

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6002 Class E airspace areas designated as a surface area for an airport
* * * * *

ACE IA E5 Davenport, IA [New]

Davenport Municipal Airport, IA
(Lat. 41°36'38" N., long. 90°35'19" W.)

Within a 4.1-mile radius of the Davenport Municipal Airport, This Class E airspace is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

* * * * *

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on June 4, 1998.

Christopher R. Blum,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 98–17224 Filed 6–26–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL85–1b; FRL–6115–8]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve an Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request, dated July 24, 1997, submitted to EPA to complete requirements for record keeping and reporting requirements and other related requirements for the control of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emissions from graphic arts sources. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the State's request is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless the EPA receives relevant adverse written comment on this proposed rule. Should the EPA receive such comment, it will publish a final rule informing the public that the direct final rule did not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. If no adverse written comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on the date stated in that document and no further activity will be taken on this proposed rule. EPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before July 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Doty, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886 6057.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the final rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

David A. Ulrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region V.

[FR Doc. 98–17121 Filed 6–26–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA–4071b; FRL–6104–5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of VOC RACT Determinations for Individual Sources

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing volatile organic compound (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) reasonably available control technology (RACT) for eight (8) major sources located in Pennsylvania. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and the accompanying technical support document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a

second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If adverse comments are received that do not pertain to all documents subject to this rulemaking action, those documents not affected by the adverse comments will be finalized in the manner described here. Only those documents that receive adverse comments will be withdrawn in the manner described here.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by July 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to David Campbell, Air Protection Division, Mailcode 3AP11, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Campbell, (215) 566-2196, at the EPA Region III office or via e-mail at campbell.dave@epamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, comments must be submitted in writing to the above Region III address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information pertaining to this action, VOC RACT determinations for individual sources located in Pennsylvania, provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: May 13, 1998.

William Wisniewski,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 98-17118 Filed 6-26-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Ch. I

[CC Docket No. 98-94; FCC 98-118]

Testing New Technologies

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of inquiry.

SUMMARY: On June 11, 1998, the Federal Communications Commission released a Notice of Inquiry to solicit public comment about the effects of existing Title II regulations on experiments involving advanced telecommunications technology conducted by firms subject to those regulations. The document, part of the Commission's 1998 biennial regulatory review, seeks comment about various initiatives the Commission could undertake in order to promote technology testing, including use of the Commission's biennial review power to repeal or modify regulations, and, alternatively, use of the Commission's authority to forbear from applying certain statutory provisions and Commission rules.

DATES: Comments are due on or before July 21, 1998. Reply comments are due on or before August 5, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments and reply comments should be sent to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW, Suite 222, Washington, DC 20554, with a copy to Scott Bergmann of the Common Carrier Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 2033 M Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20554. Parties should also file one copy of any documents filed in this docket with the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS), 1231 20th St., NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas J. Beers, Deputy Chief of the Industry Analysis Division, Common Carrier Bureau, at (202) 418-0952, or Scott K. Bergmann, Industry Analysis Division, Common Carrier Bureau, at (202) 418-7102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Inquiry released June 11, 1998 (FCC 98-118). The full text of this Notice of Inquiry is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th St., NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Summary of the Public Notice

1. In the Notice of Inquiry (Notice), the Commission solicits public comment about the effects of its existing Title II regulations on experiments involving advanced telecommunications technology conducted by firms subject to these regulations. The inquiry is based on the Commission's belief that experiments involving new technology,

including technical trials and market trials, are a critical step in the process of introducing new services that benefit the public. The Commission seeks to ensure that its regulation does not unnecessarily discourage applicants from conducting experiments involving new technology and new applications of existing technology. The Commission seeks comment on ways in which it may redesign its regulation in order to encourage and facilitate such tests.

2. Section 7 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Communications Act or the Act), states that it is "the policy of the United States to encourage the provision of new technologies and services to the public." More recently, Congress reinforced section 7 by adding section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Section 706(a) encourages the deployment of advanced telecommunications services by directing the Commission to "encourage the deployment on a reasonable and timely basis of advanced telecommunications capability to all Americans * * * by utilizing, in a manner consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, price cap regulation, regulatory forbearance, measures that promote competition in the local telecommunications market, or other regulating methods that remove barriers to infrastructure investment." Pursuant to these congressional directives, the Notice seeks public comment about a broad range of issues relating to the Commission's regulation of technology testing.

3. Pursuant to new section 11, Congress has required the Commission to conduct a biennial review of regulations that apply to operations or activities of any provider of telecommunications service and to repeal or modify any regulation it determines to be "no longer necessary in the public interest." Accordingly, the Commission has begun a comprehensive 1998 biennial review of telecommunications and other regulations to promote "meaningful deregulation and streamlining where competition or other considerations warrant such action." The Notice is thus undertaken in conjunction with the Commission's 1998 biennial regulatory review and in it the Commission asks, *inter alia*, whether and how the Commission can apply its section 11 deregulatory and streamlining mandate to remove or restructure existing regulations in order to promote technology testing.

4. Alternatively, the Commission asks in the Notice whether it should and can