

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP98-665-000]

**Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

July 21, 1998.

Take notice that on July 10, 1998, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP98-665-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 and 211 of the Commission's Regulations under the National Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216, and 211) for authorization to remove an existing 6-inch meter run formally used for receipt of gas from the Roggen Processing Plant and to replace it with a 2-inch meter tube to deliver gas to Duke Energy Field Services, Inc. (Duke) pursuant to CIG's Blanket Certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG states that the 6-inch meter facility was originally installed to receive gas from the Roggen Processing Plant (closed in the summer of 1997) which provided pipeline quality gas for Duke's gathering system compressor station in Weld county, Colorado. CIG now proposes to remove the existing 6-inch meter tube and replace it with a 2-inch meter tube to be used to deliver gas for fuel gas at Duke's gathering system compressor station.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,***Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-19957 Filed 7-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP98-664-000]

**Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

July 21, 1998.

Take notice that on July 10, 1998, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 12801 Fair Lakes Parkway Fairfax, Virginia 22030-0146, filed in Docket No. CP98-664-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216) for authorization to upgrade an existing point of delivery by abandoning and replacing certain facilities in Henrico County, Virginia, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that it proposes to upgrade its existing West Richmond point of delivery for firm transportation service and will provide the service pursuant to Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP86-240-000 under existing rate schedules and within certificated entitlements.

Columbia states the proposed upgrade of the existing West Richmond point of delivery has been requested by the City of Richmond (COR) to provide additional firm transportation service for residential and commercial customers. Columbia states that COR has not requested an increase in its total firm entitlements in conjunction with this upgrade request, and therefore, there will be no impact on Columbia's existing peak day obligations to its other customers as a result of the proposed upgrade.

Columbia states that as part of the upgrade, it proposes to abandon certain facilities in order to increase the Maximum Daily Delivery obligations by 4,000 Dth/Day and increase the Maximum pressure at the existing West Richmond point of delivery. Columbia states that COR will install new measurement and regulation facilities at the current site and Columbia will install electronic measurement at the new station.

Columbia states that it will comply with all of the environmental requirements of Section 157.206(d) of the Commission's Regulations prior to

the construction of any facilities due to the upgrade.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,***Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-19956 Filed 7-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. GP98-39-000]

**Finney-Kearny County Gas Venture and Westgate Greenland, L.P.; Notice of Petition for Extension of Time Pending Verification of Extent of Refund Liability**

July 21, 1998.

Take notice that, on July 7, 1998, Finney-Kearny County Gas Venture (Finney-Kearny) and Westgate Greenland, L.P. (Westgate) filed a petition requesting an extension of time to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds to K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company (KNI). Finney-Kearny and Westgate assert that KNI has certain factual data that they have requested and that they need to verify whether they owe KNI any Kansas ad valorem tax refunds. Finney-Kearny and Westgate do not describe the information that they seek from KNI, but state simply that KNI has not provided the "full factual data" they previously requested. Therefore, Finney-Kearny and Westgate request that they be granted an extension of time to make refunds until the later of: 1) 90 days; or 2) 30 days after the date that KNI submits the full factual data sought by Finney-Kearny and Westgate. Finney-Kearny and Westgate's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.