

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Five Year (Sunset) Reviews; Conduct Policies

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of five-year ("sunset") reviews.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating five-year ("sunset") reviews of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings, and/or suspended investigations listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice its notices of *Institution of Five-Year Reviews* covering these same orders and/or suspended investigations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482-1560, or Vera Libeau, Office of Investigations, U.S.

International Trade Commission, at (202) 205-3176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, an antidumping ("AD") or countervailing duty ("CVD") order will be revoked, or the suspended investigation will be terminated, unless revocation or termination would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of (1) dumping or a countervailable subsidy, and (2) material injury to the domestic industry.

Parties wanting to participate in the sunset review being conducted by the Department must follow the separate procedural regulations promulgated by the Department (see Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998)).¹ In addition, because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication of the notice of initiation of the sunset review in the **Federal Register**. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can

be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306 (see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Administrative Protective Order Procedures; Procedures for Imposing Sanctions for Violation of a Protective Order, 63 FR 24391 (May 4, 1998)). For guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews, you may wish to consult the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). We are making information related to sunset proceedings available to the public on the Internet at the following address: "http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/sunset/". Finally, the procedural rules regarding filing, format, translation, service, and certification of documents can be found at 19 CFR 351.303 (see *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final rule*, 62 FR 27295, 27406 (May 19, 1997)).

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218, as amended, we are initiating sunset reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings, or suspended investigations:

DOC case No.	ITC case No.	Country	Product
A-401-040	AA-114	Sweden	Stainless Steel Plate.
A-588-041	AA-115	Japan	Synthetic Methionine.
A-588-046	AA-129	Japan	Polychloroprene Rubber.
A-122-047	AA-127	Canada	Elemental Sulphur.
A-122-050	AA-137	Canada	Racing Plates.
A-588-055	AA-154	Japan	Acrylic Sheet.
A-588-056	AA-162	Japan	Melamine.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: July 28, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-20644 Filed 7-31-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Application may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 98-036. *Applicant:* Finch University of Health Sciences, The Chicago Medical School, 3333 Green Bay Road, North Chicago, IL 60064-3095. *Instrument:* (4 each) Right and Left Hand Micromanipulators, Model SM-20. *Manufacturer:* Narishige Co., Japan. *Intended Use:* The

¹ A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of

initiation (pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4)). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that

five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

instrument will be used for investigations of the cellular and network properties of the nervous system in the marine mollusk Tritonia diomedea that underlie decision-making and learning. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 20, 1998.*

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.
[FR Doc. 98-20653 Filed 7-31-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Stanford University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 98-030. Applicant: Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. Instrument: Crystal Growth Furnace, Type FZ-T-10000-HVP-II-S. Manufacturer: Crystal Systems, Inc., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 33052, June 17, 1998.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides optical melting of a rod to produce a single uncontaminated crystal along a moving float zone on the rod. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration advised February 8, 1998 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use (comparable case).

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.
[FR Doc. 98-20652 Filed 7-31-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

NOAA Coastal Ocean Programs (COP) Grant Applications

ACTION: Proposed Collection; Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 2, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Leslie McDonald, COP Grants Office, NOAA Coastal Ocean Programs (COP), SSMC#3, Silver Spring, MD 20910-3283, (301-713-3338, x 137).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

NOAA's Coastal Ocean Programs provides predictive capability for managing coastal ecosystems through sponsorship of research. COP seeks to deliver the highest quality science in a timely manner for important coastal decisions. It supports research on critical issues which exist in the Nation's estuaries, coastal waters, and Great Lakes and translates its findings into accessible information for coastal managers, planners, lawmakers and the public. Grant monies are available for related activities.

In addition to the standard application requirements for Federal grants, applicants must include the COP Summary Proposal Budget Form and a COP Project Summary (Abstract) Form. Applications may require up to 25 original proposal copies at time of submission. Use of the budget form will provide the level of detail required to evaluate the effort to be invested by investigators and staff on a specific project by the COP program staff; the proposed budget form is compatible

with forms in use by other agencies that participate in joint projects with COP.

The project summary (abstract) shall include a statement of objectives, methods to be employed, and the significance of the proposed activity to the advancement of knowledge or education; must not be more than one page in length; and should be written in the third person. The summary is used to help compare proposals quickly and allows the respondents to summarize these key points in their own words.

The stated requirements for the number of original proposal copies provide for a timely review process because of the large number of technical reviewers. Due to the fact that many proposals contain original color inserts and the lack of color-copying capabilities by COP, the increased number of original proposal copies provides the opportunity for a more consistent review of all proposals by all reviewers during the competitive process.

Persons with approved grants must file a COP Annual Progress Report and a COP Project Final Report. The annual report will provide the minimal information required by COP staff to evaluate the project's progress in respect to its goals and objectives, its schedule of accomplishments, and its resource management. The Project Final report will provide the level of detail required to evaluate the effort invested by the grantee, as well as the actual accomplishments or findings. The proposed format is compatible with forms in use by other agencies that participate in joint projects with COP.

II. Method of Collection

The COP Summary Proposal Budget Form and the COP Project Summary Form are submitted as part of grant applications. The COP Annual Progress Report and the COP Project Final Report must follow a format provided to grantees. For the number of proposal copies required, the information is submitted as part of the application process; and no form is used.

III. Data

OMB Number: None.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Not-for profit institutions (public or private institutions of higher education, institutes, laboratories).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 300.

Estimated Time Per Response: 30 minutes for a budget form, 30 minutes for a project summary, 10 hours for an annual report, 10 hours for a final