

been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent corrosion of the forward trunnion joint of the main landing gear (MLG), which could lead to a stress corrosion fracture of the forward trunnion and possible consequent collapse of the MLG, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 6 years since the outer cylinder of the MLG was new, last overhauled, or installed (replaced) after the last corrosion repair in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0127, dated January 29, 1996; or within 18 months after the effective date of this AD; whichever occurs later: Perform a detailed visual inspection to detect corrosion inside the forward trunnion joint and the internal threads of the MLG; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0127, dated January 29, 1996.

(1) If no corrosion of the forward trunnion joint is found, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (a)(1)(i) or (a)(1)(ii) of this AD.

(i) Apply chrome plating to the forward trunnion thrust and tab faces in accordance with the alert service bulletin. Accomplishment of this application of chrome plating constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(ii) Apply corrosion-inhibiting compound to the forward trunnion joint in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the alert service bulletin. Repeat the detailed visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed six years or until chrome plating is applied to the forward trunnion thrust and tab faces in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

(2) If any corrosion of the forward trunnion joint is found, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this AD.

(i) Repair the forward trunnion and apply chrome plating to the forward trunnion thrust and tab faces in accordance with the alert service bulletin. Accomplishment of this application of chrome plating constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(ii) Repair the forward trunnion and apply corrosion-inhibiting compound to the forward trunnion joint in accordance with the alert service bulletin. Repeat the detailed visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed six years or until chrome plating is applied to the forward trunnion thrust and tab faces in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

(b) Replacement, repair, or overhaul of the outer cylinder of the MLG that includes the application of chrome plating to the forward trunnion thrust and tab faces in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0127, dated January 29, 1996, constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators

shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 29, 1998.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 98-20834 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-04-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; de Havilland Model DHC-8-100, -200, and -300 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** This document revises an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes, that would have required modification of the flight compartment door. That proposal was prompted by a report that the door lock mechanism of the flight compartment door jammed and could not be opened using the alternate release mechanism. This new action would add repetitive inspections for wear of the flight compartment door hinges following modification, and repair or replacement of the hinges with new hinges, if necessary. This new action also revises the applicability of the existing AD. The actions specified by this new proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the alternate release mechanism of the flight compartment door, which could delay or impede the evacuation of the flightcrew during an emergency. Such failure also could result in the flightcrew not being able to assist passengers in the event of an emergency.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by August 31, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-04-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ezra Sasson, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANE-172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256-7520; fax (516) 568-2716.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following

statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-04-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-04-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

#### Discussion

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add an airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes, was published as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on July 11, 1997 (62 FR 37170). That NPRM would have required modification of the flight compartment door. That NPRM was prompted by a report that the door lock mechanism of the flight compartment door jammed and it could not be opened using the alternate release mechanism. That condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the alternate release mechanism of the flight compartment door, which could delay or impede the evacuation of the flightcrew during an emergency. Such failure also could result in the flightcrew not being able to assist passengers in the event of an emergency.

#### Actions Since Issuance of Previous Proposal

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the manufacturer has issued de Havilland Service Bulletin S.B. 8-52-39, Revision 'C,' dated September 1, 1997, and Revision 'D,' dated February 27, 1998.

Among other things, Revision 'C' revises the effectivity of the earlier releases of the service bulletin. In addition, the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin have been revised to include a section titled "Special Inspection/Repair," which describes procedures for repetitive inspections of the door hinges for wear. For airplanes on which any wear is found that is greater than 0.050 inch in depth, the service bulletin describes procedures for removal and replacement of the hinges with new hinges. For airplanes on which any wear is found that is less than 0.050 inch, but greater than 0.020 inch in depth, the service bulletin describes procedures for repair of the hinge.

Revision 'D' is essentially identical to Revision 'C;' however, it provides information for an additional

modification kit for certain Model DHC-8-300 series airplanes with a forward galley where a -100 series lavatory has been installed.

Transport Canada Aviation, which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, classified these service bulletin revisions as mandatory and issued Canadian airworthiness directive CF-96-20R2, dated July 16, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Canada.

#### Changes to the Original NPRM

The FAA has determined that, in order to adequately address the unsafe condition presented by problems associated with the flight compartment door, the originally proposed rule must be revised to require repetitive inspections for wear of the modified flight compartment door hinges, and repair, if necessary. These additional actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the revised service bulletins described previously.

