

provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an Agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**David P. Boegers,**  
Acting Secretary.  
[FR Doc. 98-20854 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulation Commission**

**Notice of Application Tendered for Filing With the Commission**

July 30, 1998.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Type of Application:* Exemption of Small Conduit Hydroelectric Facility.
- b. *Project No.:* P-11531-001.
- c. *Date filed:* July 21, 1998.

d. *Applicant:* The City of Boulder, Colorado.

e. *Name of Project:* Silver Lake Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* At the terminus of the applicant's existing Silver Lake Raw Water Pipeline, near the City of Boulder, in Boulder County, Colorado.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Eva June Busse, P.E., Hydroelectric Projects Manager, City of Boulder, P.O. Box 791, Boulder, CO 80306-0791, (303) 441-4271.

i. *FERC Contact:* Bob Easton (202) 219-2782.

j. *Comment Date:* 60 days from the filing date in paragraph c.

k. *Description of Project:* The proposed project would consist of: (1) the existing reinforced concrete Silver Lake Diversion intake structure; (2) the existing 18,820-foot-long, 27-inch-diameter welded steel Silver Lake Pipeline; (3) a proposed powerhouse containing one generating unit having an installed capacity of 3.2 megawatts; (4) discharge facilities into Lakewood Reservoir; (5) a proposed transmission line; (6) a proposed switchyard; and (7) appurtenant facilities.

1. With this notice, we are initiating consultation with the *Colorado State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)*, as required by § 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 36 CFR 800.4

m. Under 18 CFR 4.32 (b)(7) of the Commission's regulations, if any resource agency, Indian Tribe, or person believes that the applicant should

conduct an additional scientific study to form an adequate factual basis for a complete analysis of the application on its merits, they must file a request for the study with the Commission, not later than 60 days after the date the application is filed, and must serve a copy of the request on the applicant.

**David P. Boegers,**  
Acting Secretary.  
[FR Doc. 98-20855 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Office of Hearings and Appeals**

**Notice of Cases Filed With the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Week of June 22 Through June 26, 1998**

During the Week of June 22 through June 26, 1998, the appeals, applications, petitions or other requests listed in this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in any of these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585-0107.

Dated: July 22, 1998.  
**George B. Breznay,**  
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

**LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS**  
[Week of June 22 through June 29, 1998]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
6/22/98 .....	Personnel Security Hearing .....	VSO-0215	Request for Hearing Under 10 CFR Part 710. If granted: An individual employed by a contractor of the Department of Energy would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.

[FR Doc. 98-20893 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Office of Hearings and Appeals**

**Notice of Cases Filed; Week of June 29 Through July 3, 1998**

During the Week of June 29 through July 3, 1998, the appeals, applications,

petitions or other requests listed in this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in any of these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and

Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585-0107.

Date: July 22, 1998  
**George B. Breznay,**  
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS  
[Week of June 29 through July 3, 1998]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
6/30/98 .....	Personnel Security Hearing	VSO-0216	Request for Hearing Under 10 CFR Part 710. If Granted: An individual employed by a contractor of the Department of Energy would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
7/1/98 .....	Edwin S. Rothschild, McLean, VA	VFA-0423	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The June 12, 1998 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Strategic Petroleum Reserve would be rescinded, and Edwin S. Rothschild would receive access to certain DOE information.
7/1/98 .....	Personnel Security Hearing	VSO-0217	Request for Hearing Under 10 CFR Part 710. If Granted: An individual employed by a contractor of the Department of Energy would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.
7/2/98 .....	Charles E. Hemingway, Falls Church, VA	VFA-0424	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If Granted: The June 30, 1998 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Assistant General Counsel for General Law would be rescinded, and Charles W. Hemingway would receive access to certain DOE information.
7/2/98 .....	Personnel Security Hearing	VSO-0218	Request for Hearing Under 10 CFR Part 710. If Granted: An individual employed by a contractor of the Department of Energy would receive a hearing under 10 CFR Part 710.

[FR Doc. 98-20894 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-6136-5]

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comment Request; Enforcement Policy Regarding the Sale and Use of Aftermarket Catalytic Converters**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed and/or continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Enforcement Policy Regarding the Sale and Use of Aftermarket Catalytic Converters; EPA ICR # 1292.05; OMB No. 2060-0135; expires 9/30/98. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before October 5, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, Office of Regulatory Enforcement (2242A), 401 M Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Copies of the ICR can be obtained free of charge by contacting Ervin Pickell as provided below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Ervin Pickell, Telephone: (303) 969-6485; Facsimile number: (303) 969-6490; E-MAIL: pickell.erv@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Affected entities:* Entities potentially affected by this action are manufacturers and installers of aftermarket automobile catalytic converters.

*Title:* Enforcement Policy Regarding the Sale and Use of Aftermarket Catalytic Converters (OMB Control number 2060-0135; EPA ICR # 1292.05.) expiring 09/30/98.

*Abstract:* Section 203(a)(3) of the Clean Air Act (Act) prohibits removing or rendering inoperative automobile emission control devices or elements of design. But for the adoption of the aftermarket catalytic converter enforcement policy (51 FR 28114-28119, 28133 (Aug. 5, 1986); 52 FR 42144 (Nov. 3, 1987)), the manufacture, sale or installation of aftermarket catalytic converters (catalysts) not equivalent to new original equipment (OE) catalysts would constitute a violation of the Act. However, because replacement OE catalysts are expensive, many consumers had elected to not replace catalysts that malfunctioned subsequent to the expiration of the emissions warranty on their vehicles.

The Agency believes that allowing the installation of slightly less effective aftermarket catalysts on older vehicles can be environmentally beneficial if the Agency can be assured that the aftermarket catalysts meet certain standards and if installers are accountable to select the proper aftermarket catalyst for each vehicle application. Manufacturers of new aftermarket catalysts are required, on a

one-time basis, for each catalyst line manufactured, to identify the catalyst physical specifications and summarize pre-production testing of the prototype. In addition, the manufacturer must submit semi-annual reports to EPA of the number of each type of catalyst manufactured and a summary of warranty card information (or copies of warranty cards, at the manufacturer's option). Companies that recondition used catalysts must, on a one-time basis, identify the company and provide information regarding procedures to be used to test used catalysts. All used catalysts must be individually bench-tested, and the company must submit semi-annual reports to EPA of the identity of persons who distribute the reconditioned catalysts and the number of reconditioned catalysts of each type that are sold to each distributor.

Companies that install aftermarket catalysts have no reporting requirements but for 6 months must keep copies of installation invoices and records that show the reason an aftermarket catalyst installation was appropriate. Removed catalysts must be tagged with identifying information and be kept for 15 days. EPA allows the use of pre-printed documents or computer-generated documents. All the recordkeeping under the policy is authorized by section 114 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7414 and section 208 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7542.

Parties who comply with these policies are allowed to install aftermarket catalysts instead of OE catalysts.

Confidentiality provisions are found at 40 CFR Part 2. These requirements have been in effect for over 10 years. Startup costs have been completed. The