of new flight procedures for noise control). Failure to approve or disapprove such program within the 180-day period shall

be deemed to be an approval of such program.

The submitted program contained twenty-four proposed actions for noise mitigation on and off the airport. The FAA completed its review and determined that the procedural and substantive requirements of the Act and FAR Part 150 have been satisfied. The overall program, therefore, was approved by the Associated Administrator for Airports effective April 9, 1998.

Outright approval was granted for twenty-two of the specific program elements. Noise Abatement Measure NA-5 was disapproved. It recommended that all eastbound and southbound turbojet aircraft departing on Runway 19 initiate at run to a heading of 160 degrees at 1 nautical mile from the radar instead of the current voluntary procedure to turn at 2 nautical miles. 1 nautical mile from the radar site is approximately over the departure end of the runway. Flights will be very low to the ground and at relatively slow airspeed. Crews should not be required or requested to initiate turns at this critical phase of the flight. Program Management Measure PM-5 was approved in part and disapproved in part. The part that was approved concerned the use of Automatic Terminal Information Service (ATIS). FAA permits the use of the ATIS for short messages such as "noise abatement procedures in effect" when time and space permit. The part that was disapproved concerned air traffic control tower (ATCT) advisories. The tower controller's role to maintain safe, efficient use of the navigable airspace does not include educating pilots in regard to specific noise abatement procedures. Other measures are available for pilot education.

Seven noise abatement measures were approved. One measure recommends pilots of all turbojet aircraft voluntarily use noise abatement departure procedures. One measure establishes maximum climb departures for helicopters. One measure recommends that pilots of all turbojet aircraft voluntarily restrict the use of reverse thrust activity at night. One measure recommends noise abatement procedures for all eastbound turbojet aircraft departing Runway 23.

Two measures relate to the location and orientation of engine runups and engine runup enclosures. One measure recommends improvement of engine runup and taxiing procedures.

Nine land use management measures were approved. Two measures recommends land acquisition for noise.

One measure recommends improvement of engine runup and taxiing procedures.

Nine land use management measures were approved. Two measures recommended land acquisition for noise. One measure recommends development of a sound insulation program. One measure recommended that an aviation easement acquisition program be developed. One measure recommended overlay zoning for one vacant parcel. One measure recommends development of subdivision regulations. One measure recommends that fair disclosure regulations be developed. One measure recommends comprehensive planning be developed. One measure recommends capital improvement planning.

Six program management measures were approved. One measure recommends updating noise complaint receipt and response procedures. One measure would establish a noise monitoring system. One measure recommends establishing a public information program and publishing informational pilot handouts. One measure will designate a noise abatement contact. One measure recommends purchasing and installing airside signs to advertise NCP measures. One measure recommends NEM/NCP review and revision.

These determinations are set forth in detail in a Record of Approval endorsed by the Associate Administrator for Airports on April 9, 1998.

The FAA also has completed its review of the revised noise exposure maps and related descriptions submitted by Akron-Canton Regional Airport Authority. The specific maps under consideration are Figure 8.2, Pages 107-108 of the NEM, and Figure 4.1, Pages 43-44 of the NCP in the submission. The FAA has determined that these maps for Akron-Canton Regional Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements. This determination is effective on May 13, 1998. FAA's determination on an airport operator's noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedures contained in appendix A of FAR Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information or plans.

If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 103 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise

contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 107 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator which submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under section 103 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under section 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

Copies of the noise exposure maps and of the FAA's evaluation of the maps, and copies of the record of approval and other evaluation materials and documents which comprised the submittal to the FAA are available for examination at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration,
Detroit Airports District Office,
Willow Run Airport, East, 8820 Beck
Road, Belleville, Michigan 48111.
Mr. Frederick J. Krum, Director of
Aviation, Akron-Canton Regional
Airport, 5400 Lauby Road, N.W., P.O.
Box 9, North Canton, OH 44720-1598.

Questions on either of these FAA determinations may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Issued in Belleville, Michigan, on May 13, 1998.

Robert H. Allen,

Assistant Manager, Detroit Airports District Office, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 98-14425 Filed 5-29-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Newport News, Hampton, Norfolk, Suffolk, Portsmouth and Chesapeake, VA

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is reissuing this notice to advise the public that an

environmental impact statement will be prepared to determine the impact of a proposed new crossing of Hampton Roads in southeastern Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Bruce Turner, Planning and Environmental Manager, Federal Highway Administration, The Dale Building Suite 205, 1504 Santa Rosa Road, Richmond, Virginia 23229, Telephone: (804) 281-5100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), in cooperation with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), is reestablishing its intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to determine the impact of a proposed new crossing of Hampton Roads in southeastern Virginia. A previous Notice of Intent was published on May 27, 1994. A Major Investment Study (MIS), completed in accordance with 23 CFR 450 Subpart C, was published in October of 1997. The MIS initially investigated various alternatives developed to alleviate congestion and improve access and mobility across Hampton Roads. The various alternatives ranged from transportation demand management strategies to constructing a new crossing. After a screening of the initial alternatives, the MIS studied 11 multimodal transportation corridors and the no build alternative.

The EIS will examine reasonable alternatives, including the no-build alternative, in an area generally bounded by the interchange of I-64/I-664 on the north, I-64/I-564 on the east, I-264/I-64 on the south, and the I-664 alignment on the west.

The Hampton Roads Metropolitan Planning Organization selected a locally preferred corridor in July of 1997, and the Commonwealth Transportation Board endorsed the locally preferred corridor in September of 1997. Termini for the preferred corridor consists of the following: the intersection of I-64 and I-644 in Hampton; the intersection of I-264 and I-64 in Chesapeake; the intersection of I-64 and I-564 in Norfolk, and the intersection of VA 164 in Portsmouth. The proposed corridor consists of a new crossing, which connects Norfolk to southeastern Newport News. It also includes a connection to VA 164 in Portsmouth, and it includes the widening of existing I-664 and I-564. The proposed corridor includes a multimodal component, which could be used for reversible HOV lanes, an exclusive busway, exclusive truck lanes, and/or a passenger rail system.

Regularly scheduled meetings with Federal and State agencies will occur during the study. A set of public meetings, one on the Southside and one on the Peninsula, will be held to present the results of the Draft EIS. In addition, a set of formal public hearings will be held. The Draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the hearings. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearings. Additional public outreach will occur through the issuance of project newsletters and a project home page, which will be accessible through VDOT's Internet site (www.vdot.state.va.us). A formal scoping meeting will be held.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this project are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments, and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be direct to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed action.)

(Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48)

Issued on: May 13, 1998.

J. Bruce Turner,

*Planning and Environmental Manager,
Richmond, Virginia.*

[FR Doc. 98-14320 Filed 5-29-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request For Form 8655

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 8655, reporting Agent Authorization for Magnetic Tape/Electronic Filers.