Additionally, the applicability of this supplemental NPRM has been revised to correspond with the revised service bulletin effectivity discussed previously.

#### Conclusion

Since these changes expand the scope of the originally proposed rule, the FAA has determined that it is necessary to reopen the comment period to provide additional opportunity for public comment.

#### Interim Action

This is considered to be interim action. The manufacturer has advised the FAA that it currently is developing a modification that will eliminate the need for the repetitive inspections for wear of the flight compartment door hinges. Once this modification is developed, approved, and available, the FAA may consider additional rulemaking.

#### Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 133 de Havilland Model DHC-8-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed modification, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the modification proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$31,920, or \$240 per airplane.

It would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspection proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$15,960, or \$120 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**De Havilland, Inc.:** Docket 97-NM-04-AD.

*Applicability:* Model DHC-8-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes having serial numbers 3 and subsequent; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the alternate release mechanism of the flight compartment door, which could delay or impede the evacuation of the flightcrew and passengers during an emergency, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 90 days after the effective date of this AD, modify the lower hinge assembly and main door latch (Modification 8/2337) of the flight compartment door, in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin S.B. 8-52-39, Revision 'D,' dated February 27, 1998.

**Note 2:** Modification of the flight compartment door accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin S.B. 8-52-39, dated August 30, 1996; Revision 'A,' dated October 31, 1996; Revision 'B,' dated July 4, 1997; or Revision 'C,' dated September 1, 1997; is considered acceptable for compliance with the modification required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(b) Within 800 flight hours after accomplishment of the modification required by paragraph (a) of this AD, inspect the hinge areas around the hinge pin holes of the flight compartment door for wear, in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin S.B. 8-52-39, Revision 'C,' dated September 1, 1997, or Revision 'D,' dated February 27, 1998.

(1) If no wear is detected, or if the wear is less than or equal to 0.020 inch in depth, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 800 flight hours.

(2) If any wear is detected and its dimension around the hinge pin holes is less than 0.050 inch and greater than 0.020 inch in depth, prior to further flight, perform the applicable corrective actions specified in the service bulletin. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 800 flight hours.

(3) If any wear is detected and its dimension around the hinge pin holes is greater than or equal to 0.050 inch in depth, prior to further flight, replace the worn hinges with new hinges in accordance with

the service bulletin. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 800 flight hours.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Canadian airworthiness directive CF-96-20R2, dated July 16, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 29, 1998.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 98-20833 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Parts 65, 66, and 147**

[Docket No. 27863; Notice No. 98-5]

RIN 2120-AF22

**Revision of Certification Requirements: Mechanics and Repairmen; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM); correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on July 9, 1998, (63 FR 37172) that would revise the certification requirements for mechanics and repairmen. This correction provides the public with the correct telephone number to obtain a copy of the NPRM.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leslie K. Vipond, AFS-350, Continuous Airworthiness Maintenance Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington DC 20591, telephone: (202) 267-3269.

**Correction**

In proposed rule FR Doc. 98-17589 beginning on page 37172 in the **Federal**

**Register** issue of Thursday, July 9, 1998, make the following correction:

On page 37172, in the Availability of NPRMs section, in the third column, in the second complete paragraph, on line 7, the telephone number specified to obtain a copy of the NPRM is listed as "(202) 267-9860." This should be changed to read "(202) 267-9680."

Issued in Washington, DC on July 31, 1998.

**Donald P. Byrne,**

*Assistant Chief Counsel, Regulations Division.*

[FR Doc. 98-20934 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 71**

[Airspace Docket No. 95-AWA-12]

RIN 2120-AA66

**Proposed Modification of the Salt Lake City Class B Airspace Area; Utah**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to modify the Salt Lake City, UT, Class B airspace area. Specifically, this action proposes to reconfigure three existing subarea boundaries. The FAA is proposing this action to enhance safety and improve the flow of air traffic into, out of, through, and around the Salt Lake City Class B airspace area, while accommodating the concerns of airspace users.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 5, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, *Attention:* Rules Docket, AGC-200, Airspace Docket No. 95-AWA-12, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington DC 20591. Comments may also be sent electronically to the following Internet address: 9-NPRM-CMTS@faa.dot.gov. The official docket may be examined in the Rules Docket, Office of the Chief Counsel, Room 916, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Office of the Regional Air Traffic Division.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ken McElroy, Airspace and Rules Division,